1 Bioturbation by a reintroduced digging mammal reduces fuel loads in an urban reserve

2
3 Ryan, C.M.¹ Hobbs, R.J.¹ and Valentine, L.E.^{1*}

4 ¹School of Biological Sciences, University of Western Australia, WA 6009 Australia

5 *Corresponding author: Email: leonie.valentine@uwa.edu.au Telephone: +61 8 6488 4827

6
7 Running head: digging mammal reduces fuel loads

8
9 Publication information:

10 Ryan, C.M., Hobbs, R.J. and Valentine, L.E. (2020) Bioturbation by a reintroduced digging
11 mammal educes fuel loads in an urban reserve. *Ecological Applications* 30: e02018. DOI:
12 10.1002/eap.2018.

ABSTRACT

Digging animals may alter many characteristics of their environment as they disrupt and modify the ground's surface by creating foraging pits or burrows. Extensive disturbance to the soil and litter layer changes litter distribution and availability, potentially altering fuel loads. In many landscapes, including peri-urban areas, fire management to reduce fuel loads is complex and challenging. The reintroduction of previously common digging animals, many of which are now threatened, may have the added benefit of reducing fuel loads. We experimentally examined how the reintroduction of a marsupial bandicoot, quenda (*Isoodon fusciventer*), altered surface fuel loads in an urban bush reserve in Perth, Western Australia. Foraging activities of quenda (where they dig for subterranean food) were substantial throughout the reserve, creating a visibly patchy distribution in surface litter. Further, in open plots where quenda had access, compared to fenced plots where quenda were excluded, quenda foraging significantly reduced litter cover and litter depth. Similarly, estimated surface fuel loads were nearly halved in open plots where quenda foraged compared to fenced plots where quenda were absent (3.6 *c.f.* 6.4 tonnes ha⁻¹). Fire behaviour modelling, using the estimated surface fuel loads, indicated the predicted rate of spread of fire were significantly lower for open plots where quenda foraged compared to fenced plots under both low (29.2 *c.f.* 51.4 m hr⁻¹; total fuels) and high (74.3 *c.f.* 130.4 m hr⁻¹; total fuels) fire conditions. Although many environments require fire, including the bushland where this study occurred, fire management can be a considerable challenge in many landscapes, including urban bushland reserves which are usually small and close to human infrastructure. The reintroduction of previously common digging species may have potential value as a complimentary tool for reducing fuel loads, and potentially, fire risk.

Keywords: bandicoot, ecosystem engineer, fire management, fire regime, plant-animal interactions, reintroduction, quenda

INTRODUCTION

Animals that regularly dig for food or create burrows and whose soil turnover activities affect the availability of resources for other organisms are often considered ecosystem engineers (Jones et al. 1994). Through soil displacement, digging animals can enhance many ecosystem functions (Davidson et al. 2012, Fleming et al. 2014), including increased water infiltration, altered soil nutrients, altered microbial properties and enhanced seedling recruitment (James et al. 2010, Eldridge et al. 2016, Valentine et al. 2018) all of which are likely to influence vegetation and landscape structure (Whitford and Kay 1999). Furthermore, animals that disrupt the surface litter layer through their behaviours have recently been implicated in altering fuel loads (Nugent et al. 2014, Hayward et al. 2016, Smith et al. 2016). In this study we examine the effect of digging activities of an Australian marsupial bandicoot, quenda (*Isodon fusciventer*), on fuel loads, following their reintroduction in an urban bushland reserve.

The mechanisms by which animals can directly alter fuel loads include herbivory and through the production of bare ground. Fire and herbivory are important, interacting disturbances (van Langevelde et al. 2003), with herbivory playing a recognised role in altering fuel loads (Waldram et al. 2008, Leonard et al. 2010). However, less is understood on how the creation of bare ground by animals alters fuel loads. Although the nature of fire-spread and intensity is complex, the surface fuel layer is an important determinant of fire behaviour and releases much of the energy during a fire (Sullivan et al. 2012). Small scale variations in the surface fuel layer can alter fire behaviour (Atkins and Hobbs 1995, Thaxton and Platt 2006) with

discontinuities in the surface fuel layer impeding the spread of a low intensity fire (Gould et al. 2011).

Animals can create bare ground that may alter surface fuel loads and fire behaviour in many ways. Through wallowing, foraging, nesting and burrowing, animals can reduce surface fuel layers. For example, American bison (*Bison bison*) were shown to create numerous deep wallows without vegetation that had an impact on reducing fire spread in North American grasslands (Knapp et al. 1999). In some situations, a combination of behaviours act in concert; for instance, Hierro et al. (2011) found that herbivory and the creation of burrows by the South American viscacha (*Lagostomus maximus*) acted like a fire-break around active burrows. Nest building by an Australian megapode, malleefowl (*Leipoa ocellata*), involves gathering large amounts of litter into mounds, with fire behaviour modelling suggesting that the bare ground around mounds can reduce fire intensity (Smith et al. 2016).

Digging for subterranean food or creating burrows may also modify the surface litter layers. In Australia, many of the medium sized marsupials regularly dig (Fleming et al. 2014), potentially reducing fuel loads (Martin 2003, Hayward et al. 2016), *via* the mechanical effect of soil mixing and covering litter with soil, which may also enhance litter decomposition. At a landscape scale, animals contribute collectively to surface heterogeneity and patchiness by soil disturbance (Whitford and Kay 1999), potentially maintaining both open areas and areas of denser vegetation, that are important for long unburnt habitat refuges (Parr and Andersen 2006). The loss, decline and range contraction of many of Australia's medium sized digging mammals (Fleming et al. 2014), predominantly attributed to predation from introduced cats (*Felis catus*) and red foxes (*Vulpes vulpes*) (Woinarski et al. 2015), is likely to have drastically altered the surface heterogeneity of Australian landscapes.

Fuel load reduction in forest ecosystems, particularly in Mediterranean climates, is of increasing importance because of a higher incidence of fire-weather due to climate change (Enright et al. 2015). Contemporary prescribed burning aims to manage fuel loads and fuel structure to mitigate effects of wildfire and has been used in Australia since the 1950s (Burrows and McCaw 2013), although anthropogenic fire has been used in Australia for thousands of years, typically creating patchy mosaics of different aged vegetation (Hassell and Dodson 2003). The cessation of traditional Aboriginal burning practices and altered fire management (Bowman 1998, Abbott and Burrows 2003), coupled with the loss of many digging mammals, means fuel loads are likely to be higher and more homogenous in contemporary times.

Re-establishing populations of digging mammals has been proposed as a potential tool for managing the risks of wildfire (Johnson et al. 2018). Translocations of previously common mammals occurs throughout Australia providing an opportunity to collect information on altered fuel loads. Seminal research in this field was conducted by Hayward et al. (2016) in semi-arid habitat at Scotia reserve (> 64 000 ha) in south-eastern Australia. Their research showed that litter mass, cover and predicted fire spread were lower inside fences where three threatened digging mammals, the bilby (*Macrotis lagotis*), boodie (*Bettongia lesueur*) and woylie (*B. penicillata*), had been reintroduced during the previous ten years (Hayward et al. 2016). We contribute to this research area by examining surface fuel loads in an urban reserve, in a fire-prone Mediterranean climate, within a short time (< 4 years) of the reintroduction of a single digging mammal species.

