1 Title

- 2 Synthesis and future research directions linking tree diversity to growth, survival, and damage in a global network of tree diversity experiments
- a global network of tree diversity experiments

5 Authors

- 6 Jake J. Grossman^{a,b}, Margot Vanhellemont^c, Nadia Barsoum^d, Jürgen Bauhus^e, Helge
- 7 Bruelheide^{f,g}, Bastien Castagneyrol^h, Jeannine Cavender-Bares^b, Nico Eisenhauer^{g,i}, Olga
- 8 Ferlian^{g,i}, Dominique Gravel^j, Andy Hector^k, Hervé Jactel^h, Holger Kreft^l, Simone Mereu^{m,n},
- 9 Christian Messier^{o,r}, Bart Muys^p, Charles Nock^{e,q}, Alain Paquette^r, John Parker^s, Michael P.
- 10 Perring^{c,t}, Quentin Ponette^u, Peter B. Reich^{v,w}, Andreas Schuldt^{f,g}, Michael Staab^x, Martin Weih^y,
- 11 Delphine Clara Zemp^I, Michael Scherer-Lorenzen^{q,} Kris Verheyen^c
- 12 13
- ^aCorresponding author; <u>gross679@umn.edu</u>
- ^bDepartment of Ecology, Evolution, and Behavior, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities;
 1479 Gortner Avenue, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA
- 16 ^cForest and Nature Lab, Department of Environment, Ghent University;
- 17 Geraardsbergsesteenweg 267, 9090, Melle-Gontrode, Belgium.
- d^dCentre for Ecosystems, Society, and Biosecurity, Forest Research; Alice Holt Lodge,
 Farnham, Surrey GU10 4LH, UK.
- ^eChair of Silviculture, Faculty of Environment and Natural Resources, Freiburg
 University; Tennenbacherstr. 4, 79108 Freiburg, Germany.
- ^fDepartment of Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Institute of Biology, Martin Luther
 University Halle-Wittenberg; Am Kirchtor 1, 06108 Halle (Saale), Germany.
- ⁹German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig;
 Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany.
- ^hBiodiversity, Genes, and Communities (BIOGECO), French National Institute for
 Agricultural Research (INRA), University of Bordeaux; 33610 Cestas, France.
- ⁱInstitute of Biology, Leipzig University; Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany.
 ^jDepartment of Biology, University of Sherbrooke; 300 allée des Ursuline, Rimouski, Qc
 G5L 3A1, Canada.
- ^kDepartment of Plant Sciences, University of Oxford; South Parks Road, Oxford, OX1
 3RB, UK.
- ¹Biodiversity, Macroecology and Biogeography, Faculty of Forest Sciences, University of
 Göttingen; Wilhelmsplatz 1 37073, Göttingen, Germany.
- 35 ^mImpacts on Agriculture, Forests and Natural Ecosystems (IAFES) Division; Euro-
- Mediterranean Center on Climate Change; CMCC Foundation; Via De Nicola 9, I 07100, Sassari, Italy.
- ⁿDepartment of Science for Nature and Environmental Resources (DipNET), University
 of Sassari; Via De Nicola 9, I- 07100, Sassari, Italy.
- 40 °Institute for the Study of Temperate Forests (ISFORT), University of Québec in
- 41 Outaouais, Outaouais; 58 Rue Principale, Ripon, Québec J0V 1V0, Canada.
- PDivision of Forest, Nature and Landscape, University of Leuven; Celestijnenlaan 200e box 2411, 3001 Leuven, Belgium.
- ^qGeobotany, Faculty of Biology, University of Freiburg; Schänzlestrasse 1., D-79104,
 Freiburg, Germany.
- 46 'Centre for Forest Research, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Québec in
 47 Montréal, Montréal; H3C 3P8, Québec, Canada.
- 48 sSmithsonian Environmental Research Center; 647 Contees Wharf Road, Edgewater,
 49 MD 21037, USA.

- ^tEcosystem Restoration and Intervention Ecology (ERIE) Research Group, School of
 Biological Sciences, University of Western Australia; 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley 6009,
 Australia.
- ^uEarth and Life Institute, Catholic University of Louvain; Croix du Sud 2, 1348 Louvain-la Neuve, Belgium.
- 55 ^vDepartment of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities; 1530 Cleveland 56 Ave. N., St. Paul, MN 55108, USA.
- 57 "Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University; Locked Bag
 58 1797, Penrith 2751 NSW, Australia.
- 59 *Nature Conservation and Landscape Ecology, Faculty of Environment and Natural
- 60 Resources, University of Freiburg; Tennenbacherstr. 4, 79106 Freiburg, Germany 61 ^yDepartment of Crop Production Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Science
- ⁵¹ ^yDepartment of Crop Production Ecology, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences; 52 Box 7043 750 07, Uppsala, Sweden.
- 62 Box 7043 750 0 63

64 Abstract

Abstract
 Despite considerable research demonstrating that biodiversity increases productivity in forests
 and regulates herbivory and pathogen damage, there remain gaps in our understanding of the
 shape, magnitude, and generality of these biodiversity-ecosystem functioning (BEF)
 relationships. Here, we review findings from TreeDivNet, a global network of 25 tree diversity

- 69 experiments, on relationships between levels of biodiversity and (a) tree growth and survival
- and (b) damage to trees from pests and pathogens. Tree diversity often improved the survival
- and above- and belowground growth of young trees. The mechanistic bases of the diversity
- effects on tree growth and survival include both selection effects (i.e., an increasing impact of
- particular species in more species-rich communities) and complementary effects (e.g. related to
 resource differentiation and facilitation). Plant traits and abiotic stressors may mediate these
- relationships. Studies of the responses of invertebrate and vertebrate herbivory and pathogen
- 76 damage have demonstrated that trees in more diverse experimental plots may experience
- 77 more, less, or similar damage compared to conspecific trees in less diverse plots. Documented
- 78 mechanisms producing these patterns include changes in concentration, frequency, and
- apparency of hosts; herbivore and pathogen diet breadth; the spatial scale of interactions; and
 herbivore and pathogen regulation by natural enemies. Our review of findings from TreeDivNet
- 81 indicates that tree diversity experiments are extending BEF research across systems and
- 82 scales, complementing previous BEF work in grasslands by providing opportunities to use
- remote sensing and spectral approaches to study BEF dynamics, integrate belowground and
- 84 aboveground approaches, and trace the consequences of tree physiology for ecosystem
- 85 functioning. This extension of BEF research into tree-dominated systems is improving
- 86 ecologists' capacity to understand the mechanistic bases behind BEF relationships. Tree
- 87 diversity experiments also present opportunities for novel research. Since experimental tree
- diversity plantations enable measurements at tree, neighbourhood and plot level, they allow for
 explicit consideration of temporal and spatial scales in BEF dynamics. Presently, most
- explicit consideration of temporal and spatial scales in BEF dynamics. Presently, most
 TreeDivNet experiments have run for less than ten years. Given the longevity of trees, exciting
- 91 results on BEF relationships are expected in the future.
- 92

93 Keywords (<=6)

- Biodiversity experiment; Ecophysiology; Herbivory; Pathogens; Plantation forest; Research
 infrastructure
- 96
- 97
- 98
- 99

100 1. Introduction

101 Tree diversity in natural forests varies tremendously across the globe and ranges from aspen 102 stands dominated by a single genotype (Mock et al., 2008) to tropical assemblages of more 103 than 400 tree species per hectare (Liang et al., 2016). Humans have a clear effect on this 104 diversity, through both the intentional and unintentional effects of silviculture and 105 overexploitation (Morris, 2010). Natural forests have in many cases been replaced with less 106 diverse secondary forests (especially in tropical regions; Newbold et al., 2015; Sloan and Sayer, 107 2015) or plantations (globally; Bremer and Farley, 2010; Spiecker, 2003) causing massive 108 losses and, in some cases, some gains in forest-associated biodiversity (Betts et al., 2017; 109 Lindenmayer et al., 2015). Historically, expectations of the consequences of reduced tree species diversity - including lower stand growth rates and increased vulnerability to damage by 110 111 disease and herbivores - have been either based on observational data (Jactel and 112 Brockerhoff, 2007; Liang et al., 2016; Paquette and Messier, 2011) or inferred from experiments 113 in non-forested ecosystems (Cardinale et al., 2006; Hooper et al., 2012). Foundational 114 biodiversity-ecosystem functioning (BEF) research in grasslands in particular provides a rich set 115 of hypotheses about potential BEF relationships (Cardinale et al., 2011; Hooper et al., 2005; 116 Tilman et al., 2014).

117

118 The notion that diverse ecosystems might be more productive (McNaughton, 1977; Trenbath,

119 1974; Vandermeer, 1981) or more resistant to disease or damage by herbivores

120 (Elton, 1958; McNaughton, 1985) has periodically been proposed since Darwin (1859). Yet, the

121 current era of BEF research dates conclusively to 1991, when discussion of the topic re-

emerged at a conference in Bayreuth, Germany and in a subsequent collection of papers

123 (Schulze and Mooney, 1994). Research from grasslands (Tilman et al., 1996; Tilman and

Dowling, 1994) and mesocosms (Naeem et al., 1994) soon provided the first evidence that biodiversity can enhance primary productivity beyond what would be expected based on

126 monoculture yield (referred to as *overyielding*). This early BEF research mainly focused on

127 primary productivity as a key ecosystem function that integrates the effect of biodiversity on

128 other functions, such as resistance to pests and diseases (Cardinale et al., 2012). As such,

129 productivity emerged as the most frequently studied metric of ecosystem functioning. Yet,

130 additional studies of other ecosystem functions in grasslands quickly proliferated, consolidating

the current consensus that biodiversity supports ecosystem functioning and multifunctionality

132 (Cardinale et al., 2006; Hector and Bagchi, 2007; Hooper et al., 2005; Tilman et al., 2012).
 133 Advances over the first 20 years of BEF research have also raised new guestions about the

134 generality of and mechanisms behind BEF relationships (Tilman et al., 2014; Weisser et al.,

135 2017), the importance of different facets of biodiversity (e.g. species, functional and

136 phylogenetic diversity) in shaping ecosystem functioning (Flynn et al., 2011), and the interacting

137 effects of abiotic factors such as resource availability or drought (Craven et al., 2016).

138

In response to criticism (for instance Aarssen 1997, Huston 1997), BEF researchers have
 attempted to demonstrate that findings from controlled diversity experiments, especially the first

141 generation of synthetic grassland and mesocosm studies, are relevant to real-world ecosystems

and generalizable across ecosystem types. Over the last two decades, BEF research has

expanded into a variety of ecosystems other than grasslands, including farm fields, forests,

streams, lakes, and marine environments. Though BEF dynamics vary across systems, diversity

repeatedly has affected ecosystem functionality (Cardinale et al., 2011; Lefcheck et al., 2015).

As such, whether biodiversity positively affects ecosystem functioning is no longer widely

debated, and research has largely shifted to understanding the mechanisms and context-

148 dependency of BEF relationships.

149

150 Globally distributed tree diversity experiments hold the potential to complement past work, add 151 generality, and address criticisms, improving our mechanistic understanding of the relationships between biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. Networks of globally distributed experiments 152 153 with common experimental methodology represent the future of BEF research. Since they 154 capture much variation in species combinations and environmental conditions, they provide 155 more generality to the findings and permit extrapolation to a large inference population (Bauhus 156 et al., 2017). Mirroring the development of ecology as a discipline, BEF investigations originated 157 as a series of single-site experiments (e.g. Naeem et al. 1994, Tilman et al. 1996) and are now 158 routinely conducted through regional networks of experiments (Hector, 1999), meta-analysis 159 (Hooper et al., 2012; Isbell et al., 2015), and synthesis of globally collected observational data 160 (Liang et al., 2016). Global experimental networks, including the one reported on here. 161 represent a new and promising trend in a variety of ecological disciplines, including BEF 162 research. In their introduction of the grassland-based Nutrient Network, Borer and colleagues 163 (2014) note that global networks complement studies at single sites and post hoc synthesis of 164 data from single-site experiments by encouraging participating researchers to use consistent methodologies, which, when applied across global ecological gradients, allow for mechanistic 165 166 causal inference, providing more realistic interpretation than other experimental methods. To 167 date, many distributed ecological networks have been only regional in scope (Fraser et al., 168 2013), although some, such as the Nutrient Network, have achieved global reach. Global, 169 distributed networks will be critical if BEF researchers are to effectively counter criticisms related 170 to realism and generality. 171

We review here empirical work conducted in TreeDivNet, a global network of 25 tree diversity
experiments, some at multiple sites, covering 817 ha and comprising over 1.1 million trees
(Verheyen et al. 2016; <u>www.treedivnet.ugent.be</u>). Since 1999, TreeDivNet experiments have

been established in boreal, temperate, Mediterranean, subtropical, and tropical sites (Fig. 1);

- 176 together they constitute the largest network of experiments in the world in which biodiversity is 177 systematically manipulated.
- 178

179 All TreeDivNet experiments manipulate tree (and sometimes shrub) diversity and conduct

180 ecological measurements to study a variety of ecosystem functions, processes, and services.

181 The dimensions of biodiversity manipulated (e.g. genotypic richness, species richness,

functional diversity, etc.), species used in experiments, and measurements taken vary within thenetwork (Table 1). The most common approach is an experiment in which plots of trees vary in

species, functional or genotypic richness and in which regular monitoring of tree growth and

- 185 mortality is complemented by periodic or *ad hoc* measurements of other responses.
- 186 Experimental plots are generally composed of species mixtures typical of native stands and/or
- 187 plantations. Some experiments also allow the exploration of tree identity versus tree diversity
- 188 effects through inclusion of multiple assemblages of equal richness (Ampoorter et al., 2015;
- Tobner et al., 2014). Across the network, consistency in methods has allowed for collaborative
- 190 syntheses of findings across experiments (e.g. Pollastrini et al. 2014, Haase et al. 2015).
- 191

To date, researchers working in TreeDivNet have produced 143 peer-reviewed publications and
15 doctoral theses describing work at most of the network's sites (Appendix 1). Though these

- reports detail the responses of a variety of ecosystem properties to tree diversity manipulations,
- 195 we choose to focus on two particular ecosystem functions: tree growth and survival and
- herbivore and pathogen damage from (Fig. 2). These responses are measured across the
- 197 network and are widely treated as critical, diversity-dependent ecological processes in the BEF
- 198 literature. The consequences of plant diversity manipulations for diversity at other trophic levels,
- 199 nutrient cycling, and other response variables will be systematically analyzed using formal meta-

analysis in a future paper. Instead, here we review the diverse results emerging from the first
 generation of TreeDivNet papers and highlight both representative and striking results.

202

In the present work, we review BEF research in the TreeDivNet network and describe a global experimental platform for assessing BEF dynamics in forests (this section), unpack several key concepts for understanding BEF findings (section 2), review research from the network published to date on the consequences of diversity for tree growth and survival (section 3) and tree damage by pests and pathogens (section 4), and highlight opportunities for (section 5) and challenges to (section 6) novel BEF research in tree diversity experiments.

209

210 2. Key concepts underlying BEF research

211 Prior to reviewing findings from TreeDivNet, we briefly unpack three concepts essential to 212 understanding recent research in the network. First, the concept of *mechanism* in BEF research 213 provides a central gap in knowledge and motivation for this review. Second, the partitioning of 214 biodiversity effects into complementarity and selection effects has emerged as an essential 215 concept in BEF research, and especially in studies of plant growth or productivity. Finally, most 216 of the reports we reviewed that address the consequences of diversity for pest or pathogen 217 damage do so in terms of associational effects and their bases in bottom-up and/or top-down 218 effects.

210

220 Since the first studies linking biodiversity to ecosystem functioning, ecologists conducting 221 (Naeem et al., 1994; Tilman and Dowling, 1994) and criticizing (Huston, 1997; Wardle et al., 222 1997) BEF research have emphasized the necessity of establishing mechanistic explanations 223 for BEF relationships. We consider mechanistic explanations of BEF findings to be reductionist 224 descriptions of the specific biophysical patterns that give rise to the observed changes in 225 ecosystem functioning over a gradient of increasing biodiversity. Mechanistic explanations 226 generally refer to the traits of study organisms (both morphological and physiological), 227 biogeochemical cycling of nutrients between organisms and their environment (often soil, litter, 228 or water), or multitrophic dynamics observed within the experiment (Forrester and Bauhus, 229 2016). The most common explanation is that trait dissimilarity among associated organisms 230 results in niche differentiation and allows the community of organisms to make better use of 231 limiting resources (Loreau, 2000; Loreau and Hector, 2001; Tilman et al., 1997). For instance, 232 Williams and colleagues (2017) attributed an observed increase in canopy growth at higher 233 diversity (the BEF relationship) to niche differentiation among species with different strategies 234 for light acquisition (the mechanism). Such mechanistic explanations of BEF are central to 235 modern ecology (Schoener, 1986) and essential to our understanding of biodiversity (Cadotte et 236 al., 2011; Eisenhauer et al., 2016; Mikola and Heikki, 1998).

237

238 Positive net biodiversity effects on a given ecosystem function are frequently described in terms of complementarity and selection effects. This practice, though influential in the BEF literature, 239 240 does not pertain to mechanism in a strict sense as complementarity and selection are not lower-241 level processes explaining BEF effects. Hector and Loreau's (2001) canonical formulation of 242 these concepts, which was developed in response to criticism of the interpretation of early BEF 243 findings (e.g. Aarssen 1997, Huston 1997, Heijden et al. 1999), remains widely used. Briefly, partitioning the net effects of biodiversity into complementary and selection provides a semi-244 mechanistic interpretation by mathematically determining whether BEF relationships stem from 245 246 additive impacts of particular species or non-additive impacts of interacting species (e.g. Potvin 247 and Gotelli 2008, Lang'at et al. 2013, Bu et al. 2017). Complementarity effects of biodiversity 248 occur when mixtures have a larger yield than the expectations based on the performance in 249 monocultures. These effects can include niche partitioning and facilitation, though Loreau and Hector's method does not allow for their separation and quantification. Furthermore, to use their 250

251 method, investigators must be able to quantify the contributions of individual tree species to a 252 plot-level ecosystem response. This is relatively straightforward when summing up biomass 253 produced by a group of plants in a plot. It can also be done by using meaningful weighting 254 coefficients to represent species-specific contributions to ecosystem functioning (Grossiord et 255 al., 2013). Yet emergent properties that can only be measured for the community as a whole 256 (e.g, ecosystem resilience, structural complexity) require a different methodological approach. 257 For instance, a random partition design, as in EFForTS-BEE (Teuscher et al., 2016), makes it 258 possible to quantify the importance of species interactions versus identity effects even if the 259 relative contributions of each species are unknown, and to estimate the level of change in ecosystem functioning if one particular species would be added to or lost from a composition 260 261 (Bell et al., 2009). As tree diversity experiments involve measurements on individual plants, a 262 more complex analysis that goes beyond the partitioning of complementarity and selection as in 263 grassland studies is possible (e.g. Chamagne et al., 2016).

264

265 Associational effects describe the consequences of neighbourhood composition for the amount 266 of damage caused by pests and pathogens to a plant (Moreira et al., 2016; Underwood et al., 267 2014). Associational effects range from associational resistance when a plant suffers less 268 damage when surrounded by heterospecific neighbours (e.g. Vehviläinen et al. 2006, Cook-Patton et al. 2014, Damien et al. 2016, Jactel et al. 2017) to associational susceptibility when 269 270 plants with heterospecific neighbours suffer more damage (e.g. White and Whitham 2000, 271 Schuldt et al. 2010). Mechanistic explanations of associational effects reviewed here include the 272 consequences of bottom-up effects (host concentration, host apparency, pest and pathogen diet 273 breadth, and spatial scale) and one top-down effect (natural enemies) for damage to plants. 274

275 The resource concentration hypothesis (Hambäck and Englund, 2005; Root, 1973) states that 276 herbivores are more likely to immigrate into and less likely to emigrate from patches where their 277 resources are more concentrated. In addition to host concentration, the specific composition of 278 tree species mixtures may influence herbivore and pathogen damage through changes in tree 279 apparency. Plant apparency, initially defined at the species level, describes a plant's likelihood 280 of being found by herbivores (Feeny, 1976). The apparency concept has more recently been 281 adapted to the case of individual trees in the BEF context and is viewed as neighbour-mediated 282 apparency in the sense that a particular plant's neighbours can modify its likelihood of being 283 found (Castagneyrol et al., 2013a; Damien et al., 2016). The strength and direction of 284 associational effects likely depends on the scale at which tree diversity influences herbivore foraging and host selection (Hambäck et al., 2014). Moreira et al. (2016) recently stressed that 285 286 herbivore mobility could be a key driver of associational effects, highly mobile herbivores being 287 more likely to disperse and choose among individual trees and patches of trees (Bommarco and 288 Banks, 2003; Moreira et al., 2016). Tree species diversity at larger spatial scales may therefore 289 be of greater importance for highly mobile herbivores.

