

ω -3 Fatty Acid Ethyl Esters Diminish Postprandial Lipemia in Familial Hypercholesterolemia

Dick C Chan, Jing Pang, P Hugh R Barrett, David R Sullivan, John R Burnett, Frank M van Bockxmeer, Gerald F Watts

School of Medicine and Pharmacology (D.C.C, J.P., P.H.R.B., J.R.B, G.F.W.), Faculty of Engineering, Computing and Mathematics (P.H.R.B.) and School of Surgery (F.M.v.B.) University of Western Australia, Perth, Western Australia, Australia; Department of Clinical Biochemistry (D.R.S.), Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Sydney, Australia and Department of Clinical Biochemistry (J.R.B., F.M.v.B.), PathWest Laboratory Medicine, Royal Perth Hospital and Fiona Stanley Hospital Network, Perth, Australia

Abbreviated title: Fish oil and chylomicron metabolism in FH

Key words: Chylomicron metabolism, fish oil supplementation, familial hypercholesterolemia

Address for Corresponding Author:

Gerald F Watts, School of Medicine and Pharmacology, University of Western Australia, Royal Perth Hospital, GPO Box X2213, Perth, WA 6847, Australia

Tel :+61-8-9224-0245; Fax:+61-8-9224-0246; E-mail: gerald.watts@uwa.edu.au

Word count (excluding abstract, figure captions and references): 3427

Disclosure statement: All authors have nothing to declare.

Clinical Trial Registration: <https://www.clinicaltrials.com/> NCT01577056

ABSTRACT

Context: Impaired postprandial chylomicron metabolism induces hypertriglyceridemia and may increase risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD). Omega-3 fatty acid ethyl ester (ω -3 FAEE) supplementation decreases plasma triglycerides. However, its effect on postprandial chylomicron metabolism in familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) has not yet been investigated.

Objective: We aimed to examine the effect of ω -3 FAEE supplementation on postprandial responses in plasma triglycerides, very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL)-apoB-100 and apoB-48 in FH patients receiving standard cholesterol-lowering treatment.

Design, Setting and Patients: We carried out an 8-week open-label, randomized, cross-over intervention trial to test the effect of oral supplementation with 4 g/day ω -3 FAEE (46% eicosapentaenoic acid and 38% docosahexaenoic acid) on postprandial triglyceride, VLDL-apoB-100 and apoB-48 responses in FH patients following ingestion of an oral fat load.

Outcomes Measures: Plasma total and incremental triglyceride, VLDL-apoB-100 and apoB-48 0-10 h area-under-the-curves (AUCs).

Results: ω -3 FAEE supplementation significantly ($P < 0.05$ in all) reduced fasting plasma triglycerides (-20%), apoB (-8%), VLDL-apoB-100 (-26%), apoB-48 (-36%) concentration, systolic blood pressure (-6%) and diastolic blood pressure (-6%). Postprandial triglyceride and VLDL-apoB-100 total AUCs (-19% and -26%, respectively, $P < 0.01$) and incremental AUCs (-18% and -35%, respectively $P < 0.05$), as well as postprandial apoB-48 total AUC (-30%, $P < 0.02$) were significantly reduced by ω -3 FAEE supplementation.

Conclusion: Supplementation with ω -3 FAEEs improves postprandial lipemia in FH patients receiving standard care; this may have implications for further reducing ASCVD in this high risk patient group.

INTRODUCTION

Familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) is the commonest monogenic cause of hypercholesterolemia and premature atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), with a population frequency of at least 1 in 500 (1). FH results principally from mutations in the low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptors that impair LDL catabolism and markedly increase plasma LDL-cholesterol concentrations (2). While FH markedly increases the onset of premature coronary heart disease (CHD), risk can be variable and this may relate to other cardiovascular risk factors or to hidden mechanisms, including postprandial lipemia (3-6). However, despite treatment of statin, with or without ezetimibe, a significant proportion of FH patients remain at increased residual risk of ASCVD (3).

Postprandial hypertriglyceridemia reflects prolonged accumulation of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins (TRLs), including very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL)-apolipoprotein (apo) B-100 and apoB-48-containing chylomicrons and their remnants in the circulation (7). These lipoproteins are atherogenic, contributing to inflammation, oxidative stress, endothelial dysfunction and foam cell formation (3, 7, 8). Recent evidence from experimental and human studies suggests that deficiency in LDL receptor function in FH may disturb TRL metabolism by delaying remnant clearance (9, 10). Furthermore, there is evidence showing that apoB-48 concentrations are elevated in FH due to an increased production rate (11). We have recently demonstrated that elevated fasting triglyceride concentration is a significant predictor of CHD in FH (12). Patients with FH may accordingly have impaired triglyceride response to a fatty meal irrespective of the plasma triglyceride concentrations (4-6). This may contribute to increased CHD risk in FH patients.