110 In urban and peri-urban reserves with remnant native vegetation, that is close to human
111 habitations and infrastructure, fire management is challenging and often has competing
112 interests (Gill and Stephens 2009, Driscoll et al. 2010). From a human safety perspective,
113 there is a desire to minimise fuel loads in reserves to reduce the risk of wildfire (Gibbons et
114 al. 2012). From a conservation management perspective, there may be a desire to maintain
115 older post-fire aged habitat to cater to the resource requirements of some wildlife, such as
116 endangered Carnaby's cockatoos (*Calyptorhynchus latirostris*) (Valentine et al. 2014).
117 Further, urban reserves typically also have the added goal of engaging people with nature
118 (Standish et al. 2013). The ability of native digging mammals to reduce fuel loads may offer
119 the advantage of a complementary fire management strategy. However, as most urban
120 reserves are isolated and small, they may not support multiple species reintroductions where
121 the reintroduced species use similar resources, and it is unknown if a single reintroduced
122 digging mammal species can influence fuel load characteristics.

123

124 We examined if the translocation of quenda to an urban reserve of banksia woodlands in
125 Perth, Western Australia, could alter surface fuel loads. Banksia woodlands are comprised of
126 a sclerophyllous layer of low trees (or tall shrubs) dominated by one or more *Banksia* spp.,
127 often interspersed with emergent *Eucalyptus* spp. and a species-rich understorey of
128 sclerophyllous shrubs, sedges and herbs. Due to ongoing threats, including habitat clearing,
129 invasive species and fire regime changes, the banksia woodlands in our study area are part of
130 a threatened ecological community listed as Endangered under federal legislation through the
131 *Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999* (Threatened Species
132 Scientific Committee 2016). These banksia woodlands are considered some of the most
133 flammable woodlands in Australia (Burrows and Abbott 2003) and to reduce the risk of

wildfire to human settlement, prescribed burning is undertaken (Wilson et al. 2014), though it remains a management challenge.

Although previously common throughout south-western Australia, due to a combination of threats, including habitat loss and predation by foxes and cats, quenda had declined throughout much of their range by the 1940s (Abbott 2008). Previous research indicates that the quenda's foraging habits of digging for subterranean prey turns over large amounts of soil (~4 tonnes per individual annually; Valentine et al. 2013) and can alter soil and litter properties that subsequently influence seedling recruitment and growth (Valentine et al. 2017, Valentine et al. 2018). Our research explores: *i*) whether the digging activity of quenda altered microhabitat characteristics that influence surface fuel loads; and, *ii*) whether the microhabitat changes created by quenda could influence predicted fire behaviour.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site

The study took place at Craigie Bushland (bushland is an Australian term for a remnant parcel of native vegetation), a 53 ha urban reserve approximately 20 km north of Perth, Western Australia (Appendix S1: Fig. S1). The reserve is considered to be an important remnant of bushland containing good condition habitat (Bush Forever site #303; Government of Western Australia 2000) of the endangered Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain ecological community (Threatened Species Scientific Committee 2016) and is managed by the City of Joondalup. The reserve is located within the Swan Coastal Plain bioregion (Thackway and Cresswell 1995) mostly on Spearwood dune systems with an open banksia woodland dominated by *Banksia attenuata* and *B. menziesii*, interspersed with jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata*), tuart (*E. gomphocephala*) and marri (*Corymbia calophylla*). Prominent understorey species include *Xanthorrhoea preissii* and the sedge *Mesomelaena pseudostygia*.

159 The area has not experienced widespread fire for at least 20 years (K. Armstrong, City of
160 Joondalup, *pers. comm.*).
161
162 The City of Joondalup constructed a 43.5 ha predator proof fence in 2010 to exclude
163 introduced cats and foxes from the reserve, although the public can access the site and its
164 walking trails. A reintroduction translocation of 46 quenda occurred in mid-2013 by the
165 Western Australian Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA; A.
166 Reaveley, DBCA *pers. comm.*). Few, if any, quenda were thought to occur in the bushland
167 prior to the reintroduction. Since reintroduction, the population of quenda has steadily
168 increased, with estimates indicating between 100 – 120 individuals residing in Craigie
169 Bushland (L. Valentine, unpublished data). Quenda, a medium-sized (adults: ~ 800 – 1200 g)
170 marsupial endemic to south-western Australia, are omnivorous, feeding upon invertebrates,
171 including earthworms, beetles and their larvae, as well as underground fungi and plant
172 material. They regularly forage upon subterranean fungal fruiting bodies and may play an
173 important role in the dispersal of mycorrhizal fungi (Tay et al. 2018). Quenda are
174 predominantly nocturnal and search for their food via olfaction, creating ~45 distinctive
175 conical foraging pits per night, with each individual turning over nearly 4 tonnes of soil
176 annually (Valentine et al. 2013). Foraging pits are on average 7 – 8 cm deep and 10 cm wide,
177 and have an associated spoil heap of evacuated soil, also known as the ejecta mound
178 (Valentine et al. 2013). At the time of study, quenda were the only digging animals in the
179 reserve. Only three western grey kangaroos (*Macropus fuliginosus*) were known to occur in
180 the reserve, and their impact on plots was anticipated to be minimal.

181 **Influence of quenda on microhabitat**

182 To study the effect of quenda digging on microhabitat, five paired 10 m x 10 m plots, with a
183 minimum of 180 m between pairs, were established in April 2014 (Appendix S1: Fig. S1).

Locations of paired plots were randomly selected from quenda trapping points established by DBCA along fire break tracks, with each paired plot located a minimum of 30 m from the track edge and visually matched for broad habitat characteristics. As the plots were constructed ~ 9 months after quenda were translocated into the bushland reserve, quenda foraging pits were present in all plots initially. Microhabitat measurements (methods described below) taken within two weeks of fence erection indicated no significant differences in average litter depth (paired t -test, $t_4 = 1.58$; $P > 0.05$) or bare-ground created by quenda cover (paired t -test, $t_4 = 0.42$; $P > 0.05$) between paired plots. The corner of each plot was marked using galvanised steel markers (One-Steel fence droppers, ~94 cm high). One plot in each pair was surrounded by a fence to exclude quenda (with a minimum 0.5 m buffer between the plot and fence) while the other plot remained open and was accessible by quenda. Fences were constructed from Waratah Longlife Blue Netting (1.8 m high x 4.0 cm diameter holes; 1.4 mm wire diameter mesh) and Waratah Galstar Extreme Steel posts (180 cm). The wire netting stood ~120 cm above the ground and had a 60 cm skirt that was pinned into the ground with 200 mm weed staples. Although we did not evaluate the effect of the fence itself, we do not believe the fences significantly influenced fuel loads in our study (e.g. by altering wind or run-off of litter) because *i*) banksia woodlands are relatively flat, with deep, sandy soils and little water run-off; and *ii*) we did not visually detect build-up of litter on the fence perimeters and we allowed a buffer zone between the fence and the internal plots to account for subtle effects of the fence on wind movement of litter. At the time of sampling for this study (April-June 2017), the plots had been in place for three years and we did not detect any incursions into the fenced plots (3-monthly checks on fence integrity and visual scans for signs of fresh/new diggings).