290

291 In addition to the direct, bottom-up effects of plant community composition and diversity, 292 herbivores face a wide range of natural enemies that prey upon them or alter their behaviour. 293 These top-down effects can significantly change key ecosystem processes, such as plant 294 biomass production and nutrient cycling (Schmitz, 2008). Ecological theory and early studies in 295 agricultural systems indicated that plant diversity modifies top-down effects (Andow, 1991; Root, 296 1973), with stronger control of herbivores expected when plant diversity is high (the enemies 297 hypothesis; Root 1973). While some BEF studies in non-forest ecosystems have shown clear 298 support for the enemies hypothesis (e.g. Haddad et al. 2009), others have indicated that plant 299 diversity has much weaker effects on predators than on herbivores (Scherber et al., 2010); 300 support for the enemies hypothesis in forested ecosystems is mixed (Zhang and Adams, 2011). So far, relatively few studies have addressed the relationship between tree diversity and 301

302 predators in controlled experiments and, often, only specific predator taxa or functional groups 303 were studied, which limits our ability to draw broad generalizations. Also considering that 304 predators are taxonomically, ecologically, and behaviourally very heterogeneous and can 305 strongly affect each other via horizontal intraguild interactions (Finke and Denno, 2005; Grass et 306 al., 2017), the net effect of tree diversity-mediated top-down effects on herbivores might thus 307 depend on how tree diversity influences these intraguild interactions (see also Schuldt and 308 Staab 2015). Predator abundance or diversity might therefore not necessarily be the best 309 measures of predation pressure.

310

311 **3. Tree growth and survival across diversity gradients**

312 Tree mortality and growth are assessed across the TreeDivNet network (Tables 1.2). The 313 surveyed literature included 36 publications on the relationship between diversity and tree 314 growth and/or survival from 11 experiments. Specific responses assessed (e.g. stem growth vs. 315 root growth) are detailed in Table 2 and vary among studies such that some experiments 316 contributed data to multiple publications. These reports, over the first 15 years of the tree 317 diversity experiments, generally document either no or positive effects of tree diversity on the 318 two responses. In a single study from the BEF-China experiment (Yang et al., 2013), tree 319 mortality was initially higher at higher species richness; the effect disappeared after replanting 320 and, according to the authors, was due to the greater on-the-ground challenges of planting high-321 diversity plots. In the early stages of the Indonesian EFForTS-BEE experiment, the diversity of 322 planted tree species had a negative effect on tree growth but a positive effect on tree survival 323 (Gérard et al. submitted). Although a number of authors reported on root growth, studies of 324 aboveground growth predominated in the reviewed works. The relationship between biodiversity 325 and tree growth (Fig. 2) was often described in terms of complementarity and selection effects 326 (section 3.1), niche differentiation (3.2), facilitation through mitigation of abiotic stress (3.3), and 327 trait-dependent responses (3.4).

328

329 **3.1 Complementarity and selection effects**

In some cases, authors use Hector and Loreau's (2001) formal partitioning method to quantify complementarity and selection effects (section 2). In others, complementarity and selection are invoked as conceptual explanations of diversity-growth/survival relationships and deployed to explain observed patterns (Peng et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017; Van de Peer et al., 2016). Evidence for both complementarity and selection effects has been reported from TreeDivNet experiments (Table 2). These findings are consistent with grassland studies, in which aboveground overyielding in biomass production has been attributed to both.

337

338 Some authors presented evidence (or a lack of evidence) for complementarity- or selection-339 driven BEF relationships though they did not carry out formal analyses. For instance, Van de 340 Peer and colleagues (2016) found that tree seedlings in the FORBIO experiment experienced 341 lower variation in mortality at higher species richness. Yet this buffering effect simply stemmed from species-specific differences in mortality; more diverse plots were were less likely to contain 342 343 a high share of species that tended to die easily. As such, the effect of diversity on mortality 344 occurred through selection. Conversely, Sun et al. (2017) found that roots were more evenly 345 distributed through the soil profile at higher species richness in the BEF-China experiment. 346 suggesting a more complete use of soil resources, a sign of complementarity. Below, we review 347 several concrete mechanisms that underlie these findings of complementarity- and selection-348 based overyielding. 349

350 **3.2 Niche differentiation**

In contrast to studies that measure the gross effects of tree diversity on growth and yield
 (through selection and/or complementarity effects), there were few published TreeDivNet

investigations of the specific mechanisms underlying complementarity effects in tree
monocultures and mixtures. Results from a short-term experiment, using different genotypes of
willows, indicated that the expression of traits related to nitrogen use efficiency differed between
mixture and monoculture (Hoeber et al., 2017). Similarly, recent work at the IDENT-Montreal
site (Williams et al. 2017) has demonstrated canopy niche differentiation, resulting in a more
efficient space use and light interception in mixtures than in monocultures (Pretzsch, 2014).

359

360 Differential use of available belowground resources (e.g. water and nutrients) has been shown 361 to contribute to complementary interactions in assemblages of multiple coexisting species (Ashton et al., 2010; McKane et al., 2002; Meinzer et al., 1999). In research conducted in the 362 BEF-China experiment. Bu et al. (2017) and Sun et al. (2017) offer examples of overvielding 363 364 driven by such belowground resource use differentiation. Additionally, several ongoing studies 365 in TreeDivNet experiments address resource use issues in order to test the mechanistic role of 366 trait diversity in ecosystem productivity and identify the processes that explain why different community components (species or genotypes) promote resource use efficiency, productivity, 367 368 and ecosystem functioning (Isbell et al., 2011). These insights will be useful in designing 369 resource-use efficient and productive tree-based production systems (cf. Malézieux 2009 for 370 agro-ecosystems).

371

372 **3.3 Facilitation through amelioration of abiotic stress**

373 Increasingly, tree diversity experiments have been designed to include manipulation of abiotic 374 stressors in concert with diversity gradients. The three relevant TreeDivNet studies published to 375 date have not provided evidence of strong interactions between abiotic stress and the diversity-376 growth/survival relationship. Local microclimate in BEF-China (Kröber et al., 2015) and an 377 imposed drought gradient in FORBIO (Dillen et al., 2016) did not mediate the relationship 378 between tree diversity and aboveground growth, nor did localized nutrient enrichment affect 379 belowground productivity in the BIOTREE experiment (Lei et al., 2012). Several experiments in 380 the network (Table 1; ORPHEE, IDENT, Ridgefield, Sabah, BEF-China) include further 381 manipulations of abiotic variables thought to have an impact on BEF dynamics, but there has 382 yet to be published work addressing the topic. As such, it remains to be seen whether findings 383 from these experiments will corroborate work from grassland diversity experiments documenting 384 interactions between diversity, plant performance, and abiotic stressors (Adair et al., 2009; 385 Craine et al., 2003).

386

387 **3.4 Traits and tree growth and survival**

388 It has become commonly accepted over the last two decades that the functional traits governing 389 how plants affect and respond to their environments do play and will continue to play a central role in the ongoing efforts to link the physiology of individuals to population dynamics and 390 391 ecosystem functioning (McGill et al., 2006; Violle et al., 2007). Accordingly, some of the earlier mechanistic interpretations of biodiversity-growth/survival relationships have revolved around 392 393 functional traits. For instance, communities composed of a higher diversity of functional groups 394 (e.g. legumes, warm-season grasses, cool-season grasses, etc.) overyielded in productivity 395 consistently in the first generation of grassland diversity experiments (Hector, 1999; Tilman et 396 al., 1997). Extension of the trait-based BEF perspective to tree diversity experiments now allows 397 for the assessment of how both the mean trait values and trait diversity of communities as well 398 as individual trees' traits may affect community performance.

399

400 While early BEF research in grasslands has consistently indicated that community-level

diversity of functional traits (e.g. a wide range of leaf nitrogen contents) improves community

- 402 performance, several tree diversity experiments have provided evidence that mean trait values
- 403 contribute more than trait diversity. For instance, in two sites in the IDENT experiment,

404 communities dominated by species with highly branching roots (Tobner et al., 2016) and low 405 leaf nitrogen content (Grossman et al., 2017) showed higher aboveground overyielding in 406 productivity. Similarly, Kröber et al. (2015) found community-weighted mean trait values to 407 explain crown growth at the community level better than functional diversity. In these cases, it 408 appears that the prevalence of species with particular traits, rather than a diversity of traits, is responsible for positive diversity effects. Such results can indicate a selection effect, in which a 409 410 given trait value promotes growth regardless of local diversity, or a complementarity effect, in 411 which species with a particular trait value are best able to take advantage of diverse conditions. 412 It is unclear whether the effect of the mean trait value, rather than trait diversity, is because of 413 the early stage of stand development in these tree diversity experiments (e.g. Reich et al. 2012). 414 The contribution of functional diversity to overvielding has been reported from the BEF-China 415 and Gazi Bay experiments, with, for example, root trait diversity (e.g. rooting depth and specific 416 root length) predicting greater overyielding in biomass, potentially through niche differentiation (Bu et al., 2017; Lang'at et al., 2013; Peng et al., 2017). Most TreeDivNet experiments are still 417 418 in the early stages of growth, and it is expected that some traits will become more relevant with 419 time. For instance, diversity in or a high trait mean for shade tolerance may become important 420 as tree diversity experiments enter canopy closure and the self-thinning stages of stand 421 development.

422

423 **4. Herbivore and pathogen damage across diversity gradients**

424 Of the reviewed TreeDivNet literature, 36 publications presented research from 12 experiments assessing herbivore and/or pathogen damage (hereafter "damage"; Tables 1,3). As was the 425 426 case for measurements of tree growth and survival, some experiments were included in multiple 427 reports as different responses (Table 3) were measured. The studies were distributed relatively 428 evenly across tropical, boreal, and temperate sites and focused on a wide variety of invertebrate 429 leaf herbivory, including broadleaf chewing and skeletonizing, hole feeding, galling, mining, 430 rolling, and sucking as well as needle herbivory. Relatively few reports addressed pathogen 431 damage (five papers) or vertebrate herbivory (four), and none addressed woody stem herbivory. 432 No study to date has addressed tree diversity effects on belowground herbivores or pathogens. 433 Investigators documented associational resistance, associational susceptibility or neutral effects 434 of tree diversity on herbivores and pathogens, which calls for a better understanding of the 435 mechanisms at play. Proposed mechanisms for the relationship between biodiversity and 436 damage (Fig. 2) generally pertained to either pest and pathogen access to hosts (section 4.1) or 437 to top-down effects from natural enemies (section 4.2). Several studies assessed integrated 438 assessments of the relationships between tree diversity and tree growth and survival as well as 439 between tree diversity and damage (section 4.3).

440

441 **4.1** Bottom-up effects change host accessibility to herbivores and pathogens

To date most research on biodiversity-damage relationships has emphasized a suite of likely interacting bottom-up effects that influence tree vulnerability to damage from pathogens and heribvores, including: host concentration and frequency, plant apparency, the degree of specialization (diet breadth) of herbivores and pathogens, and the spatial arrangement of trees within and among mixed forest patches.

447

The resource concentration hypothesis (section 2) has received mixed support from TreeDivNet studies. For instance, in tree neighbourhoods with a low diversity where host trees are more concentrated, herbivory was more intense for oaks and pines in the ORPHEE experiment (Castagneyrol et al., 2014, 2013b; Damien et al., 2016), but less intense in the BEF-China experiment (Schuldt et al. 2015) and the IDENT-Freiburg site (Wein et al. 2016). For pathogen infestation, which is also expected to increase with host concentration (Civitello et al., 2015), the few available studies from TreeDivNet vielded inconsistent results as well (Hantsch et al., 2013, 2014b; Schuldt et al., 2017). In the following sections, we will discuss how deviations from the
original resource concentration hypothesis can be partially accounted for by taking into account
the degree of specialization of herbivores and pathogens and the scale at which tree diversity
effects occur.

459

460 Before herbivores or pathogens can damage a focal tree, they need to find or reach it. Working 461 on the ORPHEE experiment, Castagneyrol et al. (2013) showed that oak colonization by 462 specialist herbivores increased with the relative size of oaks with respect to their neighbours: 463 oaks that were relatively taller than their immediate heterospecific neighbours were more heavily attacked. Similarly, in the BEF-China experiment, Schuldt et al. (2015) showed that 464 herbivory became more pronounced as trees grew larger. As such, the effect of tree diversity 465 466 on herbivore damage viz a viz host apparency ultimately depends on the relative growth rate of 467 associated species in a mixture. These apparency-mediated effects of tree diversity on herbivory have since been reported for other tree and herbivore species (Damien et al., 2016; 468 Guyot et al., 2015). 469

470

471 In the BEF-China experiment, tree species richness promoted generalist herbivore abundance 472 (Zhang et al., 2017), which resulted in associational susceptibility (Schuldt et al., 2015). 473 Interestingly, analyses by Brezzi et al. (2017) in natural forests located near the experiment 474 found that herbivory interactively depended on tree species richness and phylogenetic diversity. 475 Herbivory increased with tree species richness only when phylogenetic diversity was low. On the contrary, when phylogenetic diversity was high, tree species richness had no effect on 476 477 herbivory. Brezzi et al. (2017) proposed that this was because in high diversity conditions, even generalist herbivores were not able to exploit all tree species (e.g. from species with vastly 478 479 different leaf chemistry and structure) and benefit from dietary mixing - the consumption of 480 multiple foods by generalists (Bernays et al., 1994). Therefore, phylogenetically diverse plant 481 communities have the potential to bolster local generalist herbivore density and activity by 482 providing nutritional diversity and diluting the negative effects of chemical defences in herbivore diets. Although dietary mixing is often given as a potential mechanism behind diversity-herbivory 483 484 relationships, it has not been empirically demonstrated in the TreeDivNet literature. 485

486 It is likely that tree diversity effects on herbivores and pathogens are mediated by spatial scale, 487 and specifically by the distribution of different tree species within mixtures. For instance, the 488 regular planting design of the ORPHEE experiment is such that each individual tree has a 489 similar neighbourhood in a given mixture (Castagneyrol et al., 2013a). In contrast, random 490 distribution of trees within plots may create monospecific patches of trees and immediate 491 neighbours embedded within mixed plots. In the TreeDivNet experiments where it was possible 492 to test the effect of tree diversity on herbivores and pathogens across scales, tree diversity 493 effects were found to be stronger in the immediate tree neighbourhood scale than at the plot scale (Satakunta: Muiruri et al. 2016, FORBIO: Setiawan et al. 2014, BIOTREE: Hantsch et al. 494 2013, Kreinitz: Hantsch et al. 2014a). In one well-documented example of the consequences of 495 496 scale for pest damage, Damien and colleagues (2016) found that pine processionary moth, a 497 specialist herbivore, increased in abundance with pine concentration and thus caused more 498 damage in monocultures than in mixtures. This finding agrees with the prediction of the 499 resource concentration hypothesis for specialists (section 2). However, at the individual pine level, the probability of a pine being attacked by the pine processionary moth displayed the 500 501 opposite general pattern, being lower in monocultures than in mixtures. This finding matches the resource dilution hypothesis (Otway et al., 2005), which predicts that herbivore abundance can 502 503 be diluted among many hosts at high host frequency, and may be explained by the aggregation 504 of attacks on the fewer and more apparent pines in mixed stands (Bañuelos and Kollmann, 2011; Plath et al., 2012; Régolini et al., 2014). As such, tracking the origins of colonizing 505

herbivores and pathogens is a major challenge of future studies on associational effects in
 TreeDivNet. In particular, investigators will need to know the proportion of herbivores and
 pathogens that reproduce and stay within plots, and the proportion of herbivores and pathogens
 that newly colonise plots every year.

510

511 **4.2 Top-down control by enemies**

512 As TreeDivNet experiments currently represent relatively young forest stands, relationships and 513 interactions across trophic levels might differ from more mature forest ecosystems with 514 established predator and herbivore population cycles. Correspondingly, most of these studies, 515 which were conducted across a range of environmental conditions from boreal to tropical, did 516 not find evidence for an increase in predator abundance or diversity with increasing tree 517 diversity (Riihimäki et al. 2005, Vehviläinen et al. 2008, Schuldt and Scherer-Lorenzen 2014, 518 Campos-Navarrete et al. 2015, Moreira et al. 2016, Yeeles et al. 2017, Zhang et al. 2017, but 519 see Setiawan et al. 2016 and Esquivel-Gomez et al. 2017). Although effects of tree diversity can 520 be more difficult to detect with observational approaches (Kambach et al., 2016; Nadrowski et 521 al., 2010), studies conducted along tree diversity gradients in mature forests have often 522 revealed no or even negative effects of tree diversity on predator abundances or species 523 richness (e.g. Schuldt et al. 2008, 2011, 2014, Oxbrough et al. 2012, Zou et al. 2013). 524 Nevertheless, some groups of predators and parasitoids can be promoted by tree diversity (e.g. 525 Sobek et al. 2009, Staab et al. 2014, 2016), although the exact mechanisms are still unclear. 526

Direct or indirect measurements of predation rates may provide better insight into whether and 527 528 how predator top-down effects change with tree diversity (Roslin et al., 2017), as indicated by 529 several recent studies quantifying predation pressure exerted by insectivorous birds or 530 predatory arthropods. Bird predation was unrelated to tree diversity at the plot level in the 531 ORPHEE and Satakunta experiments (Castagneyrol et al., 2017; Muiruri et al., 2016) and along 532 a tree diversity gradient in a mature tropical forest (Leles et al., 2017). In the BEF-China 533 experiment, predation rates were influenced by tree species richness on only one of the three 534 tree species studied (Yang et al., 2017b). However, at a finer spatial scale, Muiruri et al. (2016) 535 found that bird predation rates on focal trees increased with neighbour tree diversity, indicating 536 that diversity effects can be scale-dependent (see also Bommarco and Banks 2003, which 537 might explain some of the deviating results from agricultural and grassland systems). 538 Assessments of predation and parasitism rates by arthropods again showed mixed results, with 539 positive (Leles et al., 2017; Staab et al., 2016), inconsistent (Riihimäki et al., 2005), or no 540 detectable effects (Abdala-Roberts et al., 2016) of tree diversity on predation and parasitism 541 rates. 542

543 Overall, tree diversity does not unambiguously promote predators and the top-down control of 544 herbivores, and the predictions of the enemies hypothesis (section 2) may not be generally 545 applicable to forest ecosystems. This is underscored by the finding that insect herbivory 546 increased with tree diversity in several systems, including forests and several TreeDivNet 547 expeirments (e.g. Schuldt et al. 2010, 2015, Haase et al. 2015, Wein et al. 2016) and that higher 548 predation rates do not necessarily result in reduced herbivory (Castagneyrol et al. 2017; see 549 also Grass et al. 2017).

550

551 **4.3 Connections between tree growth and damage**

552 Tree growth is intimately and reciprocally related to damage by herbivores and pathogens.

- 553 When viewed from the bottom-up, plant vigor (Cornelissen et al., 2008) can either increase 554 damage by providing more resources for herbivores and pathogens (Price, 1991) or reduce
- 554 damage by providing more resources for herbivores and pathogens (Price, 1991) or reduce 555 damage through robust defenses and lower concentrations of available nutrients (White, 1984).
- 556 Alternatively, from the top-down, damage can reduce growth by forcing plants to devote

557 resources to defenses (Colev et al., 1985) or increase it by favoring compensatory growth 558 (McNaughton, 1983). As such, expectations for the direction and strength of the relationship 559 between growth and damage are not clear. To date, most published TreeDivNet publications 560 address either tree growth and mortality or damage by pests and pathogens, but not both; only 561 five papers present integrated findings on both growth and damage. In two of these studies (Dillen et al., 2016; Plath et al., 2011), diversity did not have a consistent effect on either growth 562 563 or damage, whereas the authors of the other three publications (Haase et al., 2015; Muiruri et 564 al., 2015; Riedel et al., 2013) documented complex and interacting relationships between 565 diversity, growth, and damage.