Fish oils are a rich source of long-chain ω -3 fatty acids, primarily eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). There is some evidence that fish oil supplementation protects against cardiovascular disease and this may be partly mediated by improvement in hypertriglyceridemia (13, 14). However, data from several meta-analyses suggest that fish oil supplementation does not lower risk of major CVD events (15-17). It should be noted, however, most of these studies used low doses of fish oil supplementation (<2 g/day). We have previously reported that ω -3 fatty acid ethyl ester (ω -3 FAEE) supplementation (4 g/day) lowers fasting and postprandial triglyceride concentrations in obese subjects (18). To date, no study has examined the effect of ω -3 FAEE supplementation on postprandial TRL response in FH patients receiving standard treatment for lowering LDL-cholesterol.

In the present study, we investigated the responses of triglyceride and apoB-48 concentrations following ingestion of an oral fat load. We hypothesized that ω -3 FAEE would reduce postprandial responses for plasma triglycerides and apoB-48 in FH patients who were on statin and/or ezetimibe treatment.

METHODS

Subjects

Twenty-two patients (11 men and 11 women) with FH aged 18-70 years [body mass index (BMI) <40 kg/m²] were recruited from the Lipid Disorders Clinic at Royal Perth Hospital. Diagnosis of FH was defined by the Dutch Lipid Clinic Network criteria (DLNC) score >8 (definite FH) and/or the presence of a pathogenic mutation in the *LDLR* gene (19). However, one subject withdrew consent before completing the first intervention period, and another was withdrawn because of an adverse event related to atrial fibrillation during the isotopic infusion that resolved spontaneously. Homozygous and compound/double heterozygous FH, and subjects with *APOB* (i.e. familial defective apoB-100 or familial hypobetalipoproteinemia) and *PCSK9* mutations were excluded. None of the subjects were *APOE* ε2 homozygotes, nor had proteinuria, creatininemia (>120 μmol/L), hypothyroidism, or abnormal liver enzymes (alanine aminotransferase >120 U/L for men and >90 U/L for women). None reported a cardiovascular event within six months prior to the study, or were taking ω-3 FAEE supplementation (within the last six months); or anti-diabetic medication. The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Royal Perth Hospital, and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

Clinical Protocol

This study was an open-label, randomized, cross-over intervention trial (Figure 1). The study protocol included infusion of a stable isotope to assess TRL kinetics, which will be reported separately. All eligible patients were on statins (or statin plus ezetimibe) at recruitment and throughout the duration of the study. All patients entered a 4-week run-in diet stabilizing period, at the end of which they were randomized to into one of the two groups, no treatment or a 8-week treatment period of 4 g/day ω-3 FAEE supplementation (Omacor® 46% EPA and 38% DHA in ethyl ester form, Abbott Products Pty Ltd) with a 8-week washout between each intervention

period. Compliance with ω -3 FAEE supplementation was confirmed by capsule count at the end of ω -3 FAEE intervention. Three-day food, alcohol and exercise diaries were given to the participants to complete at the end of each treatment period. Diaries were assessed for energy and major nutrients completed during the lead-in period (week 4) and at the end of each treatment period (week 12 and 28) using FoodWorks 7 Pro (Xyris, Queensland Australia). Physical activity was also assessed by a seven day recall questionnaire at the end of each treatment period (20). All dietary assessments and recommendations were conducted by a registered dietitian. All subjects were reviewed fortnightly and requested to maintain their dietary intake and level of physical activity constant.

Comparison with non-FH controls

For comparison purpose, 10 healthy non-FH subjects (5 men and 5 women, age 59 ± 7 years, weight 83 ± 12 kg, BMI 28.9 ± 5.7 kg/m², systolic blood pressure 126 ± 12 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure 75 ± 7 mmHg, plasma triglycerides 1.20 ± 0.56 mmol/L and total cholesterol 5.29 ± 0.87 mmol/L on no drug treatment) were also recruited from the community in which the fat load test was performed once.