To estimate the amount of soil disturbance quenda produce the number of foraging pits were counted within each plot. At Craigie Bushland, quenda were the only digging mammal present and their foraging pits were easy to identify. The exposed soil of the spoil-heap for each dig was measured (length and width; cm) using a ruler. As spoil heaps were typically oval, the equation for the area of an ellipse was used to estimate the bare ground for each foraging pit. The amount of bare-ground quenda created while foraging (quenda bare ground) was calculated as the sum of each spoil-heap area converted $\text{m}^2 \text{ plot}^{-1}$ (Equation 1):

$$\text{Equation 1: quenda bare ground} = \sum \frac{\left(\frac{(\text{spoil heap length cm})}{2}\right) \times \left(\frac{(\text{spoil heap width cm})}{2}\right) \times \pi}{10000}$$

To ensure that our estimates of soil exposed by quenda were equitable among plots, we standardised by the proportion of surface area available for digging within each plot. For each plot, we drew the basal area of each digging impediment, such as large shrubs, trees and fallen logs, within each m^2 of the plot boundary on graph paper (1 mm^2 representing 10cm^2). The resulting map mostly consisted of oval and round shapes requiring the equation for elliptical area. The number of mm^2 occupied by non-oval shapes was manually counted and converted to m^2 . The total area of impediments in $\text{m}^2 \text{ plot}^{-1}$ was subtracted from 100 to obtain total available digging area. We compared the mean number of quenda digs and area of quenda bare-ground between fenced and open plots with paired t-tests using log-transformed values when necessary to satisfy assumptions of normality. All data were analysed using R version 3.4.3 (R Core Team 2013).

The influence of quenda digging on microhabitat composition was measured from five 0.5 m x 0.5 m quadrats in each plot (one quadrat in each plot quarter and one in the centre of each plot). In each quadrat, we estimated the percentage (%) of litter cover, coarse woody debris, quenda bare-ground, bare-ground (not identifiably created by quenda) and vegetation

(Appendix S1: Fig. S2). Litter depth (nearest mm, with a ruler averaged over three locations within quadrat) and canopy cover (using a hemi-spherical crown densiometer held at breast height) were also recorded. To analyse the effect of treatment (fenced and open) on quadrat microhabitat response variables, we used linear mixed-effect models in the lme4 package in R (Bates et al. 2015). Paired-plot was the only random effect. Wald chi-squared tests were used to estimate *p* values of treatment from the car package in R. A visual inspection of data prior to applying the model showed no apparent deviations from homoscedasticity or normality. Microhabitat percentage data was arcsine transformed prior to analysis to normalise the distribution. Pearson's product moment correlation was used to examine possible correlations between microhabitat variables. When reporting values in the text, we use untransformed mean values with 95% CI.

Assessing surface fuel loads

Fuels can be divided into four main types of layers on their vertical arrangement: canopy, elevated fuel, near surface fuel and surface fuel (Gould et al. 2011). As digging mammals may alter ground level microhabitat characteristics, this study focuses on assessing surface fuel loads only. Surface fuels were comprised of litter, twigs, bark and other fine fuel lying on the ground (Gould and Cruz 2012) and were assessed by adapting fuel sampling techniques described in Fontaine et al. (2012) and Ruthrof et al. (2016). To characterise fuel loads, the fuel is often sorted into different size categories that represent time-lag fuel classes that indicate the time it takes, under specified conditions, for a fuel particle to lose (or gain) ~ 63 % of the difference between its initial moisture and its equilibrium moisture (Gould and Cruz 2012). Standardised time-lag fuel classes (Gould and Cruz 2012) were adapted in this study and included: 1-hour time lag fuels (< 6 mm), 10-hour time-lag fuels (6 mm – 25 mm) and

100-hour time lag fuels (> 25 mm – 70 mm); with the combined classes representing total fuels.

To estimate biomass of surface fuel loads in plots in a non-destructive manner, we developed a linear regression between litter depth (cm) and litter mass (g) using destructive sampling techniques similar to Fontaine et al. (2012) from outside the plots. In ~2 ha area surrounding the paired plot locations, we assessed litter loads using 25 cm x 25 cm quadrats ($n = 12-14$ quadrats paired plot⁻¹, total of 65 quadrats) from locations with visibly varying litter cover (e.g. litter cover varying 10-100 %). Within each quadrat litter depth was measured with a ruler (mm, average of three places in the quadrat). The litter was then collected to mineral earth level, placed in paper bags, dried for 48 hours at 60 °C, weighed (providing total fuels, g), sorted into different time-lag fuel size categories (1-hour, 10-hour and 100-hour, described above) and weighed (g). No samples contained 100-hour fuels and this size category was excluded from subsequent analysis. The derived linear regression models between litter depth and fuel load consisted of the correct functional form with normally distributed errors and without heteroscedasticity and auto-correlated errors.

We collected information on surface fuels within plots using the point interception method (Elzinga et al. 2001). At the point of intersection every 2 m along the edge and within the interior of each 10 x 10 m plot ($n = 36$ plot⁻¹) we measured microhabitat (% cover: vegetation, litter, coarse woody debris and bare-ground; and litter depth, mm) in a 25 cm x 25 cm quadrat. To estimate fuel loads within each plot, we used the subset of quadrats (range: 21-27) where litter was recorded as a variable, and inserted the measured values for litter depth (mm) in the derived linear regression models (described above; Equations 3 and 4 in Results). The resultant value for surface fuel (total and time lag fuel size categories) in g

quadrat⁻¹ were converted to tonnes ha⁻¹ and standardised in relation to the surface area available for digging within each plot.

Predicting fire behaviour

To examine potential changes in fire behaviour resulting from quenda digging at Craigie Bushland, we used the McArthur Forest Fire Danger Index (FFDI; McArthur 1967), to evaluate rate of forward spread (ROS) under high and low fire danger conditions according to the McArthur Mk5 Forest Fire Behaviour model (Noble et al. 1980). The FFDI using the Keetch-Byram Drought Index (KBDI; Keetch and Byram 1968) for soil moisture component is used for evaluating fire danger in Australia (Lucas 2010, Kumar and Dharssi 2017) and was previously used to assess fire behaviour in a large reserve with reintroduced digging mammals in semi-arid habitat (Hayward et al. 2016).