566

567 In their systematic review of data from three TreeDivNet experiments. Haase and colleagues 568 (2015) found that trees growing in mixtures experienced both higher height growth and higher 569 rates of invertebrate herbivory than expected based on observations from monocultures. They 570 concluded that higher diversity may have led to increased growth in spite of reductions in plant 571 health caused by herbivory. Riedel et al. (2013) assessed this possibility through an additional 572 experimental manipulation: the application of insecticide to polycultures in the Sardinilla 573 experiment. Their finding that tree growth was highest in insecticide-treated polycultures, 574 intermediate in monocultures, and lowest in untreated mixtures suggests that insect herbivory 575 can indeed reduce growth, and sometimes can do so enough to cancel out positive diversity-576 growth effects. The relationship between tree diversity and herbivore damage at one trophic 577 level can also interact with herbivory at a different trophic level. Muiruri and colleagues (2015) 578 found that the consequences of tree diversity for both tree growth and insect herbivory 579 depended on the intensity of moose browsing experienced by trees in the Satakunta 580 experiment. Progressively more intense moose browsing ultimately canceled out any signal of a 581 positive diversity-growth relationship and converted a negative diversity-insect herbivory 582 relationship to a positive one. Under light moose browsing, trees in diverse stands grew more 583 and experienced less insect herbivory than in monoculture; under high moose browsing, on the 584 other hand, trees in diverse stands grew equivalently and experienced more herbivory than in 585 monoculture.

586

587 5. Opportunities: moving forward in BEF experiments

588 Grassland diversity experiments, and especially a few located in the American Midwest and 589 northern Europe (e.g. Hooper et al. 2005, Hautier et al. 2015, Weisser et al. 2017), have 590 advanced BEF research since its inception. Tree diversity experiments share and extend some 591 key elements with the field's grassland-dominated past, while also complementing past work 592 with novel elements. Specifically, we propose that experiments in TreeDivNet build on and 593 extend to tree-dominated ecosystems several ongoing themes in grassland diversity research: 594 the use of remote sensing to scale from individual trees to plots and stands in the construction 595 of stand models and estimation of water use and plant traits (section 5.1), the exploration of 596 above- and belowground compartments of ecosystems (5.2), the mechanisms connecting plant 597 physiology with ecosystem functioning (5.3), and the broadening of BEF research to include 598 dimensions of biodiversity beyond species richness (5.4). Furthermore, tree diversity 599 experiments also make possible new avenues of research. These experiments provide unique 600 insights compared to grassland experiments because forests develop over longer time scales 601 and are structurally more complex than grasslands. Changes in community structure over these developmental times scales is expected to precipitate changes in BEF dynamics in ways that 602 603 may not be analogous to grassland dynamics (5.5). Pertaining to each of these research 604 trajectories is the observation that, unlike grasslands, tree diversity experiments allow 605 growth/survival and damage to be assessed for individuals as well as at the community level. 606 The location of individuals in grassland experiments is unknown, very difficult to track, or 607 transient; in tree diversity experiments, the exact location of each individual is known, allowing

for spatial analysis across scales and analysis of patterns in mortality and growth. Such analysis
 contributes novelty to the extension of BEF research into tree diversity experiments. We review
 these potential areas of innovation below with specific examples from TreeDivNet sites.

611

612 5.1 Remote sensing of tree function, diversity and performance

613 Recent methodological advancements in remote sensing allow detailed spatial analysis relating 614 individual tree growth, survival, or physiological function to tree neighbourhood and local 615 environment, which facilitates the detailed investigation of biotic interactions. They also allow for 616 monitoring and analysis of broad areas of forest encompassing both tree diversity experiments 617 and entire forest ecosystems. Tree diversity experiments also have the advantage of controlling plant density, which is critical for separating biomass and diversity and can be confounded in 618 619 statistical methods for detecting diversity using remote sensing methods (Wang et al., 2016). 620 Spectral diversity using hyperspectral data are increasingly used to detect plant functional types 621 (Ustin and Gamon 2010), and spectral diversity appears to correlate strongly with functional and phylogenetic diversity in grassland systems (Gholizadeh et al in review: Schweiger et al in 622 623 review). In controlled tree experiments, spectral profiles have been shown to accurately differentiate species and even genotypes within species (Cavender-Bares et al., 2016) and to 624 625 predict critical functional traits, such as plant water potential (Cotrozzi et al., 2017), 626 demonstrating promise for remote detection of functional identity, diversity, and productivity. 627 Such detection capacity will likely prove useful in forest systems (Foody and Cutler, 2003; 628 Somers and Asner, 2014). In natural forest systems, recently developed methodological 629 approaches for harnessing hyperspectral data to detect taxonomic identity (Féret and Asner, 630 2014) and functional diversity (Schneider et al., 2017) have been quite successful and can also 631 be applied to forest experiments.

632

633 5.1.1 Tree and canopy models from laser scanning

634 Local neighbourhood analysis has been revolutionized using terrestrial laser scanning allowing 635 a three-dimensional analysis of individual crown shapes (Metz et al., 2013; Olivier et al., 2016; 636 Seidel et al., 2015, 2011a) and canopy space filling (Seidel et al., 2013). Compared to traditional methods, neighbourhood analyses using terrestrial laser scanning account for detailed crown 637 638 characteristics of individuals that typically vary depending on the species, environmental 639 conditions and plasticity (Metz et al., 2013; Olivier et al., 2016). Such a precise tool is promising 640 for spatially explicit analyses of competition and interactions on the single-tree level in 641 heterogeneous and mixed systems, such as tree diversity experiments. Terrestrial laser 642 scanning can also be used for estimation of above-ground biomass (Seidel et al. 2011b, Kankare et al. 2013, Nölke et al. 2015). Because younger trees typically show greater crown 643 644 plasticity (Muth and Bazzaz, 2002), canopy interactions can be analysed using a terrestrial laser 645 scanner in the early phase of a tree diversity experiment (e.g. ongoing research in EFForTS-646 BEE). Furthermore, detailed analysis of canopy expansion using terrestrial laser scanning has been used to disentangle competition for light and abrasion (Hajek et al., 2015), improving our 647 648 understanding of the mechanisms of canopy interactions that are needed to generalize findings 649 from tree diversity experiments. Compared to traditional measurements, data acquisition using 650 terrestrial laser scanning is more accurate and less time-consuming, even if multiple scans of 651 the forest scene are recommended for detailed neighbourhood analysis (Seidel et al., 2015; Van der Zande et al., 2011). Using airborne laser scanning allows for quantification of individual tree 652 growth, allometry, and competition over a spatially extensive area (Ma et al., 2017; Pedersen et 653 654 al., 2012), but the high survey cost is a major limitation for the use of this technology in tree diversity experiments. Low-cost unmanned aerial vehicles equipped with laser scanners 655 (Wallace et al., 2012) or digital cameras (Mikita et al., 2016; Wallace et al., 2016) allow for the 656 657 derivation of three-dimensional models of the canopy. Such models can be used to assess the relationship between crown interaction, ground-based measures of tree growth, and local 658

neighbourhood density. Airborne laser scans are still limited in detecting canopy characteristics
below the canopy surface, so that their use would be limited to mixtures with co-dominant
species. However, recent improvements (Ayrey et al., 2017) promise to facilitate for the use of
airborne LIDAR to perform neighbour analysis in TDN sites

663

664 5.1.2 Assessing tree water use through thermal imaging

665 Recent advances in thermal imaging from remote sensing allow researchers to assess tree 666 water stress (Bellvert et al., 2016, 2014; Zarco-Tejada et al., 2012) and evapotranspiration (Brenner et al., 2017; Hoffmann et al., 2016). Evapotranspiration is a key ecosystem function 667 that is often estimated using surface heat models since the spatially distributed measurements 668 of evaporated water are cumbersome. The TreeDivNet experiments offer a unique opportunity 669 670 to build and validate land surface heat models accounting for vegetation and soil properties. 671 Indeed, such experiments allow for measurements of the effect of canopy structure on surface 672 temperature in identical meteorological conditions and often provide additional supporting information such as soil water content and standard meteorological variables. First attempts at 673 674 the estimation evapotranspiration and water stress at plot- or tree-level with a combination of 675 thermal, visible and/or infrared cameras mounted on unmanned aerial vehicles have been 676 performed in some TreeDivNet sites (IDENT-Montreal; IDENT-Macomer; FORBIO; EFForTS-677 BEE) and more are planned in the near future. Cross-site measurements at TreeDivNet 678 experiments would allow for testing the hypothesis that more diverse communities more 679 efficiently use water resources. Additionally, some of the TreeDivNet experiments include an 680 irrigation treatment so that they can be used to assess whether more diverse communities are 681 more resistant to drought and to test the stress gradient hypothesis.

682

683 5.1.3 Hyperspectral methods in tree diversity experiments

684 The development of methods to efficiently quantify leaf functional traits affecting key canopy 685 processes, such as photosynthesis, is a key priority for ecologists. Variation in functional traits 686 at a range of scales - within individuals, within species, across species-contributes to 687 ecosystem function. However, in practice there are large trade-offs in collecting information at 688 these different levels (e.g. Baraloto et al. 2010, Violle et al. 2012, Asner et al. 2015). For 689 instance, measurement of leaf nitrogen by elemental analysis is common because of the strong 690 relationship between leaf nitrogen and photosynthesis, but is destructive, challenging and time 691 intensive in tall vegetation, making it difficult to cover large areas at a range of sampling scales. 692 Non-destructive spectroscopic methods offer a solution to this problem. As many leaf properties 693 such as foliar carbon, nitrogen, phenolics, or leaf dry matter content show specific near infrared 694 reflectance spectra, target leaf traits can be easily assessed at different scales, from ground leaf 695 powder to fresh leaves, entire tree canopies or forest ecosystems, once compound-specific calibrations have been established (Couture et al., 2016; Eichenberg et al., 2015; Foley et al., 696 697 1998).

698

699 Methods relating the reflectance of canopies to their biochemical and biophysical properties, 700 either through empirical or physical modelling approaches, are at the forefront of a rapidly 701 evolving field of research creating novel opportunities for the quantification of key canopy traits (Asner et al., 2017; Cavender-Bares et al., 2017; Homolová et al., 2013). Hyperspectral imaging 702 from unmanned aerial vehicles holds much promise for the study of interactions between 703 704 individual trees and their neighbourhoods. Furthermore, in comparison to field spectrometry, 705 there is great potential for efficient replication within and across individuals-achieving similar replication with a field spectrometer from branch samples would be challenging and destructive, 706 707 while capturing spectra from a mobile crane would be slow. Data collection at this scale can 708 allow development of models for functional traits and the detection of species (Somers and Asner, 2014), facilitating descriptions of community taxonomic and functional composition at the 709

ecosystem scale (Rocchini, 2007). There is also strong potential to map forest disease and
pathogen outbreaks (Hanavan et al., 2015; Pontius et al., 2005; Pontius and Hallett, 2014).
Combining different remote sensing technologies (laser scanning, hyperspectral, thermal)
provides great potential to study interactions at the tree- and community-level between tree
diversity, tree growth and survival, and pathogen and herbivore damage (Broadbent et al.,
2014).

716 **5.2 Aboveground and belowground approaches to BEF**

717 BEF studies in both grassland and forest ecosystems have more often tended to focus on 718 measuring aboveground functions, such as plant aboveground productivity and leaf herbivory 719 rather than belowground functions (but see Eisenhauer et al. 2012, Domisch et al. 2015, 720 Seabloom et al. 2017). Yet a considerable part of the total plant biomass is located below 721 ground and soil processes such as decomposition and nutrient mineralisation play a key role in 722 biogeochemical cycles, soil biodiversity, and functioning (Eisenhauer, 2012; Nico Eisenhauer et 723 al., 2012b). A recent synthesis study in the framework of a large long-term grassland BEF 724 experiment analysed the effects of plant diversity on the performance of 50 ecosystem 725 variables, including a considerable number of belowground functions (Meyer et al., 2016). 726 Notably, belowground variables mostly comprised environmental variables and only one plant-727 related variable, whereas among the aboveground variables, plant variables predominated. This 728 may reflect the negligence but also the difficulty of measuring biotic functions in opaque and 729 cryptic belowground systems. Other investigators have also made first efforts toward balancing 730 above- and belowground variables in BEF studies (Allan et al., 2013; Eisenhauer, 2012; Isbell et 731 al., 2011).

732

The above- and belowground compartments of ecosystems inherently rely on each other, with the aboveground compartment serving as supplier of carbon resources to the belowground food web in the form of plant litter, whereas the belowground compartment and its biotic communities release nutrients to plants and the aboveground food web (Wardle et al., 2004). This contributes to correlations of above- and belowground diversity that have been found in several studies (Hooper et al., 2000; Wardle and van der Putten, 2002). However, most studies lack mechanistic interpretations of these observations.

740

741 Despite the strong relationships among the aboveground and belowground compartments and, 742 thus, potential coupling of ecosystem functions, there is evidence that their functional 743 characteristics substantially differ. For instance, the two compartments are influenced by 744 different environmental variables. Aboveground, one of the most crucial variables is light 745 availability, an important driver for niche differentiation in plants (Morin et al., 2011; Yachi and 746 Loreau, 2007), with minor direct effects on the belowground system. In a grassland experiment, 747 it was found that effects of plant diversity on soil animal abundance and diversity are weaker 748 compared to those aboveground (Scherber et al., 2010; Weisser et al., 2017). Accordingly, in 749 the BIOTREE and Satakunta experiments, tree species diversity did not affect belowground 750 plant biomass and production (Domisch et al., 2015), though other studies found effects of tree 751 species diversity on aboveground growth (section 3). Diversity effects may also change with soil 752 depth as densities of roots and, thus, nutrient uptake and plant resource input into soil decrease gradually (Allan et al., 2013). Moreover, aboveground-belowground interrelationships need time 753 754 to establish in BEF experiments (e.g. (Strecker et al., 2016; Weisser et al., 2017)). We therefore 755 stress the need to perform long-term experiments that move beyond transient dynamics to 756 capture more equilibrium-based results over the course of stand development (N. Eisenhauer et 757 al., 2012). 758

To better understand the role of the belowground system in BEF relationships and its

- 760 interrelationships with the aboveground system, it is further essential to not only measure
- belowground ecosystem functions, but also to manipulate belowground traits in designs of
- 762 diversity experiments. In the MyDiv, B-Tree, and BiodiversiTREE experiments within
- 763 TreeDivNet, first steps have been made into this direction by crossing tree species diversity
- gradients with treatments of tree mycorrhizal type. Mycorrhizae play a critical role in plant
- nutrient and water uptake from soil and, consequently, in the plants' competitive capabilities as
- 766 well as in their overall performance.
- 767

768 5.3 Linking tree physiology to ecosystem functioning

Tree diversity studies offer opportunities to address fundamental questions in plant physiology 769 770 and plant-plant interactions. These fundamental questions include elucidating responses to 771 drought and other environmental changes, effects of above- and belowground resources and 772 conditions on biomass allocation and morphological adjustment, and properties of mycorrhizal networks. Although some tree diversity studies have considered these topics (e.g. water 773 774 relations; Lübbe et al. 2016), it is rare for the literature to consider them through the lens of 775 diversity. Common to these three issues is a need to consider how the neighbourhood of target 776 individuals influences their physiological responses, a challenge that can be partially addressed 777 through the use of tree diversity experiments in the field. Utilising a network of experiments. across gradients of environmental change, potentially offers a chance to disentangle the relative 778 779 importance of different drivers, as has been suggested for observational approaches with varying degrees of control (Baeten et al., 2013; Verheyen et al., 2017). Synthesizing results 780 781 from such efforts may lead to greater understanding of physiological responses and ultimately 782 ecosystem level effects. Identifying the "how" is only part of the challenge; understanding "why" 783 plants adapt in particular ways will help to design the next generation of process-based models. 784 Here we briefly describe trending questions in plant physiology and suggest how individual tree 785 diversity studies, and networks, could add insight to these important challenges.

786

787 **5.3.1 Drought responses and water relations**

788 Research on the causes and consequences of drought-induced mortality and water relations 789 within plants (e.g. Allen, Breshears et al. 2015, Corlett 2016, Landsberg, Waring et al. 2017) is 790 often carried out through pot experiments with or without other environmental changes (e.g. 791 Kelly et al. 2016, Rodríguez-Calcerrada et al. 2017) and on one or a few species across time or 792 environmental gradients (e.g. Diaconu et al. 2016, Schuldt et al. 2016). There are instances of 793 forest ecosystems being subjected to experimentally induced drought treatments (Binks et al., 794 2016; Lempereur et al., 2015) and other environmental changes (Norby et al., 2016) but 795 generally without consideration of the effects of diversity. Drought experiments have, however, 796 demonstrated differential sensitivity of species in their ability to adjust to drought. There is, thus, 797 a real opportunity to use tree diversity experiments with experimental drought treatments to 798 investigate acclimated and ontogenetic response mechanisms.

799

Water relations have been the interest of some in tree diversity experiments (Kröber et al., 800 801 2015; Kröber and Bruelheide, 2014; Kunert et al., 2012; Lübbe et al., 2016a). Indeed, Lübbe et 802 al. (2016b) have recently shown, using seedlings of five naturally co-occurring temperate 803 broadleaved tree species grown in monocultures and mixtures, that neighbouring species 804 diversity can significantly influence a tree's hydraulic architecture and leaf water status 805 regulation. For instance, common hornbeam and, to a lesser extent, sycamore developed a 806 more efficient stem hydraulic system in heterospecific neighbourhoods when under drought. 807 while common beech was generally more efficient in conspecific neighbourhoods. It might be expected that neighbourhood interactions given different species mixtures will scale in a 808

- 809 complex manner to ecosystem level outcomes, due to intraspecific and interspecific variability in
- 810 hydraulic traits and the potential for hydraulic redistribution (Anderegg, 2015; Blackman et al.,
- 2017). Further work is required across experiments, with different species, and at the individual 811
- 812 plant level to assess how hydraulic traits respond to neighbourhoods and environmental
- 813 conditions and thence scale up to the whole ecosystem.
- 814

815 5.3.2 Biomass allocation and morphological adjustment

- 816 Allocation of biomass/carbon within plants is an important area in plant physiological research, 817 given the need for vegetation to co-ordinate nutrient, water, and carbon uptake, and the 818 dependence of these processes on the biotic as well as the abiotic environment. Allocation is 819 not the only way plants can respond to resources and conditions: they can also adjust 820 morphologically and anatomically in their organs and alter the physiological characteristics of 821 the cells that form them (Freschet et al., 2015; Poorter and Ryser, 2015). It is especially 822 important to understand these adjustments in relation to parameterising vegetation models that 823 aim to predict future responses to global change. Allocation also has economic implications 824 where tree plantations are used for timber production e.g. determining how much net primary 825 production is allocated towards stem wood production versus leaf and root growth and how 826 changes in allocation may affect timber quality, for example through increased or reduced
- 827 branch dimensions (Campoe et al., 2012; Forrester et al., 2017).
- 828

829 How allocation changes and how morphology adjusts within tree plantations of differing diversity

- 830 therefore remain important research topics, which tree diversity experiments can help to
- 831 elucidate. This has been done for a limited number of species mixtures and sites (e.g.
- 832 Nouvellon et al. 2012, Van de Peer et al. 2017, Williams et al. 2017) but clearly could be 833 examined more widely. Understanding of environmental and physiological constraints on carbon
- 834 allocation could be improved with in situ whole labelling experiments (Epron et al., 2012) or
- 835 crown modeling from terrestrial laser scanning (Metz et al. 2013), but this remains a challenge.
- 836 Massey et al. (2006) showed that one dipterocarp species grew taller in conspecific
- 837 neighbourhoods, but that biomass was not different in the different treatments because of
- 838 greater branching and leaf area in heterospecific stands. The propensity for greater branching in 839 mixed stands has also be observed in older plantations (Potvin and Dutilleul, 2009), while recent
- 840 evidence suggests that richness-productivity relationships are promoted by interspecific niche 841 differentiation at early stages of stand development, enhanced by architectural plasticity of species (Williams et al. 2017).
- 842 843

844 5.3.3 Mycorrhizal interactions

845 Mycorrhizae are known to play a central role in facilitating nutrient uptake for plants in exchange 846 for carbon subsidies (Jiang et al., 2017; Smith and Read, 2008; Treseder, 2013). Indeed, 847 because of the reciprocal transfer of nutrients and carbon in particular, and potential differences 848 among symbioses, plant-fungal interactions can mediate forest productivity, condition, and 849 patterns of regeneration. Thus, mycorrhizae can influence forest vulnerability to herbivore, 850 pathogen and drought damage (Smith and Read, 2008), and may lie behind the different effects 851 of particular plant species' combinations on carbon and nutrient dynamics (e.g. Wurzburger and 852 Hendrick 2009).