Postprandial oral fat-load test

All subjects were admitted to the metabolic ward in the morning after a 14-h fast. They were studied in a semi-recumbent position and allowed to drink only water after the test-meal. Arterial blood pressure was recorded after 3 min in the supine position using a Dinamap1846 SX/P monitor (Critikon Inc, Tampa, FL, USA). Following a baseline fasting blood sample, a liquid formulated high fat test meal was the consumed within 2 min (a total of 4800kJ, 130 g fat, 17 g protein and 21 g carbohydrate), following with blood samples were obtained after 30, 40 min, and 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8 and 10 h (18). Briefly, the test meal was a milkshake consisting of 100 mL of full cream milk, 150 mL of pure cream, 70 mL of corn oil, 90 g of whole egg (approximately 2 large

eggs) and 10 g of sugar. The meal provided 470mg cholesterol and 120g fat (polyunsaturated fat 27%, monounsaturated fat 28% and saturated fat 40%). Subjects were then given a snack and allowed to go home. All the procedures were repeated after 8-week intervention period.

Biochemical measurements

Fasting whole venous blood samples collected in EDTA were immediately centrifuged at 1500 x g for 15 min at 4°C. Plasma was collected and stored at -80°C for analysis. Plasma lipid and glucose concentrations were measured using enzymatic methods (Hitachi 917 Biochemical Analyser, Roche Diagnostics Australia Pty Limited, Castle Hill, NSW, Australia). LDL cholesterol was estimated by the Friedewald calculation. Lipoprotein(a) was measured with a turbidimetric method using immunoglobulin G anti-human Lp(a) (Quantia Lp(a) assay and standard). Fasting insulin was measured using chemiluminescent immunometric assay (Abbott Diagnostics, North Ryde, NSW, Australia), and insulin resistance was estimated using homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) score (21). Plasma apoB-48 levels were measured by enzyme immunoassay kit with an intra-assay CV of <6% (Fujirebio, Tokyo, Japan). VLDL fraction was isolated from 3.5 mL plasma by ultracentrifugation (Optima XL-100K, Beckman Coulter, Australia) at $d=1.006$ g/mL (40,000 rpm, 16 h, 4°C). VLDL-apoB-100 was measured using ELISA kit (Mabtech, Nacka, Sweden). This kit is specific for apoB-100 and does not recognize apoB-48. Postprandial metabolism was quantified by calculating the area- and incremental under-the-curve (AUC) and iAUC, respectively, for plasma triglyceride, VLDL-apoB-100 and apoB-48 (0-10 h) using the trapezium rule. The iAUC was estimated as the difference between the area defined below the baseline concentration and the area under the plasma curve between 0 and 10 h.

Statistical Analyses

Data are reported as mean \pm SEM unless specified. Skewed variables were log-transformed. Statistical significance was defined at the 5% level using a two-tailed test. Our sample size for this study was based on a previous study that ω -3 FAEE supplementation decreased the AUCs for triglyceride and apoB-48 by 30% (18). Groups were compared using independent *t*-tests using the SPSS 21 (SPSS, Chicago, IL) software. Carry-over effects of the crossover design were estimated based on the Grizzle's model using general linear modeling (PROC GLM, SAS 9.2 SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina, USA). If the carryover effect was not statistically significant, then the data from the two periods was combined and analyzed using paired *t*-test to estimate the treatment effect of ω -3 FAEE supplementation in our FH patients.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

A total of twenty patients (10 men and 10 women) completed the study. Of these subjects, seventeen patients were genetically diagnosed with FH (i.e., pathogenic mutations in the *LDLR* gene) and the other three had a DLNC score >8 (definite phenotypic FH). The *LDLR* mutations were classified as follows: Class 1 (n=3), Class 2 (n=3), Class 4 (n=2), Class 5 (n=4) and intron splice site (n=5). Of the 20 FH patients, 13 of the FH patients men were *APOE* ε3ε3 homozygotes, 2 were *APOE* ε2ε3 heterozygotes, 2 were *APOE* ε3ε4 heterozygotes, 2 were *APOE* ε2ε4 heterozygote and 1 were *APOE* ε4ε4 homozygotes. On average, they were middle-aged (53.3 ± 3.0 years), non-obese (BMI 27.0 ± 6.3 kg/m²), normotensive and normolipidemic (Table 1). Nine patients were on rosuvastatin (12.5-40 mg/day), eight on atorvastatin (40-80 mg/day) and three on simvastatin (80 mg/day). Of these, thirteen patients were also on ezetimibe (10 mg/day). Nine patients were on aspirin, three on anti-hypertension medication and four had a history of CAD event. Baseline (lead-in) average daily energy and nutrient intake of the 20 FH studied was 7270 ± 1720 kJ, 33 ± 5% energy from fat, 44 ± 8% energy from carbohydrates, 20 ± 3% energy from protein and 3 ± 2% energy from alcohol; cholesterol intake 278 ± 122 mg. The percent contribution of total fat from dietary polyunsaturated, monounsaturated and saturated fat was 23 ± 9%, 38 ± 8% and 39 ± 8%, respectively.