Evaluations of the models indicate they may underestimate fire spread by a factor of 2-3 and perform best when windspeeds do not exceed 12.5 km hr⁻¹ (McCaw et al. 2008). The climate variables we used for calculating FFDI under high and low fire danger conditions (Table 1), included wind speeds < 12.5 km hr⁻¹ to increase precision of the predicted forward rate of spread (McCaw et al. 2008). Climate data (Table 1) were collected from nearby Australian Bureau of Meteorology (BOM) weather stations (Swanbourne ID: 94614 and Ocean Reef ID: 009214) for high and low fire danger days. The estimated FFDI for Craigie Bushland based on these variables was 16.99 and 6.69 for the high and low fire danger day respectively. The ROS was calculated according to Noble, Bary & Gill (1980), using the fuel mass (tonnes ha⁻¹) obtained for each plot (above) according to equation 2:

$$\text{Equation 2: } ROS = 0.0012 \times FFDI \times \text{fuel mass}$$

To compare surface fuel loads and estimated ROS between fenced and open plots, we used linear mixed-effect models in the lme4 package in R (Bates et al. 2015) with paired-plot as a random effect. Wald chi-squared tests were used to estimate p -values from the car package in R. A visual inspection of data prior to applying the model showed no apparent deviations from homoscedasticity or normality.

RESULTS

Influence of quenda on microhabitat

There was a substantial difference in the mean number of quenda digs between treatments, with approximately 17 times the number of digs in the open plots, where quenda had access, compared to the fenced plots, where quenda were excluded ($t_4 = 9.12$, $P < 0.001$; open 161.0 ± 23.8 digs, fenced = 9.2 ± 6.7 digs). All the observed diggings in the fenced plots were created prior to the construction of the fence (three years earlier). The area of quenda bare-ground was also substantially greater in open plots compared to fenced plots for the raw values ($t_4 = 8.68$, $P < 0.001$; open $2.44 \pm 0.46 \text{ m}^2$, fenced = $0.11 \pm 0.09 \text{ m}^2$) and once standardised by available digging area ($t_4 = 7.15$, $P = 0.002$; open $2.00 \pm 0.48 \text{ m}^2$, fenced = $0.08 \pm 0.06 \text{ m}^2$).

Microhabitat assessments indicated that cover estimates for quenda bare-ground and litter varied significantly between open and fenced plots (Table 2). Litter cover in the open plots was nearly half ($48 \pm 18.9 \%$; Table 2) that of fenced plots ($81.6 \pm 8.7 \%$, Table 2). In contrast, the quenda bare-ground cover was substantially greater ($38.0 \pm 20.3 \%$, Table 2) in the open plots compared to the fenced ($1.5 \pm 7.5 \%$, Table 2). Litter depth was also reduced in the open plots ($10.6 \pm 5.1 \text{ mm}$, Table 2) compared to fenced plots ($25.0 \pm 2.2 \text{ mm}$, Table 2). All other microhabitat variables, including vegetation, bare-ground, CWD, and the canopy cover estimates were not significantly different between fenced and open plots (Table 2).

A Pearson's product moment correlation coefficient confirmed a negative relationship between quenda bare-ground and litter cover ($r = -0.88$, $n = 50$, $P < 0.0001$), quenda bare-ground and litter depth ($r = -0.69$, $n = 50$, $P < 0.0001$) and a positive relationship between litter depth and litter cover ($r = 0.72$, $n = 50$, $P < 0.0001$).

Assessing surface fuel loads

A strong relationship was observed between litter depth and litter mass in bushland outside the paired plots ($r^2 = 0.82$, $P < 0.001$) resulting in correlative equations to predict fuel loads inside the plots (Fig. 1) for 1-hour fuel (Equation 3) and total fuel (Equation 4). Heteroscedasticity and non-normal errors in the data for 10-hour fuel resulted in an unreliable model for predicting 10-hour fuel mass and was therefore excluded from further analysis. The correlative equations for fuel mass (g per 25 x 25 cm quadrat) were:

$$\text{Equation 3: 1 hour fuel (g)} = 2.52 (\text{depth (mm)}) - 19.2$$

$$\text{Equation 4: Total surface fuel (g)} = 2.70 (\text{depth (mm)}) - 19.4$$

To determine surface fuel loads within the paired-plots, we used the average litter depths we recorded during point-intercept sampling of the plots in the equations above (Appendix S2: Table S1). There was significantly more estimated surface fuel in the fenced plots compared to the open plots for both 1-hour fuel and total fuel load estimates (Table 3, Fig. 2); with open plots, where quenda foraged, containing nearly half of the fuel load of fenced plots.

Estimated rate of fire spread

The fire behaviour models indicated that the estimated rate of spread was close to 1.8 times faster in fenced plots compared to the open plots. Estimated rate of spread for 1-hour fuel and total fuel loads was significantly faster where quenda were absent (fenced treatment), compared to where quenda foraged (open treatment) for both high and low fire danger days (Table 3, Fig. 3).

356

357 **DISCUSSION**

358 This study has demonstrated that foraging activities of quenda in an urban bushland reserve
359 increased bare ground cover while reducing litter cover and depth, and subsequently, reduce
360 surface fuel loads and predicted rate of spread of fire. Where quenda foraged, surface fuel
361 loads were reduced and estimated to be nearly half (~56 %) of the fuel loads observed in plots
362 where quenda were excluded. Under a high fire danger scenario, the predicted rate of spread
363 of fire in areas with quenda foraging was ~45 % lower than the predicted rate of spread of fire
364 in areas where quenda were excluded. These results clearly indicated that digging activities
365 of quenda in urban bushlands have the capacity to alter fuel loads and fire spread.

366

367 **Quenda digging altered microhabitat and fuel loads**

368 There was a large amount of digging activity by the population of quenda in the reserve,
369 which is the main driver for the differences in microhabitat characteristics (e.g. litter depth,
370 litter cover, bare-ground and estimated litter mass) observed between open and fenced plots.
371 We are confident that the results we observed in this study are primarily caused by quenda as
372 *i)* quenda were the only digging mammals in Craigie Bushland; *ii)* we differentiated between
373 quenda-created bare-ground and bare-ground created by other disturbances (e.g. ants,
374 humans); and, *iii)* all quenda diggings observed in the fenced plots were created prior to the
375 fence construction. The presence of old quenda digs in the fenced plots indicates that some
376 quenda digs may persist for years after creation, at least three years in our study. Most quenda
377 digs are probably more ephemeral and degraded quickly; and potentially the old digs we
378 observed in the fenced plots were originally larger digs, and hence less likely to be entirely
379 covered by litter fall in the intervening years.

380

381 A key finding of this study was that litter depth, litter cover and estimated litter mass were all
382 significantly higher in the fenced plots, while bare-ground cover was higher in the open plots
383 as a consequence of quenda digging. Foraging by the superb lyrebird (*Menura*
384 *novahollandiae*), using its large legs and feet to rake through surface litter layers for
385 invertebrates in eucalypt woodlands of southern Australia, resulted in similar changes in
386 microhabitat, with litter loads reduced by 25 % compared to areas where they couldn't forage
387 (Nugent et al. 2014). Hayward et al. (2016) also found reduced litter mass and litter cover
388 inside large reserves where multiple digging mammal species had been reintroduced. Our
389 results indicate that within a short time frame (< 4 years since reintroduction, 3 years since
390 fence construction) the successful translocation of a single digging mammal species can alter
391 fuel load characteristics in an urban area.