853

854 Both the environment and neighbouring hosts affect the formation of mycorrhizae on plant roots 855 (Molina and Horton, 2015). Some studies have shown a strong influence of host identity on 856 mycorrhizal communities (Aponte et al., 2010; Ishida et al., 2007; Morris et al., 2008; Smith et 857 al., 2009; Tedersoo et al., 2008) that seems to increase with phylogenetic divergence of the 858 hosts. Other studies have shown that generalist fungi can be expected to be present in greater 859 numbers in mixed forests because of their ability to associate with multiple hosts (Cavard et al.,

- 2011). The mediation of carbon dynamics is particularly evident through common mycorrhizal
 networks i.e., connectivity between plant individuals through a common mycorrhiza (Teste et al.,
 2009). Researchers in grasslands have suggested that particular fungal partners preferentially
 supply nutrients to those individuals best placed to provide carbon in return, i.e., those in the
 highest light environments (Weremijewicz et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2015).
- 865

866 There is clearly opportunity for tree diversity experiments to explore these ideas, particularly 867 given the different light environments engendered by different diversity neighbourhoods 868 (Sapijanskas et al., 2014). Tree diversity experiments could also offer insight into molecular mechanisms, given recent debates as to whether effector proteins are conserved across host 869 870 species, or whether there are host specific pathways (Sedzielewska-Toro and Delaux, 2016). 871 Tree diversity experiments can deliberately manipulate mycorrhizal status, and other nutrient 872 acquiring mechanisms (e.g. cluster roots), to investigate their effects on plant growth and other 873 ecosystem processes (e.g. Perring et al. 2012, Grossman et al. 2017). Whether plant-fungal 874 relationships and trait expression depend on the neighbourhood of target individuals, as well as 875 the composition at the plot scale, remains largely unknown, although in one TreeDivNet 876 experiment, mycorrhizal diversity was linked to tree phylogenetic diversity (Nguyen et al., 2016). 877 The recently established MyDiv, B-Tree, and BiodiversiTREE experiments will elucidate the 878 interactive effects of tree diversity and mycorrhizal type (ecto- and endomycorrhizae) on 879 ecosystem functioning. The positive BEF relationship is often attributed to niche differentiation 880 among functional traits of different species, thereby e.g. increasing nutrient uptake. In these 881 experiments, the significance of above-belowground interactions in BEF relationships will be 882 studied. The rationale of this experiment is that tree communities associated with different 883 mycorrhizal types perform better than those with only one, and that the type and diversity of 884 association(s) with mycorrhizae will influence BEF relationships.

885

886 5.3.4 Capacity of diversity to ameliorate abiotic stress

887 Biodiversity loss has been demonstrated to contribute to changes in ecosystem functioning to 888 the same or to a greater extent when compared with other global change factors (Hooper et al., 889 2012; Tilman et al., 2012). Yet, factors such as climate change and nutrient enrichment are 890 expected to alter species interactions, changing the ecological consequences of biodiversity for 891 ecosystem functioning(Paguette et al., 2017; Tylianakis et al., 2008). Contemporary ecological 892 theory and principles of plant ecophysiology suggest that abiotic stress should mediate 893 biodiversity-ecosystem functioning effects. The stress gradient hypothesis (Bertness and 894 Callaway, 1994) predicts that plant-plant facilitation will be more pronounced under abiotic 895 stress - drought, frost (or cold temperatures), wind, or heat - and that competition will dominate 896 under low-stress conditions (Wright et al., 2017). Under stressful conditions, the role of diversity 897 in regulating plant performance may become stronger or weaker, or even switch directions (e.g. 898 reducing productivity instead of increasing it). In grassland experiments in which biodiversity gradients have been crossed with manipulations of free-air CO₂, water availability, or induced 899 900 warming, these global change factors have interacted with diversity to affect ecosystem 901 functioning (Cowles et al., 2016; Reich et al., 2001a). And in European forests, the relationship 902 between diversity and growth has been shown to vary with environmental conditions. Across six 903 regions, forest diversity was more strongly associated with a suite of 26 functions in drier sites with longer growing seasons than in moister and shorter-season sites (Ratcliffe et al., 2016). 904 905 Diversity also reduced the negative consequences of climate and warming trends on saplings 906 (Ruiz-Benito et al., 2017) and had a more pronounced positive effect on tree growth in less 907 productive sites (Jucker et al., 2016; Toïgo et al., 2015). Though these findings generally 908 conform to expectations from the stress-gradient hypothesis, this is not always the case in 909 forested ecosystems (Forrester, 2014). And recent meta-analysis has also indicated that drought and nutrient availability, though they affected plant productivity, did not substantially 910

- 911 interact with the positive diversity-productivity relationships documented in experimental
- grasslands (Craven et al., 2016) These findings may not be generalizable, however, across
- 913 other ecosystem types, global change factors, and response variables.
- 914

915 **5.4 Dimensions of diversity – beyond species richness**

916 Species richness remains the default metric of biodiversity in most BEF experiments, despite 917 ecologists' growing awareness that other dimensions of biodiversity affect ecosystem 918 functionality (Naeem et al., 2012). For some time, BEF investigators have explored the 919 consequences for ecosystem functioning of diversity of functional traits (functional diversity; 920 Tilman 1997, Reich et al. 2001) and diversity in the evolutionary relationships among sympatric 921 individuals, from the intraspecific (genetic diversity: Crutsinger et al. 2006) to the lineage 922 (phylogenetic diversity; Maherali and Klironomos 2007) level. In some cases, data from 923 experiments designed around gradients in richness have been re-analysed, allowing for 924 retrospective analysis of the contributions of, for instance, functional or phylogenetic diversity to 925 productivity (Cadotte et al. 2009; some of the experiments in Flynn et al. 2011).

926

927 More recent experiments have been designed to include a richness gradient, while also 928 incorporating orthogonal gradients in functional group, functional and/or phylogenetic diversity 929 (e.g. Reich et al. 2004, Gravel et al. 2012, Perring et al. 2012, Cadotte 2013, Ebeling et al. 930 2014, Tobner et al. 2014, 2016, Grossman et al. 2017) or nesting a manipulation of genetic 931 diversity within the richness gradient (e.g. Bruelheide et al. 2014, Moreira et al. 2014, Barsoum 932 2015). Much less common are designs in which richness is held constant while another 933 dimension, such as genetic (Barton et al., 2015; Fernandez-Conradi et al., 2017) or functional (Hantsch et al., 2014b; Scherer-Lorenzen et al., 2007; Tobner et al., 2014) diversity, is 934 manipulated. It is now quite common for BEF experiments - whether with herbaceous species 935 936 or trees - to be designed to assess the consequences for ecosystem functioning of multiple 937 dimensions of diversity, including trophic diversity (Cook-Patton et al., 2014; Parker et al., 2010; 938 Verheven et al., 2016). Because trees (and shrubs in the case of some experiments, including 939 BEF-China) are often easier to monitor and manage at the level of the individual, such 940 manipulations may, in some cases, be more tractable in tree diversity experiments. Experiments 941 where genetic, phylogenetic, functional, and trophic diversity is manipulated rather than or in 942 addition to species richness, will refine the developing consensus that biodiversity generally 943 supports ecosystem functioning in many systems. 944

945 5.5 Consequences of stand succession for BEF

946 It has been documented in grassland diversity experiments, but not yet in tree diversity 947 experiments, that BEF relationships change over time (Reich et al., 2012; Thakur et al., 2015). 948 This is unsurprising given the critical role that succession plays in natural communities. Yet it is 949 reasonable to expect that forest succession, and thus the temporal development of BEF 950 relationships in forests, may take place over longer time scales than those relevant to grassland 951 succession, and that differences in the structural complexity of forests and grasslands might 952 also translate to differences in BEF relationships.

953

954 Ecosystem development, or succession, takes place over different time scales in grasslands and forests. As temperate grasslands mature following disturbance or planting, secondary 955 956 succession takes place through species turnover and both biotic and abiotic modification of the 957 soil over the course of decades (25-75 years; Reynolds et al. 2003, Kahmen and Poschlod 958 2004, McLauchlan et al. 2006). If there is a lack of disturbance (i.e., an absence of fire or only 959 moderate grazing), this trajectory can terminate with a transition from grassland to forest. 960 Secondary forest development in this context varies depending on location, but again, absent landscape-scale disturbance, may not stabilize as old-growth for hundreds of years (Franklin 961

962 and Spies, 1991; Tyrrell and Crow, 1994), Biodiversity supported productivity in both long-963 running grassland BEF experiments at the Cedar Creek, Minnesota site after just one or two 964 years after planting (Reich et al., 2001a; Tilman et al., 1997a) and this relationship was still 965 becoming stronger 13 years after this (Reich et al., 2012). We speculate that BEF relationships 966 observed in the first generation of tree diversity experiments (e.g. Vehviläinen and Koricheva 2006) will also change in intensity, and perhaps direction, over time, and that the timescales of 967 968 these changes will be longer than those relevant to grassland experiments. For instance, 969 Damien et al. (2016) found that the early beneficial effects of pine-birch association on pine 970 attack by a specialist herbivore (Castagneyrol et al., 2014) decreased with time as trees grew 971 taller. In contrast, because the density of plants and relative abundances of species are fixed at 972 establishment (though they may change over time) in tree diversity experiments. BEF dynamics 973 may be more stable in mature experiments than in mature grassland experiments, in which 974 density and composition can change. An exception in this regard is the Climate Match 975 experiment that includes as part of its design different ratios of selected provenances to explore 976 the long-term consequences of differing proportions of trees of distinct origin.

977

978 Because forests differ from grasslands in various aspects, the mechanistic bases and dynamics 979 of BEF relationships may be different than those documented for grasslands. Differences in 980 structural complexity between the two biomes stem from differences in diversity of their 981 dominant plant growth forms. Grasslands are dominated by herbaceous species, primarily 982 grasses and forbs with maximum vegetation height rarely exceeding 2 m. Forests, in contrast, may consist of numerous vegetation strata ranging from canopy trees (potentially exceeding 983 984 100 m in height) to subordinate tree and woody shrub layers and herbaceous understory 985 vegetation at ground level. In reality, then, producer biodiversity in forests is defined not only by 986 tree diversity, but also the diversity of shrubs and herbaceous plants. These components of 987 producer biodiversity interact with each other (Barbier et al., 2008; Both et al., 2011) and are 988 expected to interact to affect forest ecosystem functioning. In addition, in forests stand thinning 989 and gap formation are typical features of stand development in both natural and managed 990 forests. In some forests, thinning and gap formation result in significant alterations to the 991 compositional and structural features of stands and consequently, BEF relationships. To date, 992 most tree diversity experiments have focused on manipulating tree diversity, addressing 993 understory diversity as a response variable. Notable exceptions include the BEF-China 994 (Bruelheide et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2017a) and IDENT-Macomer experiments, which consist of 995 both tree and shrub diversity gradients, providing further opportunity for exploration of these 996 dynamics. 997

998 Additionally, as the basis of forest productivity, trees not only dominate primary production in 999 forests, but also play the role of ecosystem engineer (Jones et al., 1994; Seitz et al., 2016). 1000 Trees alter forest functioning through the extent to which they shade understory woody and nonwoody species (Messier et al., 1998), alter the soil surface and sub-surface via litter deposition 1001 1002 (Hobbie et al., 2006; Reich et al., 2005) and root exudates (Grayston et al., 1997) and exert 1003 afterlife effects through decomposition of necromass by fungal symbionts (Langley et al., 2006; 1004 Read et al., 2004). Finally, tree diversity experiments present an opportunity to explore the 1005 relationship between diversity and the temporal stability of key ecosystem processes at various organizational levels, and to elucidate the drivers behind them. For instance, a recent 1006 1007 investigation documented greater stability in biomass production at the community level in 1008 mixed forests than in monocultures, but a negative or neutral effect of diversity on biomass 1009 stability at the species level (del Río et al., 2017).

1010

1011 The maturation of tree experiments over time will also provide opportunities to address topics of 1012 applied and basic ecological interest. Continued stand development will provide opportunities 1013 for research linking diversity treatment to implications for management of mixed-species 1014 plantations and forests, a key goal of TreeDivNet (Nock et al., 2017; Verheyen et al., 2016). Forest managers will have the option of assessing the effectiveness of, for instance, pruning or 1015 1016 harvesting techniques across stands of varying diversity. And, as discussed above, tree-tree 1017 interactions will continue to grow stronger as canopies close and self-thinning becomes more common. In addition, though understory plant (Ampoorter et al., 2015; Germany et al., 2017), 1018 1019 microbial (Nguyen et al., 2016), herbivore (Vehvilainen et al., 2007), bird (Teuscher et al., 2016) 1020 and predator (Esquivel-Gomez et al., 2017) communities have already responded, in some

- 1021 cases, to tree diversity treatments, we expect that these associated communities will continue to
 1022 change, and perhaps stabilize, over time. The development of these communities will certainly
 1023 affect tree vulnerability to herbivore and pathogen damage as well as tree growth and survival.
- 1023 1024

1025 6. Challenges in future TreeDivNet research

Experiments in TreeDivNet have already contributed to our understanding of the relationships
between tree diversity and tree growth and survival and between tree diversity and herbivore
and pathogen damage to trees. Further research from the network will grapple with several
challenges, including tree mortality, design limitations, and appropriate integration of modeling.

Tree mortality will present managers of tree diversity experiments with consequential choices 1031 1032 about how to maintain their experiments over the coming decades. In establishing TreeDivNet 1033 sites, most investigators chose to replace transplants that died shortly after being planted. This 1034 was essential as the identity and density of experimental trees are, in all cases, a key 1035 independent variable for diversity experiments. Yet experimental managers will not be able to 1036 respond to future mortality with replanting: new trees would be dramatically smaller and younger 1037 than neighbours and, besides, mortality of adult trees in later years of the experiment will likely 1038 result from important interspecific interactions rather than merely from seedling transplant 1039 shock. Faced with this mortality, managers will need to decide whether to simply allow the 1040 composition and density of plots to change or whether to systematically thin to retain the original or near-original design of their experiments. These choices will affect the way experimental 1041 results are interpreted. For instance, as trees die, the plot level of analysis may become either 1042 1043 less useful because of compromising the initial design or more useful because community 1044 assembly mechanisms are then similar to natural forest ecosystems. In any case, 1045 neighbourhood approaches to quantifying diversity will remain appropriate.

1046

A common feature of TreeDivNet experiments is that they follow a replacement design: total
tree density (i.e., number of trees per plot of the same area) is held constant along diversity
gradients such that the concentration (i.e., number of tree individuals) and frequency (i.e.,

1050 relative abundance) of each species decreases with tree species richness. Most species

1051 mixtures in the TreeDivNet experiments are thus equiproportional such that species

1052 concentration and frequency covary with tree species richness (but see BIOTREE-Simplex:

- 1053 Scherer-Lorenzen et al. 2007). Yet, recent studies on non-tree systems and modelling
- approaches stressed the importance of disentangling the relative effects of host concentration
- and frequency to explain associational effects (Hahn and Orrock, 2016; Hambäck et al., 2014;
- 1056 Kim and Underwood, 2015; Underwood et al., 2014). Allowing the relative share of tree species
- 1057 in mixtures to vary, as in the SIDE experiment, will allow for a better understanding of the 1058 mechanisms underlying host concentration effects. Another limitation of most, if not all,
- 1059 TreeDivNet experiments is that trees are regularly spaced within each plot, which does not then
- 1060 consider the possible effect of more heterogenous spacing, as is found in natural forests, on
- 1061 many ecosystem processes.

1062

1063 Tree plantation experiments obviously have limitations, which have often been discussed in depth in reviews and reports of original results, but these findings could be greatly 1064 1065 complemented with simulation studies (e.g. Bunker 2005, Morin et al. 2014). Simulation models 1066 could be used to extend the findings of experiments over both larger and longer scales. BEF 1067 research has been developed mostly for systems at equilibrium and where demography is 1068 responsible for dynamics. Tree plantations are restricted to a particular segment of tree life 1069 cycle and therefore do not integrate all aspects of population dynamics. Models could partly 1070 solve this issue, and we expect they will perform best when combined with such data-intensive 1071 experiments. On the other hand, building a model forces an experimentalist to rigorously identify 1072 relevant processes, along with appropriate measurements of some critical quantities such as 1073 growth rates, biomass allocation, and competition mechanisms (Grimm et al., 2017). We 1074 envision that the co-development of TreeDivNet experiments with models should be part of the 1075 future and will benefit both fundamental and applied research. 1076

1077

1078 Acknowledgements

- JJG, JCB, and PBR were supported by grants from the US National Science Foundation Long Term Ecological Research Program (LTER) including DEB-0620652 and DEB-1234162; further
- 1081 support was provided by the Cedar Creek Ecosystem Science Reserve and the University of
- 1082 Minnesota. NE, OF, AS and HB acknowledge funding by the German Centre for Integrative
- 1083 Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, funded by the German Research Foundation
- 1084 (FZT 118). HB, AS, MS and MSL appreciate the funding of BEF-China by the German
- 1085 Research Foundation (DFG FOR 891/1-3). JP acknowledges funding from the Smithsonian
- 1086 Institution and a generous donation from John Ryan. MW was supported by the fund of the 1087 Swedish Energy Agency (project no. 36654-2). HK and DCZ acknowledge funding from the
- 1088 German Research Foundation (DFG CRC 990-EFFORTS). CN acknowledges funding from the
- 1089 German Research Foundation (DFG Project NO 1225/2-1). QP acknowledges support from the
- 1090 Walloon Public Service Department of Nature and Forests (SPW-DNF), through the project
- 1091 'Accord-cadre de recherche et de vulgarisation forestières'. MV was funded as postdoctoral
- 1092 fellow of FWO-Vlaanderen. MSL, JB, HB, BC, HJ, AH, BM, QP and KV received funding within
- 1093 the FunDivEUROPE project from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme
- 1094 (FP7/2007–2013) under grant agreement n° 265171. CM, AP and DG acknowledge funding
- 1095 from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.
- 1096

1097 Author Contributions

1098 All authors contributed to the planning, drafting, and revision of this manuscript. JJG managed 1099 this process, with support from MV. MSL and KV are the principal coordinators of TreeDivNet.