Body weight, blood pressure and biochemical characteristics

Table 1 shows the effects of ω-3 FAEE supplementation on clinical and biochemical characteristics in the 20 FH patients. Body weight, waist circumference and BMI did not alter significantly during the intervention ($P>0.05$ in all). ω3-FAEE supplementation significantly ($P<0.05$ in all) lowered systolic blood pressure (-6%) and diastolic blood pressure (-6%), plasma triglycerides (-20%), apoB (-8%), VLDL-apoB-100 (-26%) and plasma apoB-48 (-36%) concentration. ω-3 FAEE supplementation tended to lower total cholesterol and non-HDL-

cholesterol concentrations, but the difference was not statistically significant ($P < 0.1$ for both). LDL-cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol concentrations were not significantly altered with ω -3 FAEE supplementation, nor were glucose, insulin concentrations and HOMA score. No significant changes in dietary and physical activity levels were reported during the study (data not shown). Capsule counts confirmed that compliance with randomization to ω -3 FAEE intervention was $>95\%$. There were no side effects reported with ω -3 FAEE supplementation.

Postprandial lipid responses

Case vs Controls Age, body weight, BMI, systolic and diastolic blood pressures were not significantly different between the FH patients and non-FH controls ($P > 0.05$ for all). While there were also no significant difference in fasting plasma triglycerides and VLDL-apoB-100 between the groups (1.30 ± 0.63 mmol/L vs. 1.20 ± 0.56 mmol/L and 77 ± 28 mg/L vs. 89 ± 43 mg/L, $P > 0.05$ in both), the FH patients showed a greater triglyceride responses, as estimated by the total (27.7 ± 19.9 vs. 15.8 ± 5.6 mmol/L \cdot 10 h, $P < 0.05$) and incremental AUCs (14.5 ± 10.2 mmol/L \cdot 10 h vs. 7.3 ± 2.8 mmol/L \cdot 10 h, $P < 0.05$), than the non-FH controls. Postprandial VLDL-apoB-100 AUC response was higher in the FH patients than the non-FH controls (952 ± 340 mg/L \cdot 10 h vs 717 ± 246 mg/L \cdot 10 h), which just failed to reach significance ($P = 0.08$). Fasting apoB-48 concentration (8.8 ± 7.7 mg/L vs. 5.0 ± 1.7 mg/L, $P < 0.05$) and postprandial apoB-48 total AUCs (189 ± 163 mg/L \cdot 10 h vs. 92.4 ± 24.4 mg/L \cdot 10 h, $p < 0.05$) were also significantly higher in the FH patients compared with the control group. The foregoing postprandial responses were not dependent with presence of or class of *LDLR* mutations (data not shown).

ω -3 FAEE intervention The postprandial responses for plasma triglycerides, VLDL-apoB-100 and apoB-48 to the fat load are shown in Figure 2. As seen in Figure 3, ω -3 FAEE supplementation significantly reduced postprandial triglyceride and VLDL-apoB-100 total AUCs

(-19% and -26%, respectively, $P < 0.01$) and incremental AUCs (-18% and -35%, respectively $P < 0.05$). Postprandial apoB-48 total AUC (0-10 h) was also significantly reduced with ω -3 FAEE supplementation (-30%, $P < 0.02$). ω -3 FAEE supplementation lowered postprandial apoB-48 incremental AUC (-25%), but this failed to reach statistical significance ($P = 0.09$). The effects of ω -3 FAEE supplementation on postprandial triglyceride and VLDL-apoB-100 total and incremental AUCs, as well as postprandial apoB-48 total AUCs were comparable between FH men and women and were not dependent on the presence nor class of *LDLR* mutations nor *APOE* genotype (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

The major finding of this study was that FH patients with normal fasting plasma triglyceride concentrations had impaired postprandial triglyceride, VLDL-apoB-100 and apoB-48 responses to a fat load and these abnormalities were partially corrected by ω -3 FAEE supplementation. This was reflected by decreasing both fasting concentrations and total postprandial AUCs of triglyceride, VLDL-apoB-100 and apoB-48, against a background of cholesterol-lowering therapy (statin with and without ezetimibe).