392

393 Accumulation of surface fine fuels results from the difference between litter accession rates
394 and decomposition rates (Gould et al. 2011). The amount of expected fuel loads with time
395 since last fire has been modelled for various Australian habitats, including banksia woodlands
396 (Burrows and McCaw 1990). These relationships typically reach an asymptote when fuel load
397 stabilises (depending on fuel type, decomposition rate and time since fire). In banksia
398 woodlands, surface fuel accumulates rapidly, stabilising at 6-8 t ha⁻¹ about 6 years since fire,
399 and is capable of supporting a rapidly moving fire under extreme weather conditions
400 (Burrows and McCaw 1990). Given that Craigie Bushland has not been burnt for at least 20
401 years, it would be expected that surface fuel loads resemble this prediction; and within the
402 fenced plots (where quenda are excluded) the surface fuel loads are as expected (7 – 8 t ha⁻¹).
403 However, in the open plots (where quenda are actively digging) the surface fuel loads are
404 substantially less (~3.5 t ha⁻¹). As quenda were already uncommon north of Perth (Abbott

2008), the patterns observed by Burrows and McCaw (1990) are likely to be indicative of fuel accumulation curves in the absence of abundant digging mammals. We suggest that quenda digging in the Craigie Bushland open plots changed the predicted fuel accumulation patterns.

Quenda digging alters predicted fire behaviour

The estimated rate of spread of fire, based on the Mk5 Forest Fire Behaviour Model, under high fire conditions, was nearly halved in the presence of quenda digging at Craigie Bushland. Using the same model, estimated fire spread at the much larger Scotia reserve (~65 000 ha) was reduced by 33 % in the presence of reintroduced digging mammals (Hayward et al. 2016). Other aspects of fire behaviour are also likely to be altered by digging activities of animals that disturb surface fuel loads. Foraging by lyrebirds reduced the predicted flame height of fire by ~50 % in south-eastern Australia (Nugent et al. 2014), while the nests of mallee fowl were also predicted to reduce flame height under high fire danger conditions (Smith et al. 2016).

The FFDI used in our study is predominantly derived from eucalyptus woodland with continuous ground leaf litter. Banksia woodlands often have a patchy ground litter distribution (although at our sites it was > 60 % on average) and most of the potential fire spread occurs because of the elevated live fuel (Burrows and McCaw 1990), and the density of understorey shrubs in banksia woodlands will play a large role in fire behaviour. The FFDI can also under-predict rate of spread and height, particularly at high windspeeds (McCaw et al. 2008), although we used wind speed variables that allowed more robust predictions. A future improvement to assess the influence of digging animals on fuel loads and fire behaviour will be to *i*) conduct a wider fuel load assessment (to include elevated fuels in the dense understorey), and *ii*) utilise additional fire behaviour models, such as the highly specific forest flammability model that incorporates plant traits (Zylstra et al. 2016).

The role of digging animals in fire management

There is clearly capacity for animals, including reintroduced digging mammals, to alter fuel loads. Although reintroduction programs face many challenges, they are an increasingly utilised management practice, not just for the conservation of species, but also for the ecosystem services a species provides (Seddon et al. 2014). Few reintroduction programs have occurred in urban areas, but there is a growing awareness of the benefits of engaging people with nature (van Heezik and Seddon 2018). In Australia, the threat of predation from introduced cats and foxes (Woinarski et al. 2015) restricts the broad-scale reintroduction of mammals outside of fenced reserves or islands; however, the reintroduction of mammals may still be feasible in some urban areas (e.g. Craigie Bushland, this project; and Mulligan's Flat, Batson et al. 2016). Encouragingly, quenda (and some other bandicoot species) occur outside of fenced areas. Although quenda are predated upon by introduced foxes and cats, they have persisted in areas where dense vegetation potentially provides refuge from predators (Abbott 2008). In recent years, quenda have been reintroduced into several unfenced urban reserves throughout Perth, and although published population data are lacking, they are persisting (A. Reaveley, DBCA *pers. comm.*).

From a fuel management perspective it will be important to understand how many digging animals are required to create fuel load reductions that significantly alter fire behaviour; presumably, this will vary depending upon the animal and habitat in question. Knowledge on pre-European densities of Australian mammals is lacking, but historical accounts indicate many species, including quenda, were abundant (Abbott 2008). Previous research has documented similar quenda densities (~2/ha) in both fenced and unfenced areas (Ramalho et al. 2018), as our unquantified observations at Craigie Bushland. This indicates that although

quenda are absent from many bushlands, they may be able to support populations large enough to significantly reduce fuel loads in urban areas once a population has re-established. However, reintroducing mammals into small urban reserves will come with many management challenges, including proximity to urban infrastructure and isolation from other habitat patches. Furthermore, most urban bushlands contain alien plant species and it is unclear how the digging actions of native animals will interact with such plants. As alien plants can influence fuel loads and fire regimes (D'Antonio and Vitousek 1992), further research examining the impact of digging animals on alien plants, especially invasive species, is warranted.

The concept of pyrodiversity, encompassing the interaction between fire regimes, trophic levels, ecological processes and their feedbacks (Bowman et al. 2016), can be extended to the effect of digging animals on fire behaviour. Many Australian mammals, including bandicoots, require unburnt habitat that act as refuges from invasive predators (Doherty et al. 2015). For example, long-nosed bandicoots (*Perameles nasuta*) visit small patches of open areas to forage while retreating to denser vegetation for refuge (Hughes and Banks 2010). We observed that the digging by quenda at Craigie bushland provided a heterogeneous and patchy distribution of litter cover between dense understorey vegetation patches where quenda shelter. As patchiness of surface fuels changes the way in which fire progresses and forest fire spread can be impeded by bare areas (Gould et al. 2011, Cheney et al. 2012), the patchiness in litter distribution created by quenda digging may play a protective role for denser vegetation. This may provide a positive feedback to the persistence of quenda populations, especially in isolated urban reserves where they are considered highly sensitive to large scale fires (Ramalho et al. 2018).

The role of digging animals in altering fuel loads and influencing fire has largely been overlooked; but the loss or decline of many native vertebrates may have subsequently altered fire regimes in landscapes (Hayward et al. 2016, Johnson et al. 2018). Many previously common species are thought to have been important in ecosystem function (Davidson et al. 2012, Fleming et al. 2014), and there is mounting evidence to suggest that native digging animals may play an important role in reducing fuel loads (this study; Hayward et al. 2016). In urban bushland reserves, where reducing fuel loads is a challenge for land managers, the reintroduction of previously common digging animals may have potential value as a complimentary fire management tool.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge funding support from the Australian Government's National Environmental Science Program through the Threatened Species Recovery Hub (NESP – TSR), the Australian Research Council – Centre of Excellence in Environmental Decisions, and the University of Western Australia's School of Biological Sciences. We thank the City of Joondalup for access to Craigie Bushland and the Western Australian Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions for assistance with establishing exclosure plots and discussions about quenda translocations. We thank Rebecca Campbell and Rebecca O'Neil for assistance with data collection and Joe Fontaine and Katinka Ruthrof for valuable discussions. This manuscript was improved by the suggestions and feedback from Catherine Parr, Matt Hayward and an anonymous reviewer. The experiment was conceived and designed by LV and RH. The data was collected and analysed by CR and LV. The manuscript was initially drafted by CR and LV, with all authors contributing towards critical review of subsequent drafts.