1100 1101 **References**

- Aarssen, L.W., 2012. High productivity in grassland ecosystems: Effected by species diversity
 or productive species? Oikos 80, 183–184.
- Abdala-Roberts, L., Hernández-Cumplido, J., Chel-Guerrero, L., Betancur-Ancona, D., Benrey,
 B., Moreira, X., 2016. Effects of plant intraspecific diversity across three trophic levels:
 Underlying mechanisms and plant traits. Am. J. Bot. 103, 1810–1818.
- Adair, E.C., Reich, P.B., Hobbie, S.E., Knops, J.M.H., 2009. Interactive effects of time, CO₂, N,
 and diversity on total belowground carbon allocation and ecosystem carbon storage in a
 grassland community. Ecosystems 12, 1037–1052.
- Allan, E., Weisser, W.W., Fischer, M., Schulze, E.D., Weigelt, A., Roscher, C., Baade, J.,
 Barnard, R.L., Beßler, H., Buchmann, N., Ebeling, A., Eisenhauer, N., Engels, C., Fergus,
 A.J.F., Gleixner, G., Gubsch, M., Halle, S., Klein, A.M., Kertscher, I., Kuu, A., Lange, M.,
 Le Roux, X., Meyer, S.T., Migunova, V.D., Milcu, A., Niklaus, P.A., Oelmann, Y., Pašalić,
 E., Petermann, J.S., Poly, F., Rottstock, T., Sabais, A.C.W., Scherber, C., SchererLorenzen, M., Scheu, S., Steinbeiss, S., Schwichtenberg, G., Temperton, V., Tscharntke,
 T., Voigt, W., Wilcke, W., Wirth, C., Schmid, B., 2013. A comparison of the strength of
- 1117 biodiversity effects across multiple functions. Oecologia 173, 223–237.
- Ampoorter, E., Baeten, L., Vanhellemont, M., Bruelheide, H., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Baasch, A.,
 Erfmeier, A., Hock, M., Verheyen, K., 2015. Disentangling tree species identity and
 richness effects on the herb layer: First results from a German tree diversity experiment. J.
 Veg. Sci. 26, 742–755.
- 1122 Anderegg, W.R.L., 2015. Spatial and temporal variation in plant hydraulic traits and their 1123 relevance for climate change impacts on vegetation. New Phytol. 205, 1008–1014.
- 1124 Andow, D.A., 1991. Vegetational Diversity and Arthropod Population Response. Annu. Rev.
- 1125 Entomol. 36, 561–586.

- Aponte, C., García, L. V., Marañón, T., Gardes, M., 2010. Indirect host effect on ectomycorrhizal
 fungi: Leaf fall and litter quality explain changes in fungal communities on the roots of co occurring Mediterranean oaks. Soil Biol. Biochem. 42, 788–796.
- Ashton, I.W., Miller, A.E., Bowman, W.D., Suding, K.N., 2010. Niche complementarity due to plasticity in resource use: Plant partitioning of chemical N forms. Ecology 91, 3252–3260.
- Asner, G.P., Martin, R.E., Anderson, C.B., Knapp, D.E., 2015. Quantifying forest canopy traits:
 Imaging spectroscopy versus field survey. Remote Sens. Environ. 158, 15–27.
- Asner, G.P., Martin, R.E., Anderson, C.B., Kryston, K., Vaughn, N., Knapp, D.E., Bentley, L.P.,
 Shenkin, A., Salinas, N., Sinca, F., Tupayachi, R., Quispe Huaypar, K., Montoya Pillco, M.,
 Ccori Álvarez, F.D., Díaz, S., Enquist, B.J., Malhi, Y., 2017. Scale dependence of canopy
 trait distributions along a tropical forest elevation gradient. New Phytol. 214, 973–988.
- Ayrey, E., Fraver, S., Kershaw, J.A., Kenefic, L.S., Hayes, D., Weiskittel, A.R., Roth, B.E., 2017.
 Layer stacking: A novel algorithm for individual forest tree segmentation from LiDAR point clouds. Can. J. Remote Sens. 43, 16–27.
- Baeten, L., Verheyen, K., Wirth, C., Bruelheide, H., Bussotti, F., Finér, L., Jaroszewicz, B., Selvi,
 F., Valladares, F., Allan, E., Ampoorter, E., Auge, H., Avăcăriei, D., Barbaro, L., Bărnoaiea,
 I., Bastias, C.C., Bauhus, J., Beinhoff, C., Benavides, R., Benneter, A., Berger, S.,
- 1143 Berthold, F., Boberg, J., Bonal, D., Brüggemann, W., Carnol, M., Castagneyrol, B.,
- 1144 Charbonnier, Y., Chećko, E., Coomes, D., Coppi, A., Dalmaris, E., Dănilă, G., Dawud,
- S.M., de Vries, W., De Wandeler, H., Deconchat, M., Domisch, T., Duduman, G., Fischer,
 M., Fotelli, M., Gessler, A., Gimeno, T.E., Granier, A., Grossiord, C., Guyot, V., Hantsch,
- L., Hättenschwiler, S., Hector, A., Hermy, M., Holland, V., Jactel, H., Joly, F.-X., Jucker, T.,
 Kolb, S., Koricheva, J., Lexer, M.J., Liebergesell, M., Milligan, H., Müller, S., Muys, B.,
- Nguyen, D., Nichiforel, L., Pollastrini, M., Proulx, R., Rabasa, S., Radoglou, K., Ratcliffe,
 S., Raulund-Rasmussen, K., Seiferling, I., Stenlid, J., Vesterdal, L., von Wilpert, K., Zavala,
 M.A., Zielinski, D., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., 2013. A novel comparative research platform
 designed to determine the functional significance of tree species diversity in European
 forests. Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 15, 281–291.
- 1154 Bañuelos, M.J., Kollmann, J., 2011. Effects of host-plant population size and plant sex on a 1155 specialist leaf-miner. Acta Oecologica 37, 58–64.
- Baraloto, C., Timothy Paine, C.E., Patiño, S., Bonal, D., Hérault, B., Chave, J., 2010. Functional trait variation and sampling strategies in species-rich plant communities. Funct. Ecol. 24, 208–216.
- Barbier, S., Gosselin, F., Balandier, P., 2008. Influence of tree species on understory vegetation diversity and mechanisms involved-A critical review for temperate and boreal forests. For.
 Ecol. Manage. 254, 1–15.
- Barrufol, M., Schmid, B., Bruelheide, H., Chi, X., Hector, A., Ma, K., Michalski, S., Tang, Z.,
 Niklaus, P. a, 2013. Biodiversity promotes tree growth during succession in subtropical
 forest. PLoS One 8, e81246.
- Barsoum, N., 2015. Mixed provenance and mixed species trials aimed at informing the debate
 on how to prepare native tree species for climate change in England. Q. J. For. 109, 201–
 207.
- Barton, K.E., Valkama, E., Vehviläinen, H., Ruohomäki, K., Knight, T.M., Koricheva, J., 2015.
 Additive and non-additive effects of birch genotypic diversity on arthropod herbivory in a long-term field experiment.
- Bauhus, J., Forrester, D.I., Pretzsch, H., 2017. From observations to evidence about effects of
 mixed-species stands, in: Pretzsch, H., Forrester, D.I., Bauhus, J. (Eds.), Mixed-Species
 Forests Ecology and Management. Springer-Verlag, Heidelburg, Germany, pp. 27–71.
- 1174 Bell, T., Lilley, A.K., Hector, A., Schmid, B., King, L., Newman, J.A., 2009. A linear model 1175 method for biodiversity-ecosystem functioning experiments. Am. Nat. 174, 836–849.
- 1176 Bellvert, J., Marsal, J., Girona, J., Gonzalez-Dugo, V., Fereres, E., Ustin, S.L., Zarco-Tejada,

- 1177 P.J., 2016. Airborne thermal imagery to detect the seasonal evolution of crop water status 1178 in peach, nectarine and Saturn peach orchards. Remote Sens. 8, 1–17.
- Bellvert, J., Zarco-Tejada, P.J., Girona, J., Fereres, E., 2014. Mapping crop water stress index
 in a "Pinot-noir" vineyard: Comparing ground measurements with thermal remote sensing
 imagery from an unmanned aerial vehicle. Precis. Agric. 15, 361–376.
- Bernays, E.A., Bright, K.L., Gonzalez, N., Angel, J., 1994. Dietary mixing in a generalist
 herbivore: tests of two hypotheses. Ecology 75, 1997–2006.
- 1184 Bertness, M.D., Callaway, R., 1994. Positive interactions in communities. Trends Ecol. Evol. 9, 1185 191–193.
- Betts, M.G., Wolf, C., Ripple, W.J., Phalan, B., Millers, K.A., Duarte, A., Butchart, S.H.M., Levi,
 T., 2017. Global forest loss disproportionately erodes biodiversity in intact landscapes.
 Nature 547, 441–444.
- Binks, O., Meir, P., Rowland, L., da Costa, A.C.L., Vasconcelos, S.S., de Oliveira, A.A.R.,
 Ferreira, L., Christoffersen, B., Nardini, A., Mencuccini, M., 2016. Plasticity in leaf-level
 water relations of tropical rainforest trees in response to experimental drought. New Phytol.
 211, 477–488.
- Blackman, C.J., Aspinwall, M.J., Tissue, D.T., Rymer, P.D., 2017. Genetic adaptation and
 phenotypic plasticity contribute to greater leaf hydraulic tolerance in response to drought in
 warmer climates. Tree Physiol. doi:10.1093/treephys/tpx071
- Bommarco, R., Banks, J.E., 2003. Scale as modifier in vegetation diversity experiments: effects
 on herbivores and predators. Oikos 102, 440–448.
- Borer, E.T., Harpole, W.S., Adler, P.B., Lind, E.M., Orrock, J.L., Seabloom, E.W., Smith, M.D.,
 2014. Finding generality in ecology: A model for globally distributed experiments. Methods
 Ecol. Evol. 5, 65–73.
- Both, S., Fang, T., Böhnke, M., Bruelheide, H., Geißler, C., Kühn, P., Scholten, T., Trogisch, S.,
 Erfmeier, A., 2011. Lack of tree layer control on herb layer characteristics in a subtropical
 forest, China. J. Veg. Sci. 22, 1120–1131.
- Bremer, L.L., Farley, K.A., 2010. Does plantation forestry restore biodiversity or create green
 deserts? A synthesis of the effects of land-use transitions on plant species richness.
 Biodivers. Conserv. 19, 3893–3915.
- Brenner, C., Thiem, C.E., Wizemann, H.-D., Bernhardt, M., Schulz, K., 2017. Estimating
 spatially distributed turbulent heat fluxes from high-resolution thermal imagery acquired
 with a UAV system. Int. J. Remote Sens. 38, 1–24. doi:10.1080/01431161.2017.1280202
- Brezzi, M., Schmid, B., Niklaus, P.A., Schuldt, A., 2017. Tree diversity increases levels of
 herbivore damage in a subtropical forest canopy: Evidence for dietary mixing by
 arthropods? J. Plant Ecol. 10, 13–27.
- Broadbent, E.N., Zambrano, A.M.A., Asner, G.P., Field, C.B., Rosenheim, B.E., KennedyBowdoin, T., Knapp, D.E., Burke, D., Giardina, C., Cordell, S., 2014. Linking rainforest
 ecophysiology and microclimate through fusion of airborne LiDAR and hyperspectral
 imagery. Ecosphere 5, art57. doi:10.1890/ES13-00255.1
- Bruelheide, H., Nadrowski, K., Assmann, T., Bauhus, J., Both, S., Buscot, F., Chen, X.Y., Ding,
 B., Durka, W., Erfmeier, A., Gutknecht, J.L.M., Guo, D., Guo, L.D., Härdtle, W., He, J.S.,
 Klein, A.M., Kühn, P., Liang, Y., Liu, X., Michalski, S., Niklaus, P.A., Pei, K., SchererLorenzen, M., Scholten, T., Schuldt, A., Seidler, G., Trogisch, S., von Oheimb, G., Welk,
 E., Wirth, C., Wubet, T., Yang, X., Yu, M., Zhang, S., Zhou, H., Fischer, M., Ma, K.,
 Schmid, B., 2014. Designing forest biodiversity experiments: General considerations
 illustrated by a new large experiment in subtropical China. Methods Ecol. Evol. 5, 74–89.
- Bu, W., Schmid, B., Liu, X., Li, Y., Härdtle, W., Von Oheimb, G., Liang, Y., Sun, Z., Huang, Y.,
 Bruelheide, H., Ma, K., 2017. Interspecific and intraspecific variation in specific root length
 drives aboveground biodiversity effects in young experimental forest stands. J. Plant Ecol.
 10, 158–169.

- Bunker, D.E., DeClerck, F., Bradford, J.C., Colwell, R.K., Perfecto, I., Phillips, O.L., Sankaran,
 M., Naeem, S., 2005. Species loss and aboveground carbon storage in a tropical forest.
 Science 310, 1029–1031.
- 1231 Cadotte, M.W., 2013. Experimental evidence that evolutionarily diverse assemblages result in
 1232 higher productivity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 110, 8996–9000.
- 1233 Cadotte, M.W., Carscadden, K., Mirotchnick, N., 2011. Beyond species: functional diversity and 1234 the maintenance of ecological processes and services. J. Appl. Ecol. 48, 1079–1087.
- 1235 Cadotte, M.W., Cavender-Bares, J., Tilman, D., Oakley, T.H., 2009. Using phylogenetic,
 1236 functional and trait diversity to understand patterns of plant community productivity. PLoS
 1237 One 4, e5695. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0005695
- Campoe, O.C., Stape, J.L., Laclau, J.P., Marsden, C., Nouvellon, Y., 2012. Stand-level patterns
 of carbon fluxes and partitioning in a Eucalyptus grandis plantation across a gradient of
 productivity, in São Paulo State, Brazil. Tree Physiol. 32, 696–706.
- Campos-Navarrete, M.J., Munguía-Rosas, M.A., Abdala-Roberts, L., Quinto, J., Parra-Tabla, V.,
 2015. Effects of tree genotypic diversity and species diversity on the arthropod community
 associated with big-leaf mahogany. Biotropica 47, 579–587.
- Cardinale, B.J., Duffy, J.E., Gonzalez, A., Hooper, D.U., Perrings, C., Venail, P., Narwani, A.,
 Mace, G.M., Tilman, D., Wardle, D. a, Kinzig, A.P., Daily, G.C., Loreau, M., Grace, J.B.,
 Larigauderie, A., Srivastava, D.S., Naeem, S., 2012. Biodiversity loss and its impact on
 humanity. Nature 486, 59–67.
- Cardinale, B.J., Matulich, K.L., Hooper, D.U., Byrnes, J.E., Duffy, E., Gamfeldt, L., Balvanera,
 P., O'Connor, M.I., Gonzalez, A., 2011. The functional role of producer diversity in
 ecosystems. Am. J. Bot. 98, 572–592.
- Cardinale, B.J., Srivastava, D.S., Duffy, J.E., Wright, J.P., Downing, A.L., Sankaran, M.,
 Jouseau, C., 2006. Effects of biodiversity on the functioning of trophic groups and
 ecosystems. Nature 443, 989–992.
- Castagneyrol, B., Bonal, D., Damien, M., Jactel, H., Meredieu, C., Muiruri, E.W., Barbaro, L.,
 2017. Bottom-up and top-down effects of tree species diversity on leaf insect herbivory.
 Ecol. Evol. 7, 3520–3531.
- Castagneyrol, B., Giffard, B., Péré, C., Jactel, H., 2013a. Plant apparency, an overlooked driver
 of associational resistance to insect herbivory. J. Ecol. 101, 418–429.
- Castagneyrol, B., Jactel, H., Vacher, C., Brockerhoff, E.G., Koricheva, J., 2013b. Effects of plant
 phylogenetic diversity on herbivory depend on herbivore specialization. J. Appl. Ecol. 51,
 134–141.
- Castagneyrol, B., Régolini, M., Jactel, H., 2014. Tree species composition rather than diversity
 triggers associational resistance to the pine processionary moth. Basic Appl. Ecol. 15,
 516–523.
- Cavard, X., Macdonald, S.E., Bergeron, Y., Chen, H.Y.H., 2011. Importance of mixedwoods for
 biodiversity conservation: Evidence for understory plants, songbirds, soil fauna, and
 ectomycorrhizae in northern forests. Environ. Rev. 19, 142–161.
- Cavender-Bares, J., Gamon, J.A., Hobbie, S.E., Madritch, M.D., Meireles, J.E., Schweiger, A.K.,
 Townsend, P.A., 2017. Harnessing plant spectra to integrate the biodiversity sciences
 across biological and spatial scales. Am. J. Bot. 104, 966–969.
- 1271 Cavender-Bares, J., Meireles, J.E., Couture, J.J., Kaproth, M.A., Kingdon, C.C., Singh, A.,
 1272 Serbin, S.P., Center, A., Zuniga, E., Pilz, G., Townsend, P.A., 2016. Associations of leaf
 1273 spectra with genetic and phylogenetic variation in oaks: prospects for remote detection of
 1274 biodiversity. Remote Sens. 8, 1-17, doi:10.3390/rs8030221
- 1275 Chamagne, J., Tanadini, M., Frank, D.C., Matula, R., Paine, C.E.T., Philipson, C.D., Svatek, M.,
 1276 Turnbull, L.A., Volařík, D., Hector, A., 2016. Forest diversity promotes individual tree
 1277 growth in central European forest stands. J. Appl. Ecol. 54, 71–79.
- 1278 Civitello, D.J., Cohen, J., Fatima, H., Halstead, N.T., Liriano, J., Mcmahon, T.A., Ortega, C.N.,

- Sauer, E.L., Sehgal, T., Young, S., Rohr, J.R., 2015, Biodiversity inhibits parasites: Broad 1279 evidence for the dilution effect. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci U.S.A. 112, 8667-8671. 1280 1281 Coley, P.D., Bryant, J.P., Chapin, F.S., 1985. Resource availability and plant antiherbivore 1282 defense. Science 230, 895-899. 1283 Cook-Patton, S.C., LaForgia, M., Parker, J.D., 2014. Positive interactions between herbivores 1284 and plant diversity shape forest regeneration. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 281. 1285 doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.0261 1286 Cornelissen, T., Fernandes, G.W., Vasconcellos-Neto, J., 2008. Size does matter: Variation in 1287 herbivory between and within plants and the plant vigor hypothesis. Oikos 117, 1121–1130. 1288 Cotrozzi, L., Couture, J.J., Cavender-Bares, J., Kingdon, C.C., Fallon, B., Pilz, G., Pellegrini, E., 1289 Nali, C., Townsend, P.A., 2017, Using foliar spectral properties to assess the effects of 1290 drought on plant water potential. Tree Physiol. 1-10. doi:10.1093/treephys/tpx106 1291 Couture, J.J., Singh, A., Rubert-Nason, K.F., Serbin, S.P., Lindroth, R.L., Townsend, P.A., 1292 2016. Spectroscopic determination of ecologically relevant plant secondary metabolites. 1293 Methods Ecol. Evol. 7, 1402–1412. 1294 Cowles, J.M., Wragg, P.D., Wright, A.J., Powers, J.S., Tilman, D., 2016. Shifting grassland plant 1295 community structure drives positive interactive effects of warming and diversity on 1296 aboveground net primary productivity. Glob. Chang. Biol. 22, 741-749. Craine, J.M., Reich, P., Tilman, G.D., Fargione, D.E.J., Knops, J., Naeem, S., 2003. The role of 1297 1298 plant species in biomass production and response to elevated CO₂ and N. Ecol. Lett. 6, 1299 623-630. 1300 Craven, D., Isbell, F., Manning, P., Connolly, J., Bruelheide, H., Ebeling, A., Roscher, C., van 1301 Ruijven, J., Weigelt, A., Wilsey, B., Beierkuhnlein, C., de Luca, E., Griffin, J.N., Hautier, Y., Hector, A., Jentsch, A., Kreyling, J., Lanta, V., Loreau, M., Meyer, S.T., Mori, A.S., Naeem, 1302 S., Palmborg, C., Pollev, H.W., Reich, P.B., Schmid, B., Siebenkäs, A., Seabloom, E., 1303 Thakur, M.P., Tilman, D., Vogel, A., Eisenhauer, N., 2016. Plant diversity effects on 1304 1305 grassland productivity are robust to both nutrient enrichment and drought. Philos. Trans. R. 1306 Soc. Lond. B. Biol. Sci. 371. 1307 Crutsinger, G.M., Collins, M.D., Fordyce, J.A., Gompert, Z., Nice, C.C., Sanders, N.J., 2006. Plant genotypic diversity predicts community structure and governs an ecosystem process. 1308 1309 Science 313, 966–968. 1310 Damien, M., Jactel, H., Meredieu, C., Régolini, M., van Halder, I., Castagneyrol, B., 2016. Pest 1311 damage in mixed forests: Disentangling the effects of neighbor identity, host density and 1312 host apparency at different spatial scales. For. Ecol. Manage. 378, 103-110. Darwin, C., 1859. On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection, or the Preservation 1313 1314 of Favoured Races in the Struggle for Life. John Murray, London. del Río, M., Pretzsch, H., Ruíz-Peinado, R., Ampoorter, E., Annighöfer, P., Barbeito, I., Bielak, 1315 1316 K., Brazaitis, G., Coll, L., Drössler, L., Fabrika, M., Forrester, D.I., Heym, M., Hurt, V., 1317 Kurylyak, V., Löf, M., Lombardi, F., Madrickiene, E., Matović, B., Mohren, F., Motta, R., den Ouden, J., Pach, M., Ponette, Q., Schütze, G., Skrzyszewski, J., Sramek, V., Sterba, H., 1318 Stojanović, D., Svoboda, M., Zlatanov, T.M., Bravo-Oviedo, A., 2017. Species interactions 1319 1320 increase the temporal stability of community productivity in *Pinus sylvestris-Fagus* 1321 sylvatica mixtures across Europe. J. Ecol. 105, 1032-1043. Diaconu, D., Stangler, D.F., Kahle, H.P., Spiecker, H., 2016. Vessel plasticity of European 1322 beech in response to thinning and aspect. Tree Physiol. 36, 1260–1271. 1323 1324 Dillen, M., Verheyen, K., Smit, C., 2016. Identity rather than richness drives local neighbourhood 1325 species composition effects on oak sapling growth in a young forest. For. Ecol. Manage. 1326 380. 274–284. 1327 Domisch, T., Finér, L., Dawud, S.M., Vesterdal, L., Raulund-Rasmussen, K., 2015. Does 1328 species richness affect fine root biomass and production in young forest plantations?
- 1329 Oecologia 177, 581–594.