Previous studies This is the first demonstration the effect of ω -3 FAEE supplementation on postprandial TRL response in FH patients. Previous studies have only examined the postprandial effects of ω -3 FAEEs in non-FH subjects, with inconsistent results. Slivkoff-Clark et al. reported that in insulin resistant obese men, 4-week ω -3 FAEE supplementation (1.7 g/day) did not alter fasting apoB-48 concentration or postprandial apoB-48 incremental AUC (22). In non-obese healthy subjects, Park et al. reported that 4-week EPA or DHA supplementation (4 g/day) reduced total postprandial apoB-48 AUC (23). Tinker et al. found that high dose fish oil supplementation (5.2 g/day) for 6 weeks significantly reduced fasting apoB-48 concentration and total postprandial apoB-48 AUC in subjects with hypertriglyceridemia (24). We have recently reported that the addition of 16-week ω -3 FAEEs (4 g/day) to a moderate weight loss diet significantly reduced fasting and total postprandial AUCs of triglyceride and apoB-48 in obese subjects (18). The aforementioned discrepant findings might be explained by differences in subject characteristics, as well as the duration (4 to 16 weeks) and dose and composition of the fish oils employed (1.7 g to 5.2 g/day). We extend these studies by employing high dose ω -3 FAEE supplementation (4 g/day) and examining FH patients against a background of cholesterol-lowering treatment (i.e. statin with or without ezetimibe).

Postprandial lipemia in FH ApoB48-containing chylomicrons and their remnants are responsible for the transport of exogenous triglycerides from the intestine via the circulation to peripheral tissues. Remnant particles are then removed from the circulation by LDL receptor and/or LDL receptor-related protein-mediated mechanisms in the liver (25). However, the pathophysiology of postprandial hypertriglyceridemia in FH is not fully understood. It is well recognized that the classical metabolic defect in FH is hypocatabolism of LDL due to a decreased LDL receptor activity (1). In addition to reducing the catabolism of LDL, LDL receptor dysfunction can also increase the secretion of VLDLs in FH (26-28). Hence, disturbances in chylomicron metabolism in FH may collectively be due to an effect of the suppressed LDL receptor activity and, to a lesser extent, oversecretion of VLDLs. These effects potentially increase competition for hepatic uptake between chylomicron and VLDL remnants, thereby impairing the hepatic uptake of chylomicron remnants by the LDL receptor pathway. Consistent with the aforementioned mechanisms, we found that our FH patients had impaired postprandial responses for triglyceride, VLDL-apoB-100 and apoB-48 (total AUCs) following an oral fat load test. Whether FH patients exhibit oversecretion and/or impaired catabolism of TRLs (VLDL-apoB-100 and apoB48-containing lipoproteins) in the fasting and post-prandial conditions remains to be elucidated.

Mechanism of action of intervention The precise mechanism(s) of action of ω -3 FAEE supplementation lowering fasting concentrations and postprandial total AUCs of triglyceride, VLDL-apoB-100 and apoB-48 is not clear. Experimental data show that ω -3 FAEEs increase post-translational degradation of newly synthesized apoB-48 and decrease expression of apoB-48 m-RNA, and can decrease monoacylglycerol acyltransferase and diacylglycerol acyltransferase activities, resulting in a net reduction in triglyceride synthesis and apoB-48 secretion in the intestine (29-31). Hence, it is likely that ω -3 FAEE supplementation improves postprandial lipemia in FH by decreasing apoB-48 secretion. This speculation is supported by our previous report showing that in obese subjects, ω -3 FAEE supplementation lowered postprandial

plasma concentrations of triglycerides and apoB-48 chiefly by decreasing basal apoB-48 secretion without altering its catabolism (18). The lack of significant changes in apoB-48 incremental AUC suggests that ω -3 FAEEs have no significant impact on apoB-48 synthesis during the postprandial period. The precise reasons for the lack of an acute effect on apoB-48 metabolism remain to be elucidated. The reduction of fasting VLDL-apoB-100 concentration with ω -3 FAEE supplementation is consistent with studies in humans showing suppression of hepatic VLDL-apoB production with ω -3 FAEEs (32, 33). This suppression is chiefly due to a decrease in triglyceride synthesis and an increase in fatty acid mitochondrial β -oxidation (34). Importantly, our findings also support the effect of ω -3 FAEE supplementation on VLDL-apoB metabolism during the postprandial state. Patsch et al found that postprandial triglyceride levels were 30% higher in patient with CAD than in non-CAD controls (35). Hence, it is possible that the 20-30% reduction in postprandial TRL responses with ω -3 FAEE supplementation in our study may be clinically important, but this requires investigation.