505

506 **LITERATURE CITED**

507 Abbott, I. 2008. Historical perspectives of the ecology of some conspicuous vertebrate species
508 in south-west Western Australia. *Conservation Science Western Australia* **6**:1-214.

509 Abbott, I., and N. Burrows. 2003. Fire in ecosystems of south-west Western Australia:
510 impacts and management. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands.

511 Atkins, L., and R. J. Hobbs. 1995. Measurement and effects of fire heterogeneity in southwest
512 Australian wheatbelt vegetation. *CALMScience Supplement* **4**:67-76.

513 Bates, D., M. Machler, B. M. Bolker, and S. C. Walker. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects
514 models using lme4. *Journal of Statistical Software* **67**:1-48.

515 Batson, W. G., I. J. Gordon, D. B. Fletcher, and A. D. Manning. 2016. The effect of pre-
516 release captivity on post-release performance in reintroduced eastern bettongs *Bettongia*
517 *gaimardi*. *Oryx* **50**:664-673.

518 Bowman, D. M. J. S. 1998. Tansley review no. 101. The impact of Aboriginal landscape
519 burning on the Australian biota. *New Phytologist* **140**:385-410.

520 Bowman, D. M. J. S., G. L. Perry, S. I. Higgins, C. N. Johnson, S. D. Fuhlendorf, and B. P.
521 Murphy. 2016. Pyrodiversity is the coupling of biodiversity and fire regimes in food
522 webs. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* **371**:20150169.

523 Burrows, N., and I. Abbott. 2003. Fire in south-west Western Australia: synthesis of current
524 knowledge, management implications and new research directions. Backhuys
525 Publishers, Leiden, Netherlands.

526 Burrows, N. D., and L. McCaw. 2013. Prescribed burning in southwestern Australian forests.
527 *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **11**:e25-e34.

528 Burrows, N. D., and W. L. McCaw. 1990. Fuel characteristics and bushfire control in banksia
 529 low woodlands in Western Australia. *Journal of Environmental Management* **31**:229-
 530 236.

531 Cheney, N. P., J. S. Gould, W. L. McCaw, and W. R. Anderson. 2012. Predicting fire
 532 behaviour in dry eucalypt forest in southern Australia. *Forest Ecology and Management*
 533 **208**:120-131.

534 D'Antonio, C. M., and P. M. Vitousek. 1992. Biological invasions by exotic grasses, the
 535 grass/fire cycle, and global change. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **23**:63-
 536 87.

537 Davidson, A. D., J. K. Detling, and J. H. Brown. 2012. Ecological roles and conservation
 538 challenges of social, burrowing, herbivorous mammals in the world's grasslands.
 539 *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **10**:477-486.

540 Doherty, T. S., C. R. Dickman, D. G. Nimmo, and E. G. Ritchie. 2015. Multiple threats, or
 541 multiplying the threat? Interactions between invasive predators and other ecological
 542 disturbances. *Biological Conservation* **190**:60-68.

543 Driscoll, D. A., D. B. Lindenmayer, A. F. Bennett, M. Bode, R. A. Bradstock, G. J. Cary, M.
 544 F. Clarke, N. Dexter, R. Fensham, G. Friend, M. Gill, S. James, G. Kay, D. A. Keith, C.
 545 MacGregor, H. P. Possingham, J. Russel-Smith, D. Salt, J. E. M. Watson, D. Williams,
 546 and A. York. 2010. Resolving conflicts in fire management using decision theory: asset-
 547 protection versus biodiversity conservation. *Conservation Letters* **3**:215-223.

548 Eldridge, D. J., M. Delgado-Baquerizo, J. N. Woodhouse, and B. A. Neilan. 2016.
 549 Mammalian engineers drive soil microbial communities and ecosystem functions across
 550 a disturbance gradient. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **85**:1636-1646.

551 Elzinga, C. L., D. W. Salzer, J. W. Willoughby, and J. P. Gibbs. 2001. Monitoring plant and
 552 animal populations: a handbook for field biologists. Blackwell Science, Oxford, UK.

553 Enright, N. J., J. B. Fontaine, D. M. J. S. Bowen, R. A. Bradstock, and R. J. Williams. 2015.
554 Interval squeeze: altered fire regimes and demographic responses interact to threaten
555 woody species persistence as climate changes. *Frontiers in Ecology and the*
556 *Environment* **13**:265-272.

557 Fleming, P. A., H. Anderson, A. S. Prendergast, M. R. Bretz, L. E. Valentine, and G. E. S.
558 Hardy. 2014. Is the loss of Australian digging mammals contributing to a deterioration
559 in ecosystem function? *Mammal Review* **44**:94-108.

560 Fontaine, J. B., V. C. Westcott, N. J. Enright, J. C. Lade, and B. P. Miller. 2012. Fire
561 behaviour in south-western Australian shrublands: evaluating the influence of fuel age
562 and fire weather. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **21**:385-395.

563 Gibbons, P., L. van Bommel, A. M. Gill, G. J. Cary, D. A. Driscoll, R. A. Bradstock, E.
564 Knight, M. A. Moritz, S. L. Stephens, and D. B. Lindemayer. 2012. Land management
565 practices associated with house loss in wildfires. *PLoS ONE* **7**:e29212.

566 Gill, A. M., and S. L. Stephens. 2009. Scientific and social challenges for the management of
567 fire-prone wildland-urban interfaces. *Environmental Research Letters* **4**:034014.

568 Gould, J. S., and M. G. Cruz. 2012. Australian fuel classification: stage 11. Ecosystem
569 Sciences and Climate Adaptation Flagship, CSIRO, Canberra, Australia.

570 Gould, J. S., W. L. McCaw, and N. P. Cheney. 2011. Quantifying fine fuel dynamics and
571 structure in dry eucalypt forest (*Eucalyptus marginata*) in Western Australia for fire
572 management. *Forest Ecology and Management* **262**:531-546.

573 Government of Western Australia. 2000. Bush Forever: Policies, Principles and Processes
574 (Volume 1). Perth, Western Australia.

575 Hassell, C. W., and J. R. Dodson. 2003. The fire history of south-west Western Australia prior
576 to European settlement in 1826-1829. Pages 71-85 in I. Abbott and N. D. Burrows,

577 editors. Fire in ecosystems of south-west Western Australia: impacts and management.
578 Backhuys, Leiden.

579 Hayward, M. W., G. Ward-Fear, F. L'Hotellier, K. Herman, A. P. Kabat, and J. P. Gibbons.
580 2016. Could biodiversity loss have increased Australia's bushfire threat? *Animal*
581 *Conservation* **19**:490-497.

582 Hierro, J. L., K. L. Clark, L. C. Branch, and D. Villarreal. 2011. Native herbivore exerts
583 contrasting effects on fire regime and vegetation structure. *Oecologia* **166**:1121-1129.

584 Hughes, N. K., and P. B. Banks. 2010. Heading for greener pastures? Defining the foraging
585 preferences of urban long-nosed bandicoots. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **58**:341-349.

586 James, A. I., D. J. Eldridge, and K. E. Moseby. 2010. Foraging pits, litter and plant
587 germination in an arid shrubland. *Journal of Arid Environments* **74**:516-520.