- Ebeling, A., Pompe, S., Baade, J., Eisenhauer, N., Hillebrand, H., Proulx, R., Roscher, C.,
 Schmid, B., Wirth, C., Weisser, W.W., 2014. A trait-based experimental approach to
 understand the mechanisms underlying biodiversity-ecosystem functioning relationships.
 Basic Appl. Ecol. 15, 229–240.
- Eichenberg, D., Purschke, O., Ristok, C., Wessjohann, L., Bruelheide, H., 2015. Trade-offs
 between physical and chemical carbon-based leaf defence: Of intraspecific variation and
 trait evolution. J. Ecol. 103, 1667–1679.
- 1337Eisenhauer, N., 2012. Aboveground-belowground interactions as a source of complementarity1338effects in biodiversity experiments. Plant Soil 351, 1–22. doi:10.1007/s11104-011-1027-0
- Eisenhauer, N., Barnes, A.D., Cesarz, S., Craven, D., Ferlian, O., Gottschall, F., Hines, J.,
 Sendek, A., Siebert, J., Thakur, M.P., Türke, M., 2016. Biodiversity–ecosystem function
 experiments reveal the mechanisms underlying the consequences of biodiversity change in
 real world ecosystems. J. Veg. Sci. 27, 1061–1070.
- Eisenhauer, N., Cesarz, S., Koller, R., Worm, K., Reich, P.B., 2012a. Global change
 belowground: Impacts of elevated CO₂, nitrogen, and summer drought on soil food webs
 and biodiversity. Glob. Chang. Biol. 18, 435–447.
- Eisenhauer, N., Reich, P.B., Scheu, S., 2012. Increasing plant diversity effects on productivity
 with time due to delayed soil biota effects on plants. Basic Appl. Ecol. 13, 571–578.
- Eisenhauer, N., Reich, P.É., Isbell, F., 2012b. Decomposer diversity and identity influence plant
 diversity effects on ecosystem functioning. Ecology 93, 2227–2240.
- 1350 Elton, C.S., 1958. The ecology of invasions by animals and plants. Methuen and Co., London.
- Epron, D., Bahn, M., Derrien, D., Lattanzi, F.A., Pumpanen, J., Gessler, A., Högberg, P.,
 Maillard, P., Dannoura, M., Gérant, D., Buchmann, N., 2012. Pulse-labelling trees to study
 carbon allocation dynamics: A review of methods, current knowledge and future prospects.
 Tree Physiol. 32, 776–798.
- Esquivel-Gomez, L., Abdala-Roberts, L., Pinkus-Rendon, M., Parra-Tabla, V., 2017. Effects of
 tree species diversity on a community of weaver spiders in a tropical forest plantation.
 Biotropica 49, 63–70.
- Feeny, P., 1976. Plant apparency and chemical defense, in: Wallace, J.W., Mansell, R.L. (eds.),
 Biochemical Interaction between Plants and Insects. Springer, New York, pp. 1–40.
- Féret, J.B., Asner, G.P., 2014. Mapping tropical forest canopy diversity using high-fidelity
 imaging spectroscopy. Ecol. Appl. 24, 1289–1296.
- Fernandez-Conradi, P., Jactel, H., Hampe, A., Leiva, M.J., Castagneyrol, B., 2017. The effect of
 tree genetic diversity on insect herbivory varies with insect abundance. Ecosphere 8.
 doi:10.1002/ecs2.1637
- 1365Finke, D.L., Denno, R.F., 2005. Predator diversity and the functioning of ecosystems: The role1366of intraguild predation in dampening trophic cascades. Ecol. Lett. 8, 1299–1306.
- Flynn, D.F.B., Mirotchnick, N., Jain, M., Palmer, M.I., Naeem, S., 2011. Functional and
 phylogenetic diversity as predictors of biodiversity ecosystem-function relationships.
 Ecology 92, 1573–81.
- Foley, W., McIlwee, A., Lawler, I., Aragones, L., Woolnough, A., Berding, N., 1998. Ecological
 applications of near infrared reflectance spectroscopy a tool for rapid, cost-effective
 prediction of the composition of plant and animal tissues and aspects of animal
 performance. Oecologia 116, 293–305.
- 1374 Foody, G.M., Cutler, M.E.J., 2003. Tree biodiversity in protected and logged Bornean tropical 1375 rain forests and its measurement by satellite remote sensing. J. Biogeogr. 30, 1053–1066.
- 1376 Forrester, D.I., 2014. The spatial and temporal dynamics of species interactions in mixed-1377 species forests: From pattern to process. For. Ecol. Manage. 312, 282–292.
- 1378Forrester, D.I., Bauhus, J., 2016. A review of processes behind diversity-productivity1379relationships in forests. Curr. For. Reports 17 pp. doi:10.1007/s40725-016-0031-2
- 1380 Forrester, D.I., Benneter, A., Bouriaud, O., Bauhus, J., 2017. Diversity and competition influence

- tree allometric relationships developing functions for mixed-species forests. J. Ecol. 105,
 761–774.
- Franklin, J.F., Spies, T.A., 1991. Composition, function, and structure of old-growth Douglas fir
 forests, General Technical Reports PNW.
- 1385 Fraser, L.H., Henry, H. Al, Carlyle, C.N., White, S.R., Beierkuhnlein, C., Cahill, J.F., Casper,
- B.B., Cleland, E., Collins, S.L., Dukes, J.S., Knapp, A.K., Lind, E., Long, R., Luo, Y., Reich,
 P.B., Smith, M.D., Sternberg, M., Turkington, R., 2013. Coordinated distributed
- 1388experiments: An emerging tool for testing global hypotheses in ecology and environmental1389science. Front. Ecol. Environ. 11, 147–155.
- Freschet, G.T., Swart, E.M., Cornelissen, J.H.C., 2015. Integrated plant phenotypic responses
 to contrasting above- and below-ground resources: Key roles of specific leaf area and root
 mass fraction. New Phytol. 206, 1247–1260.
- Germany, M.S., Bruelheide, H., Erfmeier, A., 2017. Limited tree richness effects on herb layer
 composition, richness and productivity in experimental forest stands. J. Plant Ecol. 10,
 190–200.
- Grass, I., Lehmann, K., Thies, C., Tscharntke, T., 2017. Insectivorous birds disrupt biological
 control of cereal aphids. Ecology 98, 1583–1590.
- Gravel, D., Bell, T., Barbera, C., Combe, M., Pommier, T., Mouquet, N., 2012. Phylogenetic
 constraints on ecosystem functioning. Nat. Commun. 3, 1117.
- Grayston, S.J., Vaughan, D., Jones, D., 1997. Rhizosphere carbon flow in trees, in comparison
 with annual plants: the importance of root exudation and its impact on microbial activity and
 nutrient availability. Appl. Soil Ecol. 5, 29–56.
- Grimm, V., Ayllón, D., Railsback, S.F., 2017. Next-generation individual-based models integrate
 biodiversity and ecosystems: Yes we can, and yes we must. Ecosystems 20, 229–236.
- Grossiord, C., Granier, A., Gessler, A., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Pollastrini, M., Bonal, D., 2013.
 Application of Loreau & amp; Hector's (2001) partitioning method to complex functional
 traits. Methods Ecol. Evol. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12090
- Grossman, J.J., Cavender-Bares, J., Hobbie, S.E., Reich, P.B., Montgomery, R.A., 2017.
 Species richness and traits predict overyielding in stem growth in an early-successional tree diversity experiment. Ecology 98, 2601-2614.
- Guyot, V., Castagneyro, B., Vialatte, A., Deconchat, M., Selvi, F., Bussotti, F., Jactel, H., 2015.
 Tree diversity limits the impact of an invasive forest pest. PLoS One 10, 1–16.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136469
- Haase, J., Castagneyrol, B., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Ghazoul, J., Kattge, J., Koricheva, J., SchererLorenzen, M., Morath, S., Jactel, H., 2015. Contrasting effects of tree diversity on young
 tree growth and resistance to insect herbivores across three biodiversity experiments.
 Oikos 124, 1674–1685.
- Haddad, N.M., Crutsinger, G.M., Gross, K., Haarstad, J., Knops, J.M.H., Tilman, D., 2009. Plant
 species loss decreases arthropod diversity and shifts trophic structure. Ecol. Lett. 12,
 1029–39.
- Hahn, P.G., Orrock, J.L., 2016. Neighbor palatability generates associational effects by altering
 herbivore foraging behavior. Ecology 97, 2103–2111.
- Hajek, P., Seidel, D., Leuschner, C., 2015. Mechanical abrasion, and not competition for light, is
 the dominant canopy interaction in a temperate mixed forest. For. Ecol. Manage. 348, 108–
 116.
- Hambäck, P.A., Englund, G., 2005. Patch area, population density and the scaling of migration
 rates: The resource concentration hypothesis revisited. Ecol. Lett. 8, 1057–1065.
- Hambäck, P.A., Inouye, B.D., Andersson, P., Underwood, N., 2014. Effects of plant
 neighborhoods on plant-herbivore interactions: Resource dilution and associational effects.
 Ecology 95, 1370–1383.
- 1431 Hanavan, R.P., Pontius, J., Hallett, R., 2015. A 10-year assessment of hemlock decline in the

- 1432 catskill mountain region of New York State using hyperspectral remote sensing techniques.1433 J. Econ. Entomol. 108, 339–349.
- Hantsch, L., Bien, S., Radatz, S., Braun, U., Auge, H., Bruelheide, H., 2014a. Tree diversity and
 the role of non-host neighbour tree species in reducing fungal pathogen infestation. J. Ecol.
 102, 1673–1687.
- Hantsch, L., Braun, U., Haase, J., Purschke, O., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Bruelheide, H., 2014b.
 No plant functional diversity effects on foliar fungal pathogens in experimental tree communities. Fungal Divers. 66, 139–151.
- Hantsch, L., Braun, U., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Bruelheide, H., 2013. Species richness and
 species identity effects on occurrence of foliar fungal pathogens in a tree diversity
 experiment. Ecosphere 4, 1-12, doi:10.1890/ES13-00103.1
- Hautier, Y., Tilman, D., Isbell, F., Seaboom, E.W., Borer, E.T., Reich, P.B., 2015. Anthropogenic
 environmental changes affect ecosystem stability via biodiversity. Science 348, 336–340.
- Hector, A., 1999. Plant diversity and productivity experiments in European grasslands. Science
 286, 1123–1127. doi:10.1126/science.286.5442.1123
- Hector, A., Bagchi, R., 2007. Biodiversity and ecosystem multifunctionality. Nature 448, 188–1448 190.
- Heijden, M.G.A. Van Der, Klironomos, J.N., Ursic, M., Moutoglis, P., Streitwolf-Engel, R., Boller,
 T., Wiemken, A., Sanders, I.R., 1999. "Sampling Effect", a problem in biodiversity
 manipulation? A reply to David A . Wardle. Oikos 87, 408–410.
- Hobbie, S.E., Reich, P.B., Oleksyn, J., Ogdahl, M., Zytkowiak, R., Hale, C., Karolewski, P.,
 2006. Tree species effects on decomposition and forest floor dynamics in a common
 garden. Ecology 87, 2288–2297.
- Hoeber, S., Fransson, P., Prieto-Ruiz, I., Manzoni, S., Weih, M., 2017. Two Salix genotypes
 differ in productivity and nitrogen economy when grown in monoculture and mixture. Front.
 Plant Sci. 8, 1–12. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00231
- Hoffmann, H., Nieto, H., Jensen, R., Guzinski, R., Zarco-Tejada, P., Friborg, T., 2016.
 Estimating evaporation with thermal UAV data and two-source energy balance models.
 Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 20, 697–713.
- Homolová, L., Malenovský, Z., Clevers, J.G.P.W., García-Santos, G., Schaepman, M.E., 2013.
 Review of optical-based remote sensing for plant trait mapping. Ecol. Complex. 15, 1–16.
 doi:10.1016/j.ecocom.2013.06.003
- Hooper, D., Chapin III, F.S., Ewel, J.J., Hector, A., Inchausti, P., Lavorel, S., Lawton, J.H.,
 Lodge, D.M., Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Schmid, B., Setala, H., Symstad, A.J., Vandermeer,
 J., Wardle, D.A., 2005. Effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning: a consensus of
 current knowledge. Ecol. Monogr. 75, 3–35.
- Hooper, D.U., Adair, E.C., Cardinale, B.J., Byrnes, J.E.K., Hungate, B. A, Matulich, K.L.,
 Gonzalez, A., Duffy, J.E., Gamfeldt, L., O'Connor, M.I., 2012. A global synthesis reveals
 biodiversity loss as a major driver of ecosystem change. Nature 486, 105–108.
- Hooper, D.U., Bignell, D.E., Brown, V.K., Brussaard, L., Dangerfield, J.M., Wall, D.H., Wardle,
 D. a, Coleman, D.C., Giller, K.E., Lavelle, P., Van Der Putten, W.H., De Ruiter, P.C.,
 Rusek, J., Silver, W.L., Tiedje, J.M., Wolters, V., 2000. Aboveground and belowground
 biodiversity in terrestrial ecosystems: Patterns, mechanisms, and feedbacks. Bioscience
 50, 1049–1061.
- Huston, M.A., 1997. Hidden treatments in ecological experiments: Re-evaluating the ecosystem
 function of biodiversity. Oecologia 110, 449–460.
- Isbell, F., Calcagno, V., Hector, A., Connolly, J., Harpole, W.S., Reich, P.B., Scherer-Lorenzen,
 M., Schmid, B., Tilman, D., van Ruijven, J., Weigelt, A., Wilsey, B.J., Zavaleta, E.S.,
 Loreau, M., 2011. High plant diversity is needed to maintain ecosystem services. Nature
 477, 199–202.
- 1482 Isbell, F., Craven, D., Connolly, J., Loreau, M., Schmid, B., Beierkuhnlein, C., Bezemer, T.M.,

- Bonin, C., Bruelheide, H., de Luca, E., Ebeling, A., Griffin, J.N., Guo, Q., Hautier, Y.,
- 1484 Hector, A., Jentsch, A., Kreyling, J., Lanta, V., Manning, P., Meyer, S.T., Mori, A.S.,
- Naeem, S., Niklaus, P.A., Polley, H.W., Reich, P.B., Roscher, C., Seabloom, E.W., Smith,
 M.D., Thakur, M.P., Tilman, D., Tracy, B.F., van der Putten, W.H., van Ruijven, J., Weigelt,
 A., Weisser, W.W., Wilsey, B., Eisenhauer, N., 2015. Biodiversity increases the resistance
 of ecosystem productivity to climate extremes. Nature 526, 574–577.
- Ishida, T.A., Nara, K., Hogetsu, T., 2007. Host effects on ectomycorrhizal fungal communities:
 Insight from eight host species in mixed conifer-broadleaf forests. New Phytol. 174, 430–
 440.
- Jactel, H., Bauhus, J., Boberg, J., Bonal, D., Castagneyrol, B., Gardiner, B., GonzalezOlabarria, J.R., Koricheva, J., Meurisse, N., Brockerhoff, E.G., 2017. Tree diversity drives forest stand resistance to natural disturbances. Curr. For. Reports 223–243.
- Jactel, H., Brockerhoff, E.G., 2007. Tree diversity reduces herbivory by forest insects. Ecol. Lett.
 10, 835–48.
- Jiang, Y., Wang, W., Xie, Q., Liu, N., Liu, L., Wang, D., Zhang, X., Yang, C., Chen, X., Tang, D.,
 Wang, E., 2017. Plants transfer lipids to sustain colonization by mutualistic mycorrhizal and
 parasitic fungi. Science 356, 1172–1175.
- Jones, C.G., Lawton, J.H., Shachak, M., 1994. Organisms as ecosystem engineers. Oikos 69,
 373–386.
- Jucker, T., Avăcăriței, D., Bărnoaiea, I., Duduman, G., Bouriaud, O., Coomes, D.A., 2016.
 Climate modulates the effects of tree diversity on forest productivity. J. Ecol. 104, 388–398.
- Kahmen, S., Poschlod, P., 2004. Plant functional trait responses to grassland succession over
 25 years. J. Veg. Sci. 15, 21–32.
- Kambach, S., Kühn, I., Castagneyrol, B., Bruelheide, H., 2016. The impact of tree diversity on
 different aspects of insect herbivory along a global temperature gradient A meta-analysis.
 PLoS One 11, 1–14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165815
- Kankare, V., Holopainen, M., Vastaranta, M., Puttonen, E., Yu, X., Hyyppä, J., Vaaja, M.,
 Hyyppä, H., Alho, P., 2013. Individual tree biomass estimation using terrestrial laser
 scanning. ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens. 75, 64–75.
- Kelly, J.W.G., Duursma, R.A., Atwell, B.J., Tissue, D.T., Medlyn, B.E., 2016. Drought × CO₂
 interactions in trees: A test of the low-intercellular CO₂ concentration (Ci) mechanism. New
 Phytol. 209, 1600–1612.
- 1515 Kim, T.N., Underwood, N., 2015. Plant neighorhood effects on herbivory: damage is both
 1516 density and frequency dependent. Ecology 96, 1431–1437.
- 1517 Kröber, W., Bruelheide, H., 2014. Transpiration and stomatal control: A cross-species study of
 1518 leaf traits in 39 evergreen and deciduous broadleaved subtropical tree species. Trees 1519 Struct. Funct. 28, 901–914.
- Kröber, W., Li, Y., Härdtle, W., Ma, K., Schmid, B., Schmidt, K., Scholten, T., Seidler, G., von
 Oheimb, G., Welk, E., Wirth, C., Bruelheide, H., 2015. Early subtropical forest growth is
 driven by community mean trait values and functional diversity rather than the abiotic
 environment. Ecol. Evol. 5, 3541–3556.
- 1524 Kunert, N., Schwendenmann, L., Potvin, C., Hölscher, D., 2012. Tree diversity enhances tree 1525 transpiration in a Panamanian forest plantation. J. Appl. Ecol. 49, 135–144.
- Lang'at, J.K.S., Kirui, B.K.Y., Skov, M.W., Kairo, J.G., Mencuccini, M., Huxham, M., 2013.
 Species mixing boosts root yield in mangrove trees. Oecologia 172, 271–278.
- Langley, J.A., Chapman, S.K., Hungate, B.A., 2006. Ectomycorrhizal colonization slows root
 decomposition: The post-mortem fungal legacy. Ecol. Lett. 9, 955–959.
- Lefcheck, J.S., Byrnes, J.E.K., Isbell, F., Gamfeldt, L., Griffin, J.N., Eisenhauer, N., Hensel,
 M.J.S., Hector, A., Cardinale, B.J., Duffy, J.E., 2015. Biodiversity enhances ecosystem
 multifunctionality across trophic levels and habitats. Nat. Commun. 6, 6936.
- 1533 Lei, P., Scherer-Iorenzen, M., Bauhus, J., 2012. Belowground facilitation and competition in