Study limitations Our study has limitations. The sample size was relatively small, but was based on a previous study that ω -3 FAEEs could decrease postprandial AUCs for triglyceride and apoB-48 by at least 20%. While the power was 85%, we might have underpowered to detect changes of small decrease in postprandial apoB-48 incremental AUC. As we employed an end-point blinded study design without a placebo control, there is the possibility that the increased performance with ω -3 FAEE intervention could be biased by our open, single-blind design. However, our findings are consistent with the postulated mechanisms of action of ω -3 FAEE on postprandial TRL metabolism. Our study did not investigate whether the higher total AUCs of triglycerides and TRLs were attributable to oversecretion and/or impaired catabolism of TRLs (VLDL-apoB and apoB-48 containing lipoproteins) in the fasting and postprandial conditions. Measurement of the kinetics of apoB-48, VLDL-apoB-100 and triglyceride may help to clarify the

mechanism of action of ω -3 FAEEs on apoB-48 metabolism. While we found that presence and class of *LDLR* mutation did not significantly influence postprandial triglyceride, VLDL-apoB-100 and apoB-48 responses, the individual number of patients in each class was small. A larger study selecting for class of *LDLR* mutation is required to examine the influence of specific gene variants on postprandial lipemia and its response to ω -3 FAEE supplementation in FH.

Clinical implications FH remains an under-appreciated condition with an extremely high risk of CHD, not all of which is explained by elevation in LDL-cholesterol (3). Elevated postprandial triglycerides and TRLs may play an important role in development of ASCVD in FH by contributing to endothelial dysfunction, coagulopathy and thrombosis, hepatic steatosis, inflammation and oxidative stress, and the development of atherosclerosis (9, 10). However, postprandial lipoproteins are not a focus of standard therapy. Our results demonstrate that our FH patients exhibit higher postprandial lipemia despite having normal fasting plasma triglyceride concentrations. To identify patients with FH who may benefit from triglyceride-lowering may require use of a standardized oral fat challenge. Our findings support the addition of ω -3 FAEE supplementation to cholesterol-lowering therapy to improve postprandial hypertriglyceridemia in patients with FH. Future studies should examine the effect of other triglyceride-lowering agents (such as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor [PPAR] agonists and selective PPAR modulators) on TRL metabolism in FH (3, 8).

In conclusion, our data support the hypothesis that addition of ω -3 FAEEs to standard treatment of hypercholesterolemia significantly improves postprandial lipemia in FH subjects. Future studies should examine the additive effects of fibrates, anti-PCSK9 (proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9) therapies and other ω -3 FAEE preparations against background statin therapy on

postprandial lipid and lipoprotein metabolism. The full clinical significance of our findings remains to be formally demonstrated in a cardiovascular outcome trial of FH patient with postprandial lipemia and established ASCVD.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by grants from the National Health Medical Research Council of Australia (NHMRC). DCC is a Royal Perth Hospital Medical Research Foundation Fellow. PHRB is an NHMRC Senior Research Fellow.

REFERENCES

1. **Humphries S** 2008 Familial hypercholesterolaemia: a monogenic cause of early CHD. In Humphries, S. (ed.), *Genetics of Cardiovascular Disease: Genetic interactions in a multifactorial disease*, The Biomedical & Life Sciences Collection, Henry Stewart Talks Ltd, London.
2. **Goldstein JL, Hobbs HH, Brown MS** 2001 Familial hypercholesterolemia. In: Scriver CR, Beaudet AL, Sly WS, et al., editors. *The metabolic and molecular bases of inherited disease*. New York: McGraw-Hill; pages 2863-2913.
3. **Nordestgaard BG, Chapman MJ, Humphries SE, Ginsberg HN, Masana L, Descamps OS, Wiklund O, Hegele RA, Raal FJ, Defesche JC, Wiegman A, Santos RD, Watts GF, Parhofer KG, Hovingh GK, Kovanen PT, Boileau C, Aversa M, Borén J, Bruckert E, Catapano AL, Kuivenhoven JA, Pajukanta P, Ray K, Stalenhoef AFH, Stroes E, Taskinen MR, Tybjærg-Hansen A, and for the European Atherosclerosis Society Consensus Panel** 2013 Familial hypercholesterolaemia is underdiagnosed and undertreated in the general population: guidance for clinicians to prevent coronary heart disease: Consensus Statement of the European Atherosclerosis Society. *Eur Heart J* 34: 3478–3490
4. **Kolovou GD, Anagnostopoulou KK, Pilatis ND, Iraklianiou S, Hoursalas IS, Liberi S, Pavlidis AN, Dritsas A, Mikhailidis DP, Cokkinos DV** 2005 Heterozygote men with familial hypercholesterolaemia may have an abnormal triglyceride response post-prandially. Evidence for another predictor of vascular risk in familial hypercholesterolaemia. *Int J Clin Pract* 59: 311-317
5. **Mamo JC, Smith D, Yu KC, Kawaguchi A, Harada-Shiba M, Yamamura T, Yamamoto A** 1998 Accumulation of chylomicron remnants in homozygous subjects with familial hypercholesterolaemia. *Eur J Clin Invest* 28:379-384