588 Johnson, C. N., L. D. Prior, S. Archibald, H. M. Poulos, A. M. Barton, G. J. Williamsons, and
589 D. M. J. S. Bowman. 2018. Can trophic rewilding reduce the impact of fire in a more
590 flammable world? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* **373**:20170443.

591 Jones, C. G., J. H. Lawton, and M. Shachak. 1994. Organisms as ecosystem engineers. *Oikos*
592 **69**:373-386.

593 Keetch, J. J., and G. M. Byram. 1968. A drought index for forest fire control. Research Paper
594 SE-38. USADA Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station, Asheville,
595 North Carolina, USA.

596 Knapp, A. K., J. M. Blair, J. M. Briggs, S. L. Collins, D. C. Hartnett, L. C. Johnson, and E. G.
597 Towne. 1999. The keystone role of bison in North American tallgrass prairie: Bison
598 increase habitat heterogeneity and alter a broad array of plant, community, and
599 ecosystem processes. *BioScience* **49**:39-50.

600 Kumar, V., and I. Dharssi. 2017. Evaluation of daily soil moisture deficit used in Australian
601 forest fire danger rating system. Bushfire and Natural Hazards CRC, Melbourne,
602 Australia.

603 Leonard, S., J. Kirkpatrick, and J. Marsden-Smedley. 2010. Variation in the effects of
604 vertebrate grazing on fire potential between grassland structural types. Journal of
605 Applied Ecology **47**:876-883.

606 Lucas, C. 2010. On developing a historical fire weather data-set for Australia. Australian
607 Meteorological and Oceanographic Journal **60**:1-14.

608 Martin, G. 2003. The role of small ground-foraging mammals in topsoil health and
609 biodiversity: Implications to management and restoration. Ecological Management and
610 Restoration **4**:114-119.

611 McArthur, A. G. 1967. Fire behaviour in eucalypt forests. Commonwealth of Australia
612 Forestry and Timber Bureau, Leaflet No. 107, Canberra, ACT.

613 McCaw, W. L., J. S. Gould, and N. P. Cheney. 2008. Existing fire behaviour models under-
614 predict the rate of spread of summer fires in open jarrah (*Eucalyptus marginata*) forest.
615 Australian Forestry **71**:16-26.

616 Noble, I. R., G. A. V. Bary, and A. M. Gill. 1980. McArthur's fire danger meters expressed as
617 equations. Australian Journal of Ecology **5**:201-203.

618 Nugent, D. T., S. W. J. Leonard, and M. F. Clarke. 2014. Interactions between the superb
619 lyrebird (*Menura novaehollandiae*) and fire in south-eastern Australia. Wildlife
620 Research **41**:203-211.

621 Parr, C. L., and A. N. Andersen. 2006. Patch mosaic burning for biodiversity conservation: a
622 critique of the pyrodiversity paradigm. Conservation Biology **20**:1610-1619.

623 Ramalho, C. E., K. O. Ottewell, B. K. Chambers, C. J. Yates, B. A. Wilson, R. Bencini, and
624 G. Barrett. 2018. Demographic and genetic viability of a medium-sized ground-

625 dwelling mammal in a fire prone, rapidly urbanizing landscape. PLoS ONE
626 **13**:e0191190.

627 Ruthrof, K. X., J. B. Fontaine, G. Matusick, D. D. Breshears, D. J. Law, S. Powell, and G. E.
628 S. Hardy. 2016. How drought-induced forest die-off alters microclimate and increases
629 fuel loadings and fire potentials. *International Journal of Wildland Fire* **25**:819-830.

630 Seddon, P. J., C. J. Griffiths, P. S. Sorrae, and D. P. Armstrong. 2014. Reversing defaunation:
631 restoring species in a changing world. *Science* **345**:406-412.

632 Smith, A., S. C. Avitabile, and S. W. J. Leonard. 2016. Less fuel for the fire: malleefowl
633 (*Leipoa ocellata*) nesting activity affects fuel loads and fire behaviour. *Wildlife*
634 *Research* **43**:640-648.

635 Standish, R. J., R. J. Hobbs, and J. R. Miller. 2013. Improving city life: options for ecological
636 restoration in urban landscapes and how these might influence interactions between
637 people and nature. *Landscape Ecology* **28**:1213-1221.

638 Sullivan, A. L., W. L. McCaw, M. G. Cruz, S. Matthews, and P. F. Ellis. 2012. Fuel, fire
639 weather and fire behaviour in Australian ecosystems. Pages 51-77 *in* R. A. Bradstock,
640 A. M. Gill, and R. J. Williams, editors. *Flammable Australia: Fire regimes, biodiversity*
641 *and ecosystems in a changing world*. CSIRO Publishing, Melbourne.

642 Tay, N., A. J. M. Hopkins, K. X. Ruthrof, T. I. Burgess, G. E. S. Hardy, and P. A. Fleming.
643 2018. The tripartite relationship between a bioturbator, mycorrhizal fungi, and a key
644 Mediterranean forest tree. *Austral Ecology* **43**:742-751.

645 Thackway, R., and I. D. Cresswell. 1995. An interim biogeographic regionalisation of
646 Australia: a framework for establishing the national system of reserves., Australian
647 Nature Conservation Agency, Canberra.

648 Thaxton, J. M., and W. J. Platt. 2006. Small-scale fuel variation alters fire intensity and shrub
649 abundance in a pine savanna. *Ecology* **87**:1331-1337.

650 Threatened Species Scientific Committee. 2016. Approved Conservation Advice (including
651 listing advice) for the Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain ecological
652 community. Department of the Environment and Energy. Available at
653 [http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/communities/pubs/131-](http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/communities/pubs/131-conservation-advice.pdf)
654 [conservation-advice.pdf](http://www.environment.gov.au/biodiversity/threatened/communities/pubs/131-conservation-advice.pdf), Canberra, Australian Capital Territory.

655 Valentine, L. E., H. Anderson, G. E. S. J. Hardy, and P. A. Fleming. 2013. Foraging activity
656 by the southern brown bandicoot (*Isoodon obesulus*) as a mechanism for soil turnover.
657 Australian Journal of Zoology **60**:419-423.

658 Valentine, L. E., M. Bretz, K. X. Ruthrof, R. Fisher, G. E. S. J. Hardy, and P. A. Fleming.
659 2017. Scratching beneath the surface: bandicoot bioturbation contributes to ecosystem
660 processes. Austral Ecology **42**:265-276.

661 Valentine, L. E., R. Fisher, B. A. Wilson, T. Sonneman, W. D. Stock, P. A. Fleming, and R. J.
662 Hobbs. 2014. Time since fire influences food resources for an endangered species,
663 Carnaby's cockatoo, in a fire-prone landscape. Biological Conservation **175**:1-9.

664 Valentine, L. E., K. X. Ruthrof, R. Fisher, G. E. S. Hardy, R. J. Hobbs, and P. A. Fleming.
665 2018. Bioturbation by bandicoots facilitates seedling growth by altering soil properties.
666 Functional Ecology **32**:2138-2148.