- 1534 young tree species mixtures. For. Ecol. Manage. 265, 191–200.
- Leles, B., Xiao, X., Pasion, B.O., Nakamura, A., Tomlinson, K.W., 2017. Does plant diversity
 increase top-down control of herbivorous insects in tropical forest? Oikos 1142–1149.
- Lempereur, M., Martin-Stpaul, N.K., Damesin, C., Joffre, R., Ourcival, J.M., Rocheteau, A.,
 Rambal, S., 2015. Growth duration is a better predictor of stem increment than carbon
 supply in a Mediterranean oak forest: Implications for assessing forest productivity under
 climate change. New Phytol. 207, 579–590.
- Liang, J., Crowther, T.W., Picard, N., Wiser, S., Zhou, M., Alberti, G., Schulze, E.-D., McGuire,
 A.D., Bozzato, F., Pretzsch, H., De-Miguel, S., Paquette, A., Hérault, B., Scherer-Lorenzen,
 M., Barrett, C.B., Glick, H.B., Hengeveld, G.M., Nabuurs, G.-J., Pfautsch, S., Viana, H.,
 Vibrans, A.C., Ammer, C., Schall, P., Verbyla, D., Tchebakova, N., Fischer, M., Watson, J.
 V., Chen, H.Y.H., Lei, X., Schelhaas, M.-J., Lu, H., Gianelle, D., Parfenova, E.I., Salas, C.,
- 1546 Lee, E., Lee, B., Kim, H.S., Bruelheide, H., Coomes, D.A., Piotto, D., Sunderland, T.,
- Schmid, B., Gourlet-Fleury, S., Sonké, B., Tavani, R., Zhu, J., Brandl, S., Vayreda, J.,
 Kitahara, F., Searle, E.B., Neldner, V.J., Ngugi, M.R., Baraloto, C., Frizze, L., Lewis, S.L.,
 Reich, P.B., 2016. Positive biodiversity-productivity relationship predominant in global
 forests. Science. 354, 196.
- Lindenmayer, D., Messier, C., Paquette, A., Hobbs, R.J., 2015. Managing tree plantations as
 novel socioecological systems: Australian and North American perspectives. Can. J. For.
 Res. 45, 1427–1433.
- Loreau, M., 2000. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: recent theoretical advances. Oikos
 91, 3–17.
- Loreau, M., Hector, A., 2001. Partitioning selection and complementarity in biodiversity
 experiments. Nature 412, 72–76.
- Lübbe, T., Schuldt, B., Coners, H., Leuschner, C., 2016a. Species diversity and identity effects
 on the water consumption of tree sapling assemblages under ample and limited water
 supply. Oikos 125, 86–97.
- Lübbe, T., Schuldt, B., Leuschner, C., 2016b. Acclimation of leaf water status and stem
 hydraulics to drought and tree neighbourhood: alternative strategies among the saplings of
 five temperate deciduous tree species. Tree Physiol. 37, 456–468.
- Ma, Q., Su, Y., Tao, S., Guo, Q., 2017. Quantifying individual tree growth and tree competition
 using bi-temporal airborne laser scanning data: A case study in the Sierra Nevada
 Mountains, California. Int. J. Digit. Earth 1–17. doi:10.1080/17538947.2017.1336578
- 1567 Maherali, H., Klironomos, J.N., 2007. Influence of phylogeny on fungal community assembly 1568 and ecosystem functioning. Science 316, 1746–8. doi:10.1126/science.1143082
- Malézieux, E., 2009. Mixing plant species in cropping systems: concepts, tools and models. A
 review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 29, 43–62.
- Massey, F.P., Massey, K., Press, M.C., Hartley, S.E., 2006. Neighbourhood composition
 determines growth, architecture and herbivory in tropical rain forest tree seedlings. J. Ecol.
 94, 646–655.
- McGill, B.J., Enquist, B.J., Weiher, E., Westoby, M., 2006. Rebuilding community ecology from
 functional traits. Trends Ecol. Evol. 21, 178–185.
- McKane, R.B., Johnson, L.C., Shaver, G.R., Nadelhoffer, K.J., Rastetter, E.B., Fry, B., Giblin,
 A.E., Kielland, K., Kwiatkowski, B.L., Laundre, J.A., Murray, G., 2002. Resource-based
 niches provide a basis for plant species diversity and dominance in arctic tundra. Nature
 415, 68–71.
- McLauchlan, K.K., Hobbie, S.E., Post, W.M., 2006. Conversion from agriculture to grassland
 builds soil organic matter on decadal timescales. Ecol. Appl. 16, 143–153.
- 1582 McNaughton, S.J., 1985. Ecology of a grazing ecosystem: The Serengeti. Ecol. Monogr. 55, 260–294.
- 1584 McNaughton, S.J., 1983. Compensatory plant growth as a response to herbivory. Oikos 40,

- 1585 329–336.
- 1586 McNaughton, S.J., 1977. Diversity and stability of ecological communities: A comment on the 1587 role of empiricism in ecology. Am. Nat. 111, 515–525.
- Meinzer, C.F., Andrade, L.J., Goldstein, G., Holbrook, M.N., Cavelier, J., Wright, J.S., 1999.
 Partitioning of soil water among canopy trees in a seasonally dry tropical forest. Oecologia
 121, 293–301.
- 1591 Messier, C., Parent, S., Bergeron, Y., 1998. Effects of overstory and understory vegetation on 1592 the understory light environment in mixed boreal forests. J. Veg. Sci. 9, 511.
- Metz, J., Seidel, D., Schall, P., Scheffer, D., Schulze, E.D., Ammer, C., 2013. Crown modeling
 by terrestrial laser scanning as an approach to assess the effect of aboveground intra- and
 interspecific competition on tree growth. For. Ecol. Manage. 310, 275–288.
- 1596 Meyer, S.T., Ebeling, A., Eisenhauer, N., Hertzog, L., Hillebrand, H., Milcu, A., Pompe, S., 1597 Abbas, M., Bessler, H., Buchmann, N., De Luca, E., Engels, C., Fischer, M., Gleixner, G., Hudewenz, A., Klein, A.M., De Kroon, H., Leimer, S., Loranger, H., Mommer, L., Oelmann, 1598 Y., Ravenek, J.M., Roscher, C., Rottstock, T., Scherber, C., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Scheu, 1599 S., Schmid, B., Schulze, E.D., Staudler, A., Strecker, T., Temperton, V., Tscharntke, T., 1600 Vogel, A., Voigt, W., Weigelt, A., Wilcke, W., Weisser, W.W., 2016. Effects of biodiversity 1601 1602 strengthen over time as ecosystem functioning declines at low and increases at high biodiversity. Ecosphere 7. doi:10.1002/ecs2.1619 1603
- 1604 Mikita, T., Janata, P., Surovỳ, P., 2016. Forest stand inventory based on combined aerial and 1605 terrestrial close-range photogrammetry. Forests 7, 1–14. doi:10.3390/f7080165
- Mikola, J., Heikki, S., 1998. Relating species diversity to ecosystem functioning: Mechanistic
 backgrounds and experimental approach with a decomposer food web. Oikos 83, 180–194.
- Mock, K.E., Rowe, C.A., Hooten, M.B., Dewoody, J., Hipkins, V.D., 2008. Clonal dynamics in
 western North American aspen (*Populus tremuloides*). Mol. Ecol. 17, 4827–4844.
- Molina, R., Horton, T.R., 2015. Mycorrhiza specificity: its role in the development and function of
 common mycelial networks, in: Horton, T.R. (ed.), Mycorrhizal Networks. Springer,
 Dordrecht, pp. 1–39.
- Moreira, X., Abdala-Roberts, L., Parra-Tabla, V., Mooney, K.A., 2014. Positive effects of plant
 genotypic and species diversity on anti-herbivore defenses in a tropical tree species. PLoS
- Moreira, X., Abdala-Roberts, L., Rasmann, S., Castagneyrol, B., Mooney, K.A., 2016. Plant
 diversity effects on insect herbivores and their natural enemies: Current thinking, recent
 findings, and future directions. Curr. Opin. Insect Sci. 14, 1–7.
 doi:10.1016/j.cois.2015.10.003
- Morin, X., Fahse, L., de Mazancourt, C., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Bugmann, H., 2014. Temporal
 stability in forest productivity increases with tree diversity due to asynchrony in species
 dynamics. Ecol. Lett. 17, 1526–1535.
- Morin, X., Fahse, L., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Bugmann, H., 2011. Tree species richness
 promotes productivity in temperate forests through strong complementarity between
 species. Ecol. Lett. 14, 1211–1219.
- Morris, M.H., Smith, M.E., Rizzo, D.M., Rejmánek, M., Bledsoe, C.S., 2008. Contrasting
 ectomycorrhizal fungal communities on the roots of co-occurring oaks (*Quercus* spp.) in a
 California woodland. New Phytol. 178, 167–176.
- Morris, R.J., 2010. Anthropogenic impacts on tropical forest biodiversity: a network structure
 and ecosystem functioning perspective. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 365, 3709–3718.
- Muiruri, E.W., Milligan, H.T., Morath, S., Koricheva, J., 2015. Moose browsing alters tree
 diversity effects on birch growth and insect herbivory. Funct. Ecol. 29, 724–735.
- Muiruri, E.W., Rainio, K., Koricheva, J., 2016. Do birds see the forest for the trees? Scale dependent effects of tree diversity on avian predation of artificial larvae. Oecologia 180,
 619–630.
- 1635 Muth, C.C., Bazzaz, F.A., 2002. Tree seedling canopy responses to conflicting photosensory

- 1636 cues. Oecologia 132, 197–204.
- 1637 Nadrowski, K., Wirth, C., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., 2010. Is forest diversity driving ecosystem
 1638 function and service? Curr. Opin. Environ. Sustain. 2, 75–79.
- 1639 Naeem, S., Duffy, J.E., Zavaleta, E., 2012. The functions of biological diversity in an age of 1640 extinction. Science 336, 1401–6.
- Naeem, S., Thompson, L.J., Lawler, S.P., Lawton, J.H., Woodfin, R.M., 1994. Declining
 biodiversity can alter the performance of ecosystems. Nature 368, 734–737.
- Newbold, T., Hudson, L.N.L.N., Hill, S.L.L., Contu, S., Lysenko, I., Senior, R.A., Borger, L.,
 Bennett, D.J., Choimes, A., Collen, B., Day, J., De Palma, A., Diaz, S., EcheverriaLondono, S., Edgar, M.J., Feldman, A., Garon, M., Harrison, M.L.K., Alhusseini, T., Ingram,
 D.J., Itescu, Y., Kattge, J., Kemp, V., Kirkpatrick, L., Kleyer, M., Correia, D.L.P., Martin,
 C.D., Meiri, S., Novosolov, M., Pan, Y., Phillips, H.R.P., Purves, D.W., Robinson, A.,
- Simpson, J., Tuck, S.L., Weiher, E., White, H.J., Ewers, R.M., Mace, G.M., Scharlemann,
 J.P.W., Purvis, A., 2015. Global effects of land use on local terrestrial biodiversity. Nature
 520, 45–50.
- Nguyen, N.H., Williams, L.J., Vincent, J.B., Stefanski, A., Cavender-Bares, J., Messier, C.,
 Paquette, A., Gravel, D., Reich, P.B., Kennedy, P.G., 2016. Ectomycorrhizal fungal
 diversity and saprotrophic fungal diversity are linked to different tree community attributes
 in a field-based tree experiment. Mol. Ecol. 25, 4032–4046.
- Nock, C.A., Baeten, L., Hector, A., Verheyen, K., Weisser, W.W., Scherer-lorenzen, M., 2017.
 Quantifying relationships between biodiversity and ecosystem function with experiments.
 in: A. Chabbi, H.W. Loescher (eds.), Terrestrial Ecosystem Research Infrastructures:
 Challenges and opportunities. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp. 119–136.
- Nölke, N., Fehrmann, L., Jaya, I.N.S., Tiryana, T., Seidel, D., Kleinn, C., 2015. On the geometry
 and allometry of big-buttressed trees A challenge for forest monitoring: New insights from
 3D-modeling with terrestrial laser scanning. IForest 8, 574–581.
- Norby, R.J., De Kauwe, M.G., Domingues, T.F., Duursma, R.A., Ellsworth, D.S., Goll, D.S.,
 Lapola, D.M., Luus, K.A., Mackenzie, A.R., Medlyn, B.E., Pavlick, R., Rammig, A., Smith,
 B., Thomas, R., Thonicke, K., Walker, A.P., Yang, X., Zaehle, S., 2016. Model-data
 synthesis for the next generation of forest free-air CO₂ enrichment (FACE) experiments.
 New Phytol. 209, 17–28.
- Nouvellon, Y., Laclau, J.P., Epron, D., Le Maire, G., Bonnefond, J.M., Gonalves, J.L.M.,
 Bouillet, J.P., 2012. Production and carbon allocation in monocultures and mixed-species
 plantations of Eucalyptus grandis and Acacia mangium in Brazil. Tree Physiol. 32, 680–
 695.
- Olivier, M.D., Robert, S., Fournier, R.A., 2016. Response of sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*, Marsh.) tree crown structure to competition in pure versus mixed stands. For. Ecol.
 Manage. 374, 20–32.
- Otway, S.J., Hector, A., Lawton, J.H., 2005. Resource dilution effects on specialist insect herbivores in a grassland biodiversity experiment. J. Anim. Ecol. 74, 234–240.
- Oxbrough, A., French, V., Irwin, S., Kelly, T.C., Smiddy, P., O'Halloran, J., 2012. Can mixed
 species stands enhance arthropod diversity in plantation forests? For. Ecol. Manage. 270,
 11–18.
- Paquette, A., Messier, C., 2011. The effect of biodiversity on tree productivity: from temperate to
 boreal forests. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 20, 170–180.
- Paquette, A., Vayreda, J., Coll, L., Messier, C., Retana, J., 2017. Climate change could negate
 positive tree diversity effects on forest productivity: A study ccross five climate types in
 Spain and Canada. Ecosystems 1–11. doi:10.1007/s10021-017-0196-y
- 1684 Parker, J.D., Salminen, J.-P., Ágrawal, A.A., 2010. Herbivory enhances positive effects of plant 1685 genotypic diversity. Ecol. Lett. 13, 553–63.
- 1686 Pedersen, R.Ø., Bollandsås, O.M., Gobakken, T., Næsset, E., 2012. Deriving individual tree

- 1687 competition indices from airborne laser scanning. For. Ecol. Manage. 280, 150–165.
- Peng, S., Schmid, B., Haase, J., Niklaus, P.A., 2017. Leaf area increases with species richness
 in young experimental stands of subtropical trees. J. Plant Ecol. 10, 128–135.
- Perring, M.P., Standish, R.J., Hulvey, K.B., Lach, L., Morald, T.K., Parsons, R., Didham, R.K.,
 Hobbs, R.J., 2012. The Ridgefield Multiple Ecosystem Services Experiment: Can
 restoration of former agricultural land achieve multiple outcomes? Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.
 163, 14–27.
- Plath, M., Dorn, S., Riedel, J., Barrios, H., Mody, K., 2012. Associational resistance and
 associational susceptibility: Specialist herbivores show contrasting responses to tree stand
 diversification. Oecologia 169, 477–487.
- Plath, M., Mody, K., Potvin, C., Dorn, S., 2011. Establishment of native tropical timber trees in monoculture and mixed-species plantations: Small-scale effects on tree performance and insect herbivory. For. Ecol. Manage. 261, 741–750.
- Pollastrini, M., Holland, V., Brüggemann, W., Koricheva, J., Jussila, I., Scherer-Lorenzen, M.,
 Berger, S., Bussotti, F., 2014. Interactions and competition processes among tree species
 in young experimental mixed forests, assessed with chlorophyll fluorescence and leaf
 morphology. Plant Biol. 16, 323–331.
- 1704 Pontius, J., Hallett, R., 2014. Monitoring of forest decline. For. Sci. 60, 1156–1163.
- Pontius, J., Hallett, R., Martin, M., 2005. Using AVIRIS to assess hemlock abundance and early
 decline in the Catskills, New York. Remote Sens. Environ. 97, 163–173.
- Poorter, H., Ryser, P., 2015. The limits to leaf and root plasticity: What is so special about
 specific root length? New Phytol. 206, 1188–1190.
- Potvin, C., Dutilleul, P., 2009. Neighborhood effects and size-asymmetric competition in a tree
 plantation varying in diversity. Ecology 90, 321–327.
- Potvin, C., Gotelli, N.J., 2008. Biodiversity enhances individual performance but does not affect survivorship in tropical trees. Ecol. Lett. 11, 217–223.
- Pretzsch, H., 2014. Canopy space filling and tree crown morphology in mixed-species stands
 compared with monocultures. For. Ecol. Manage. 327, 251–264. d
- 1715 Price, P.W., 1991. The plant vigor hypothesis and herbivore attack. Oikos 62, 244–251.
- 1716 Ratcliffe, S., Liebergesell, M., Ruiz-Benito, P., González, J.M., Castañeda, J.M.M., Kändler, G.,
 1717 Lehtonen, A., Dahlgren, J., Kattge, J., Peñuelas, J., Zavala, M.A., Wirth, C., 2016. Modes
 1718 of functional biodiversity control on tree productivity across the European continent. Glob.
 1719 Ecol. Biogeogr. 25, 251–262
- 1720 Read, D.J., Leake, J.R., Perez-Moreno, J., 2004. Mycorrhizal fungi as drivers of ecosystem 1721 processes in heathland and boreal forest biomes. Can. J. Bot. 82, 1243–1263.
- 1722 Régolini, M., Castagneyrol, B., Dulaurent-Mercadal, A.M., Piou, D., Samalens, J.C., Jactel, H.,
 1723 2014. Effect of host tree density and apparency on the probability of attack by the pine
 1724 processionary moth. For. Ecol. Manage. 334, 185–192.
- Reich, P.B., Knops, J., Tilman, D., Craine, J., Ellsworth, D., Tjoelker, M., Lee, T., Wedin, D.,
 Naeem, S., Bahauddin, D., Hendrey, G., Jose, S., Wrage, K., Goth, J., Bengston, W.,
 2001a. Plant diversity enhances ecosystem responses to elevated CO₂ and nitrogen
 deposition. Nature 411, 809–812.
- Reich, P.B., Oleksyn, J., Modrzynski, J., Mrozinski, P., Hobbie, S.E., Eissenstat, D.M.,
 Chorover, J., Chadwick, O.A., Hale, C.M., Tjoelker, M.G., 2005. Linking litter calcium,
 earthworms and soil properties: a common garden test with 14 tree species. Ecol. Lett. 8,
 811–818.
- 1733 Reich, P.B., Tilman, D., Craine, J., Ellsworth, D., Tjoelker, M.G., Knops, J., Wedin, D., Naeem,
 1734 S., Bahauddin, D., Goth, J., Bengtson, W., Lee, T.D., 2001b. Do species and functional
 1735 groups differ in acquisition and use of C, N and water under varying atmospheric CO₂ and
 1736 N availability regimes? A field test with 16 grassland species. New Phytol. 150, 435–448.
 1737 Deich, D.D., Tilman, D., Jahall, F., Musllan, K., Habbia, C.F., Flum, D.F.D., Flum, N.
- 1737 Reich, P.B., Tilman, D., Isbell, F., Mueller, K., Hobbie, S.E., Flynn, D.F.B., Eisenhauer, N.,