6. **Cabezas MC, de Bruin TW, Westerveld HE, Meijer E, Erkelens DW** 1998 Delayed chylomicron remnant clearance in subjects with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia. *J Intern Med* 244:299-307
7. **Zilvermit DB** 1979 Atherogenesis: a postprandial phenomenon. *Circulation* 60: 473-485
8. **Watts GF, Ooi EMM, Chan DC** 2013 Demystifying the management of hypertriglyceridaemia. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 10: 648-661
9. **Chan DC, Watts GF** 2012 Postprandial lipoprotein metabolism in familial hypercholesterolemia: thinking outside the box. *Metabolism* 61: 3-11
10. **Kolovou GD, Kostakou PM, Anagnostopoulou KK** 2011 Familial hypercholesterolemia and triglyceride metabolism. *Int J Cardiol* 147: 349-358
11. **Tremblay AJ, Lamarche B, Ruel I, Hogue JC, Bergeron J, Gagné C, Couture P** 2004 Lack of evidence for reduced plasma apo B48 catabolism in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia carrying the same null LDL receptor gene mutation. *Atherosclerosis* 172: 367-373
12. **Chan DC, Pang J, Hooper AJ, Burnett JR, Bell DA, Bates TR, van Bockxmeer FM, Watts GF** 2015 Elevated lipoprotein(a), hypertension and renal insufficiency as predictors of coronary artery disease in patients with genetically confirmed heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. *Int J Cardiol* 201: 633-638
13. **Saravanan P, Davidson NC, Schmidt EB, Calder PC** 2012 Cardiovascular effects of marine omega-3 fatty acids. *Lancet* 376: 540-550
14. **Mori TA, Beilin LJ** 2001 Long-chain omega 3 fatty acids, blood lipids and cardiovascular risk reduction. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 12: 11-17

15. **Rizos EC, Ntzani EE, Bika E, Kostapanos MS, Elisaf MS** 2012 Association between omega-3 fatty acid supplementation and risk of major cardiovascular disease events: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA* 308:1024-1033
16. **Kwak SM, Myung S-K, Lee YJ, Seo HG** 2012 Efficacy of Omega-3 Fatty Acid Supplements (Eicosapentaenoic Acid and Docosahexaenoic Acid) in the Secondary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease. *Arch Intern Med* 172:686-694
17. **Kotwal S, Jun M, Sullivan D, Perkovic V, Neal B** 2012 Omega 3 Fatty acids and cardiovascular outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes* 5:808–818
18. **Wong AT, Chan DC, Barrett PH, Adams LA, Watts GF** 2014 Effect of ω -3 fatty acid ethyl esters on apolipoprotein B-48 kinetics in obese subjects on a weight loss diet: a new tracer kinetic study in the postprandial state. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 99: E1427-1435
19. **Hooper AJ, Nguyen LT, Burnett JR, Bates TR, Bell DA, Redgrave TG, Watts GF, van Bockxmeer FM** 2012 Genetic analysis of familial hypercholesterolaemia in Western Australia. *Atherosclerosis* 224: 430-434
20. **Blair SN, Haskell WL, Ho P, Paffenbarger RS Jr, Vranizan KM, Farquhar JW, Wood PD** 1985 Assessment of habitual physical activity by a seven-day recall in a community survey and controlled experiments. *Am J Epidemiol* 122:794-804
21. **Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC** 1985 Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 28: 412-419
22. **Slivkoff-Clark KM, James AP, Mamo J** 2013 The chronic effects of fish oil with exercise on postprandial lipaemia and chylomicron homeostasis in insulin resistant viscerally obese men. *Nutr Metab* 9: 9

23. **Park YS, Harris WS** 2003 Omega-3 fatty acid supplementation accelerates chylomicron triglyceride clearance. *J Lipid Res* 44: 455-463
24. **Tinker LF, Parks EJ, Behr SR, Schneeman BO, Davis PA** 1999 (n-3) fatty acid supplementation in moderately hypertriglyceridemic adults changes postprandial lipid and apolipoprotein B responses to a standardized test meal. *J Nutr* 129: 1126-1134
25. **Havel RJ** 1995 Chylomicron remnants: hepatic receptors and metabolism. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 6: 312-316
26. **Twisk J, Gillian-Daniel DL, Tebon A, Wang L, Barrett PH, Attie AD** 2000 The role of the LDL receptor in apolipoprotein B secretion. *J Clin Invest* 105: 521-532
27. **Cummings MH, Watts GF, Umpleby M, Hennessy TR, Quiney JR, Sönksen PH** 1995 Increased hepatic secretion of very-low-density-lipoprotein apolipoprotein B-100 in heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia: a stable isotope study. *Atherosclerosis* 113: 79-89
28. **Millar JS, Maugeais C, Ikewaki K, Kolansky DM, Barrett PH, Budreck EC, Boston RC, Tada N, Mochizuki S, Defesche JC, Wilson JM, Rader DJ** 2005 Complete deficiency of the low-density lipoprotein receptor is associated with increased apolipoprotein B-100 production. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 25: 560-565
29. **Brown AM, Baker PW, Gibbons GF** 1997 Changes in fatty acid metabolism in rat hepatocytes in response to dietary n-3 fatty acids are associated with changes in the intracellular metabolism and secretion of apolipoprotein B-48. *J Lipid Res* 38: 469-481
30. **Murthy S, Albright E, Mathur SN, Davidson NO, Field FJ** 1992 Apolipoprotein B mRNA abundance is decreased by eicosapentaenoic acid in CaCo-2 cells. Effect on the synthesis and secretion of apolipoprotein B. *Arterioscler Thromb* 12: 691-700