667 van Heezik, Y., and P. J. Seddon. 2018. Animal reintroductions in people landscapes: moving
668 towards urban-based species restorations in New Zealand. Pacific Conservation Biology
669 **24**:349-359.

670 van Langevelde, F., C. A. D. M. van de Vijver, L. Kumar, J. van de Koppel, N. de Ridder, J.
671 van Andel, A. K. Skidmore, J. W. Hearne, L. Stroosnijder, W. J. Bond, H. H. T. Prins,
672 and M. Rietkerk. 2003. Effects of fire and herbivory on the stability of savanna
673 ecosystems. Ecology **84**:337-350.

- Waldram, M. S., W. J. Bond, and W. D. Stock. 2008. Ecological engineering by a mega-grazer: white rhino impacts on a South African savanna. *Ecosystems* **11**:101-112.
- Whitford, W. G., and R. F. Kay. 1999. Biopedturbation by mammals in deserts: a review. *Journal of Arid Environments* **41**:203-230.
- Wilson, B. A., J. M. Kuehs, L. E. Valentine, T. Sonneman, and K. M. Wolfe. 2014. Guidelines for ecological burning regimes in Mediterranean ecosystems: a case study in *Banksia* woodlands of Western Australia. *Pacific Conservation Biology* **20**:57-74.
- Woinarski, J. C. Z., A. A. Burbidge, and P. L. Harrison. 2015. Ongoing unraveling of a continental fauna: Decline and extinction of Australian mammals since European settlement. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* **112**:4531-4540.
- Zylstra, P., R. A. Bradstock, M. Bedward, T. D. Penman, M. D. Doherty, R. O. Weber, A. M. Gill, and G. J. Cary. 2016. Biophysical mechanistic modelling quantifies the effects of plant traits on fire severity: species, not surface fuel loads, determine flame dimensions in eucalypt forests. *PLoS ONE* **11**:e0160715.

Data Accessibility: Raw data used in this research article are accessible via the University of Western Australia's Research Repository (<https://doi.org/10.26182/5d77392100f4d>).

692 **TABLES**

693 **Table 1.** Climate variables used to calculate the Forest Fire Danger Index (FFDI) for Craigie
 694 Bushland under low fire danger (Low FD; 09-09-2017) and high fire danger (High FD; 04-01-
 695 2017) conditions. Climate data were sourced from nearby Australian Bureau of Meteorology
 696 (BOM) weather stations (Swanbourne ID: 009215 and Ocean Reef ID: 009214). Keetch-
 697 Byram index (Keetch and Byram 1968) is derived using data between 1974 - 2003 (Lucas
 698 2010).

Variable	Low FD	High FD	Source
Time	3.00 pm	3.00 pm	
Date	09.09.2017	04.01.2017	
Temperature	20.9°C	33.3°C	Swanbourne
Annual rainfall	673.2 mm	673.2 mm	Swanbourne
Days since rain	7	7	Swanbourne
Relative humidity	71 %	17 %	Swanbourne
Temperature (day before)	23.2°C	38.4°C	Swanbourne
Windspeed	11 km hr ⁻¹	7 km hr ⁻¹	Ocean Reef
Perth average Keetch-Byram	5.4 (Sept)	120 (Jan)	Lucas 2010

699

Table 2. Results of linear mixed-effect models for microhabitat response variables between paired fenced (quenda excluded) and open (quenda accessible) treatments at Craigie Bushland, Western Australia. Significant differences between fenced and open treatments are indicated in bold.

Variable	Treatment	Coefficient	95 % CI	t-value	chisq	Pr(>Chisq)
Quenda bare-ground (%)	Fenced	0.01	-0.069 - 0.101	0.35	38.38	< 0.0001
	Open	0.38	0.178 - 0.585	6.19		
Bare-ground (%)	Fenced	0.02	0.000 - 0.035	2.21	1.80	> 0.05
	Open	0.01	-0.029 - 0.041	-1.34		
Litter cover (%)	Fenced	0.82	0.737 - 0.894	20.70	36.80	< 0.0001
	Open	0.48	0.292 - 0.670	-6.07		
Vegetation (%)	Fenced	0.12	0.083 - 0.165	6.10	0.15	> 0.05
	Open	0.11	0.016 - 0.119	-0.38		
CWD (%)	Fenced	0.03	0.015 - 0.050	4.06	0.19	> 0.05
	Open	0.02	-0.015 - 0.056	-1.31		
Litter depth (mm)	Fenced	25.05	22.84 - 27.27	23.76	97.06	< 0.0001
	Open	10.56	5.41 - 15.72	- 9.85		
Canopy cover (%)	Fenced	0.65	0.570 - 0.740	16.91	0.17	> 0.05
	Open	0.59	0.421 - 0.767	-1.36		

Table 3. Results of linear mixed effects models on estimated fuel loads (tonnes ha⁻¹) and rate of fire (ROS) under low and high fire danger (FD) conditions for one-hour and total surface fuel load estimates between fenced (quenda excluded) and open (quenda accessible) treatments at Craigie Bushland, Western Australia.

Variable	Treatment	Coefficient	95 % CI	t-value	Chisq	Pr(>Chisq)
1-hour fuel						
Tonnes ha ⁻¹	Fenced	5.8	5.2 - 6.4	20.4	60.2	<0.0001
	Open	3.3	2.0 - 4.5	-7.8		
ROS low FD	Fenced	46.9	41.9 - 51.8	19.0	59.7	<0.0001
	Open	26.2	15.9 - 36.4	-7.7		
ROS high FD	Fenced	119.0	106.3 - 131.6	19.0	59.7	<0.0001
	Open	66.5	40.3 - 92.4	-7.7		
Total surface fuel						
Tonnes ha ⁻¹	Fenced	6.4	5.7 - 7.1	19.2	59.5	<0.0001
	Open	3.6	2.3 - 5.0	-7.7		
ROS low FD	Fenced	51.4	45.9 - 56.7	19.2	59.5	<0.0001
	Open	29.2	18.1 - 40.3	-7.7		
ROS high FD	Fenced	130.4	116.6 - 144.0	19.2	59.5	<0.0001
	Open	74.3	46.1 - 102.1	-7.7		

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. The relationship between litter mass (g quadrat^{-1}) and litter depth (mm) for a) one-hour fuel and b) total surface fuels derived from destructive sampling ($n = 64$) at Craigie Bushland, Western Australia.

Fig. 2. The amount of estimated litter ($\text{tonnes ha}^{-1} \pm 95\% \text{ CI}$) in paired fenced (quenda excluded) and open (quenda accessible) treatments for a) one-hour fuel and b) total surface fuels at Craigie Bushland, Western Australia.

Fig. 3. The estimated rate of spread (ROS; $\text{m hr}^{-1} \pm 95\% \text{ CI}$) of fire in paired fenced (quenda excluded) and open (quenda accessible) treatments for one-hour fuels (left side of panel) and total surface fuels (right side of panel) fuels under a) and b) low fire danger and c) and d) high fire danger conditions at Craigie Bushland, Western Australia.

Fig. 1.

730

731

732 **Fig. 2.**

733

734

735 **Fig. 3.**

736