31. **Levy E, Spahis S, Ziv E, Marette A, Elchebly M, Lambert M, Delvin E** 2006 Overproduction of intestinal lipoprotein containing apolipoprotein B-48 in Psammomys obesus: impact of dietary n-3 fatty acids. *Diabetologia* 49: 1937-1945
32. **Bordin P, Bodamer OA, Venkatesan S, Gray RM, Bannister PA, Halliday D** 1998 Effects of fish oil supplementation on apolipoprotein B100 production and lipoprotein metabolism in normolipidaemic males. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 52: 104-109
33. **Chan DC Watts, GF, Mori TA, Barrett PHR, Redgrave TG, Beilin LJ** 2003 Randomized controlled trial of the effect of n-3 fatty acid supplementation on the metabolism of apolipoprotein B-100 and chylomicron remnants in men with visceral obesity. *Am J Clin Nutr* 77: 300-307
34. **Price PT, Nelson CM, Clarke SD** 2000 Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid regulation of gene expression. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 11: 3-7.
35. **Patsch JR, Miesenbock G, Hopferwieser T, Muhlberger V, Knapp E, Dunn JK, Gotto AM Jr, Patsch W** 1992 Relation of triglyceride metabolism and coronary artery disease. Studies in the postprandial state. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 12: 1336-1345.

LEGENDS

Table 1. Effect of ω -3 FAEE supplementation on clinical and biochemical variables in the patients

Figure 1. Trial design for ω -3 FAEE supplementation in familial hypercholesterolemia

Figure 2. Plasma triglyceride (A), VLDL-apoB-100 (B) and apoB-48 (C) responses (mean \pm SEM) to the fat load before and after ω -3 FAEE supplementation

Figure 3. Postprandial changes for plasma triglyceride (A), VLDL-apoB-100 (B) and apoB-48 (C) (mean \pm SEM) following the oral fat load before and after ω -3 FAEE supplementation

Table 1. Effect of ω -3 FAEE supplementation on clinical and biochemical variables in the patients

	No Treatment	ω -3 FAEE supplementation	P
Weight (kg)	79.1 \pm 3.6	79.0 \pm 3.5	0.80
Waist circumference (cm)	90.5 \pm 2.9	90.4 \pm 3.1	0.88
Body mass index (kg/m²)	27.0 \pm 1.4	27.0 \pm 1.3	0.70
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	124 \pm 3.2	117 \pm 3.4	0.01
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	69.3 \pm 1.9	65.1 \pm 1.9	0.01
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.58 \pm 0.27	4.20 \pm 0.16	0.07
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.30 \pm 0.14	1.05 \pm 0.09	0.01
LDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	2.81 \pm 0.29	2.54 \pm 0.16	0.20
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.19 \pm 0.12	1.12 \pm 0.05	0.55
Non-HDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	3.39 \pm 0.27	3.07 \pm 0.18	0.10
Apolipoprotein B (g/L)	0.83 \pm 0.06	0.76 \pm 0.03	0.04
VLDL-apoB-100 (mg/L)	77.2 \pm 6.2	56.5 \pm 4.9	0.01
ApoB-48 (mg/L)	8.77 \pm 1.72	5.64 \pm 0.81	0.03
Lipoprotein(a) (g/L)	0.44 \pm 0.11	0.42 \pm 0.10	0.13
Glucose (mmol/L)	5.19 \pm 0.10	5.32 \pm 0.11	0.12
Insulin (mU/L)	7.74 \pm 0.95	8.77 \pm 0.89	0.22
HOMA score	1.79 \pm 0.23	2.08 \pm 0.21	0.14

Values are Mean \pm SEM