- 1738 2012. Impacts of biodiversity loss escalate through time as redundancy fades. Science1739 336, 589–92.
- Reich, P.B., Tilman, D., Naeem, S., Ellsworth, D.S., Knops, J., Craine, J., Wedin, D., Trost, J.,
 2004. Species and functional group diversity independently influence biomass
 accumulation and its response to CO₂ and N. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 101, 10101–
 10106.
- 1744 Reynolds, H.L., Packer, A., Bever, J.D., Clay, K., 2003. Grassroots ecology: Plant-microbe-soil 1745 interactions as drivers of plant community structure and dynamics. Ecology 84, 2281–2291.
- 1746 Riedel, J., Dorn, S., Plath, M., Potvin, C., Mody, K., 2013. Time matters: Temporally changing
 1747 effects of planting schemes and insecticide treatment on native timber tree performance on
 1748 former pasture. For. Ecol. Manage. 297, 49–56.
- 1749 Riihimäki, J., Kaitaniemi, P., Koricheva, J., Vehviläinen, H., 2005. Testing the enemies
 1750 hypothesis in forest stands: The important role of tree species composition. Oecologia 142, 90–97.
- Rocchini, D., 2007. Effects of spatial and spectral resolution in estimating ecosystem α-diversity
 by satellite imagery. Remote Sens. Environ. 111, 423–434.
- Rodríguez-Calcerrada, J., Li, M., López, R., Cano, F.J., Oleksyn, J., Atkin, O.K., Pita, P.,
 Aranda, I., Gil, L., 2017. Drought-induced shoot dieback starts with massive root xylem
 embolism and variable depletion of nonstructural carbohydrates in seedlings of two tree
 species. New Phytol. 213, 597–610.
- 1758 Root, R.B., 1973. Organization of a plant-arthropod association in simple and diverse habitats:
 1759 the fauna of collards (*Brassica oleracea*). Ecol. Monogr. 43, 95–124.
- Roslin, T., Hardwick, B., Novotny, V., Petry, W.K., Andrew, N.R., Asmus, A., Barrio, I.C.,
 Basset, Y., Boesing, A.L., Bonebrake, T.C., Cameron, E.K., Dáttilo, W., Donoso, D.A.,
 Drozd, P., Gray, C.L., Hik, D.S., Hill, S.J., Hopkins, T., Huang, S., Koane, B., Benita, L.-H.,
 Laukkanen, L., Lewis, O.T., Milne, S., Mwesige, I., Nakamura, A., Nell, C.S., Nichols, E.,
 Alena, P., Sam, K., Schimdt, N.M., Slade, A., Slade, V., Suchanková, A., Teder, T., van
 Nouhuys, S., Vandvik, V., Weissflog, A., Zhukovich, V., Slade, E.M., 2017. Higher
 predation risk for insect prey at low latitudes and elevations. Science 744, 742–744.
- Ruiz-Benito, P., Ratcliffe, S., Jump, A.S., Gómez-Aparicio, L., Madrigal-González, J., Wirth, C.,
 Kändler, G., Lehtonen, A., Dahlgren, J., Kattge, J., Zavala, M.A., 2017. Functional diversity
 underlies demographic responses to environmental variation in European forests. Glob.
 Ecol. Biogeogr. 26, 128–141.
- Sapijanskas, J., Paquette, A., Potvin, C., Kunert, N., Loreau, M., 2014. Tropical tree diversity
 enhances light capture through plastic architectural changes and spatial and temporal
 niche differences. Ecology 95, 2479–2492.
- Scherber, C., Eisenhauer, N., Weisser, W.W., Schmid, B., Voigt, W., Fischer, M., Schulze, E.D., Roscher, C., Weigelt, A., Allan, E., Beßler, H., Bonkowski, M., Buchmann, N., Buscot,
 F., Clement, L.W., Ebeling, A., Engels, C., Halle, S., Kertscher, I., Klein, A.-M., Koller, R.,
 König, S., Kowalski, E., Kummer, V., Kuu, A., Lange, M., Lauterbach, D., Middelhoff, C.,
- 1778 Migunova, V.D., Milcu, A., Müller, R., Partsch, S., Petermann, J.S., Renker, C., Rottstock,
- T., Sabais, A., Scheu, S., Schumacher, J., Temperton, V.M., Tscharntke, T., 2010. Bottomup effects of plant diversity on multitrophic interactions in a biodiversity experiment. Nature
 468, 553–556.
- Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schulze, E.-D., Don, A., Schumacher, J., Weller, E., 2007. Exploring the
 functional significance of forest diversity: A new long-term experiment with temperate tree
 species (BIOTREE). Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst. 9, 53–70.
- Schmitz, O.J., 2008. Herbivory from individuals to ecosystems. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 39, 133–152.
- 1787 Schneider, F.D., Morsdorf, F., Schmid, B., Petchey, O.L., Hueni, A., Schimel, D.S., 2017.
- 1788 Mapping functional diversity from remotely sensed morphological and physiological forest

- 1789 traits. Nat. Commun. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-01530-3
- Schoener, T.W., 1986. Mechanistic approaches to community ecology: A new reductionism.
 Integr. Comp. Biol. 26, 81–106.
- Schuldt, A., Baruffol, M., Böhnke, M., Bruelheide, H., Härdtle, W., Lang, A.C., Nadrowski, K.,
 von Oheimb, G., Voigt, W., Zhou, H., Assmann, T., Fridley, J., 2010. Tree diversity
 promotes insect herbivory in subtropical forests of south-east China. J. Ecol. 98, 917–926.

1795 Schuldt, A., Baruffol, M., Bruelheide, H., Chen, S., Chi, X., Wall, M., Assmann, T., 2014. Woody

- plant phylogenetic diversity mediates bottom-up control of arthropod biomass in species rich forests. Oecologia 176, 171–182.
- Schuldt, A., Both, S., Bruelheide, H., Härdtle, W., Schmid, B., Zhou, H., Assmann, T., 2011.
 Predator diversity and abundance provide little support for the enemies hypothesis in forests of high tree diversity. PLoS One 6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022905
- Schuldt, A., Bruelheide, H., Buscot, F., Assmann, T., Erfmeier, A., Klein, A.-M., Ma, K.,
 Scholten, T., Staab, M., Wirth, C., Zhang, J., Wubet, T., 2017. Belowground top-down and
 aboveground bottom-up effects structure multitrophic community relationships in a
 biodiverse forest. Sci. Rep. 7, 4222.
- Schuldt, A., Bruelheide, H., Härdtle, W., Assmann, T., Li, Y., Ma, K., von Oheimb, G., Zhang, J.,
 2015. Early positive effects of tree species richness on herbivory in a large-scale forest
 biodiversity experiment influence tree growth. J. Ecol. 103, 563–571.
- Schuldt, A., Fahrenholz, N., Brauns, M., Migge-Kleian, S., Platner, C., Schaefer, M., 2008.
 Communities of ground-living spiders in deciduous forests: Does tree species diversity
 matter? Biodivers. Conserv. 17, 1267–1284.
- Schuldt, A., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., 2014. Non-native tree species (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*)
 strongly decreases predator biomass and abundance in mixed-species plantations of a tree
 diversity experiment. For. Ecol. Manage. 327, 10–17.
- Schuldt, A., Staab, M., 2015. Tree species richness strengthens relationships between ants and
 the functional composition of spider assemblages in a highly diverse forest. Biotropica 47,
 339–346.
- Schuldt, B., Knutzen, F., Delzon, S., Jansen, S., Müller-Haubold, H., Burlett, R., Clough, Y.,
 Leuschner, C., 2016. How adaptable is the hydraulic system of European beech in the face
 of climate change-related precipitation reduction? New Phytol. 210, 443–458.
- 1820 Schulze, E.-D., Mooney, H., 1994. Biodiversity and ecosystem function. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Seabloom, E.W., Kinkel, L., Borer, E.T., Hautier, Y., Montgomery, R.A., Tilman, D., 2017. Food
 webs obscure the strength of plant diversity effects on primary productivity. Ecol. Lett. 20,
 505–512.
- Sedzielewska-Toro, K., Delaux, P.M., 2016. Mycorrhizal symbioses: Today and tomorrow. New
 Phytol. 209, 917–920.
- Seidel, D., Beyer, F., Hertel, D., Fleck, S., Leuschner, C., 2011a. 3D-laser scanning: A nondestructive method for studying above- ground biomass and growth of juvenile trees. Agric.
 For. Meteorol. 151, 1305–1311.
- Seidel, D., Fleck, S., Leuschner, C., Hammett, T., 2011b. Review of ground-based methods to
 measure the distribution of biomass in forest canopies. Ann. For. Sci. 68, 225–244.
- Seidel, D., Hoffmann, N., Ehbrecht, M., Juchheim, J., Ammer, C., 2015. How neighborhood
 affects tree diameter increment New insights from terrestrial laser scanning and some
 methodical considerations. For. Ecol. Manage. 336, 119–128.
- Seidel, D., Leuschner, C., Scherber, C., Beyer, F., Wommelsdorf, T., Cashman, M.J.,
 Fehrmann, L., 2013. The relationship between tree species richness, canopy space
 exploration and productivity in a temperate broad-leaf mixed forest. For. Ecol. Manage.
 310, 366–374.
- Seitz, S., Goebes, P., Song, Z., Bruelheide, H., Härdtle, W., Kühn, P., Li, Y., Scholten, T., 2016.
 Tree species and functional traits but not species richness affect interrill erosion processes

- in young subtropical forests. Soil 2, 49–61.
- Setiawan, N.N., Vanhellemont, M., Baeten, L., Dillen, M., Verheyen, K., 2014. The effects of
 local neighbourhood diversity on pest and disease damage of trees in a young
 experimental forest. For. Ecol. Manage. 334, 1–9.
- Setiawan, N.N., Vanhellemont, M., Baeten, L., Gobin, R., De Smedt, P., Proesmans, W.,
 Ampoorter, E., Verheyen, K., 2016. Does neighbourhood tree diversity affect the crown arthropod community in saplings? Biodivers. Conserv. 25, 169–185.
- Sloan, S., Sayer, J.A., 2015. Forest Resources Assessment of 2015 shows positive global
 trends but forest loss and degradation persist in poor tropical countries. For. Ecol. Manage.
 352, 134–145.
- Smith, F.A., Grace, E.J., Smith, S.E., 2009. More than a carbon economy: Nutrient trade and
 ecological sustainability in facultative arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses. New Phytol. 182,
 347–358.
- 1853 Smith, S.E., Read, D., 2008. Mycorrhizal Symbiosis, 3rd ed. ed. Academic Press, New York.
- Sobek, S., Scherber, C., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Tscharntke, T., 2009. Sapling herbivory,
 invertebrate herbivores and predators across a natural tree diversity gradient in Germany's
 largest connected deciduous forest. Oecologia 160, 279–88.
- Somers, B., Asner, G.P., 2014. International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and
 Geoinformation Tree species mapping in tropical forests using multi-temporal imaging
 spectroscopy : Wavelength adaptive spectral mixture analysis. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs.
 Geoinf. 31, 57–66.
- 1861 Spiecker, H., 2003. Silvicultural management in maintaining biodiversity and resistance of 1862 forests in Europe-boreal zone. J. Environ. Manage. 67, 47–54.
- Staab, M., Bruelheide, H., Durka, W., Michalski, S., Purschke, O., Zhu, C., Klein, A., 2016. Tree
 phylogenetic diversity promotes host parasitoid interactions. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.
 283, 1–9.
- Staab, M., Schuldt, A., Assmann, T., Klein, A.M., 2014. Tree diversity promotes predator but not
 omnivore ants in a subtropical Chinese forest. Ecol. Entomol. 39, 637–647.
- Strecker, T., Macé, O.G., Scheu, S., Eisenhauer, N., 2016. Functional composition of plant
 communities determines the spatial and temporal stability of soil microbial properties in a
 long-term plant diversity experiment. Oikos 125, 1743–1754.
- Sun, Z., Liu, X., Schmid, B., Bruelheide, H., Bu, W., Ma, K., 2017. Positive effects of tree
 species richness on fine-root production in a subtropical forest in SE-China. J. Plant Ecol.
 10, 146–157.
- Tedersoo, L., Jairus, T., Horton, B.M., Abarenkov, K., Suvi, T., Saar, I., Kõljalg, U., 2008. Strong
 host preference of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a Tasmanian wet sclerophyll forest as revealed
 by DNA barcoding and taxon-specific primers. New Phytol. 180, 479–490.
- Teste, F.P., Simard, S.W., Durall, D.M., Guy, R.D., Jones, M.D., Schoonmaker, A.L., 2009.
 Access to mycorrhizal networks and roots of trees: Importance for seedling survival and resource transfer. Ecology 90, 2808–2822.
- Teuscher, M., Gérard, A., Brose, U., Buchori, D., Clough, Y., Ehbrecht, M., Hölscher, D.,
 Irawan, B., Sundawati, L., Wollni, M., Kreft, H., 2016. Experimental biodiversity enrichment
 in oil-palm-dominated landscapes in Indonesia. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 1–15.
- Thakur, M.P., Milcu, A., Manning, P., Niklaus, P.A., Roscher, C., Power, S., Reich, P.B., Scheu,
 S., Tilman, D., Ai, F., Guo, H., Ji, R., Pierce, S., Ramirez, N.G., Richter, A.N., Steinauer,
 K., Strecker, T., Vogel, A., Eisenhauer, N., 2015. Plant diversity drives soil microbial
 biomass carbon in grasslands irrespective of global environmental change factors. Glob.
 Chang. Biol. 21, 4076–4085.
- 1888 Tilman, D., Dowling, J.A., 1994. Biodiversity and stability in grasslands. Nature 367, 363–365.
- Tilman, D., Isbell, F., Cowles, J.M., 2014. Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning. Annu. Rev.
 Ecol. Syst. 45, 471–493.

- Tilman, D., Knops, J., Wedin, D., Reich, P., Ritchie, M., Siemann, E., 1997a. The Influence of
 Functional Diversity and Composition on Ecosystem Processes. Science (80-.). 277,
 1300–1302.
- Tilman, D., Lehman, C.L., Thomson, K.T., 1997b. Plant diversity and ecosystem productivity:
 theoretical considerations. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 94, 1857–1861.
- Tilman, D., Reich, P.B., Isbell, F., 2012. Biodiversity impacts ecosystem productivity as much as
 resources, disturbance, or herbivory. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 109, 10394–10397.
- Tilman, D., Wedin, D., Knops, J.M.H., 1996. Productivity and sustainability influenced by
 biodiversity in grassland ecosystems. Nature 379, 718–720.
- Tobner, C.M., Paquette, A., Reich, P.B., Gravel, D., Messier, C., 2014. Advancing biodiversity ecosystem functioning science using high-density tree-based experiments over functional
 diversity gradients. Oecologia 174, 609–621.
- Tobner, C.M., Paquette, A.M., Gravel, D., Reich, P.B., Williams, L.J., Messier, C., 2016.
 Functional identity is the main driver of diversity effects in young tree communities. Ecol.
 Lett. 19, 638–647.
- Toïgo, M., Vallet, P., Perot, T., Bontemps, J.D., Piedallu, C., Courbaud, B., 2015. Overyielding
 in mixed forests decreases with site productivity. J. Ecol. 103, 502–512.
- 1908 Trenbath, B.R., 1974. Biomass productivity of mixtures. Adv. Agron. 26, 177–210.
- Treseder, K.K., 2013. The extent of mycorrhizal colonization of roots and its influence on plant
 growth and phosphorus content. Plant Soil 371, 1–13.
- Tylianakis, J.M., Didham, R.K., Bascompte, J., Wardle, D.A., 2008. Global change and species
 interactions in terrestrial ecosystems. Ecol. Lett. 11, 1351–1363.
- Tyrrell, L.E., Crow, T.R., 1994. Dynamics of dead wood in old-growth hemlock-hardwood forests
 of northern Wisconsin and northern Michigan. Can. J. For. Res. 24, 1672–1683.
- 1915 Underwood, N., Inouye, B.D., Hambäck, P.A., 2014. A conceptual framework for associational
 1916 effects: When do neighbors matter and how would we know? Q. Rev. Biol. 89, 1–19.
- 1917 Van de Peer, T., Verheyen, K., Baeten, L., Ponette, Q., Muys, B., 2016. Biodiversity as
 1918 insurance for sapling survival in experimental tree plantations. J. Appl. Ecol. 53, 1777–
 1919 1786.
- 1920 Van de Peer, T., Verheyen, K., Kint, V., Van Cleemput, E., Muys, B., 2017. Plasticity of tree
 architecture through interspecific and intraspecific competition in a young experimental
 plantation. For. Ecol. Manage. 385, 1–9.
- 1923 Van der Zande, D., Stuckens, J., Verstaeten, W.W., Mereu, S., Muys, B., Coppin, P., 2011. 3D
 1924 modeling of light interception in heterogeneous forest canopies using ground-based LiDAR
 1925 data. Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf. 13, 792–800.
- 1926 Vandermeer, J., 1981. An interference production principle : ecological theory for agriculture.
 1927 Bioscience 31, 361–364.
- Vehviläinen, H., Koricheva, J., 2006. Moose and vole browsing patterns in experimentally
 assembled pure and mixed forest stands. Ecography. 29, 497–506.
- Vehviläinen, H., Koricheva, J., Ruohomaki, K., 2007. Tree species diversity influences herbivore
 abundance and damage: meta-analysis of long-term forest experiments. Oecologia 152,
 287-298.
- Vehviläinen, H., Koricheva, J., Ruohomäki, K., 2008. Effects of stand tree species composition
 and diversity on abundance of predatory arthropods. Oikos 117, 935–943.
- Vehviläinen, H., Koricheva, J., Ruohomäki, K., Johansson, T., Valkonen, S., 2006. Effects of
 tree stand species composition on insect herbivory of silver birch in boreal forests. Basic
 Appl. Ecol. 7, 1–11.
- Verheyen, K., De Frenne, P., Baeten, L., Waller, D.M., Hédl, R., Perring, M.P., Blondeel, H.,
 Brunet, J., Chudomelová, M., Decocq, G., De Lombaerde, E., Depauw, L., Dirnböck, T.,
 Durak, T., Eriksson, O., Gilliam, F.S., Heinken, T., Heinrichs, S., Hermy, M., Jaroszewicz,
 B., Jenkins, M.A., Johnson, S.E., Kirby, K.J., Kopecký, M., Landuyt, D., Lenoir, J., Li, D.,

- 1942 Macek, M., Maes, S.L., Máliš, F., Mitchell, F.J.G., Naaf, T., Peterken, G., Petřík, P.,
- 1943 Reczyńska, K., Rogers, D.A., Schei, F.H., Schmidt, W., Standovár, T., Świerkosz, K.,
- 1944 Ujházy, K., Van Calster, H., Vellend, M., Vild, O., Woods, K., Wulf, M., Bernhardt-
- 1945Römermann, M., 2017. Combining biodiversity resurveys across regions to advance global1946change research. Bioscience 67, 73–83.
- Verheyen, K., Vanhellemont, M., Auge, H., Baeten, L., Baraloto, C., Barsoum, N., BilodeauGauthier, S., Bruelheide, H., Castagneyrol, B., Godbold, D., Haase, J., Hector, A., Jactel,
 H., Koricheva, J., Loreau, M., Mereu, S., Messier, C., Muys, B., Nolet, P., Paquette, A.,
 Parker, J., Perring, M., Ponette, Q., Potvin, C., Reich, P., Smith, A., Weih, M., SchererLorenzen, M., 2016. Contributions of a global network of tree diversity experiments to
 sustainable forest plantations. Ambio 45, 29–41.
- Violle, C., Enquist, B.J., McGill, B.J., Jiang, L., Albert, C.H., Hulshof, C., Jung, V., Messier, J.,
 2012. The return of the variance: intraspecific variability in community ecology. Trends
 Ecol. Evol. 27, 244–52.
- Violle, C., Navas, M.L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I., Garnier, E., 2007. Let
 the concept of trait be functional! Oikos 116, 882–892.
- Wallace, L., Lucieer, A., Malenovskỳ, Z., Turner, D., Vopěnka, P., 2016. Assessment of forest
 structure using two UAV techniques: A comparison of airborne laser scanning and
 structure from motion (SfM) point clouds. Forests 7, 1–16.
- Wallace, L., Lucieer, A., Watson, C., Turner, D., 2012. Development of a UAV-LiDAR system
 with application to forest inventory. Remote Sens. 4, 1519–1543.
- Wardle, D.A., Bonner, K., Nicholson, K.S., 1997. Biodiversity and plant litter: experimental
 evidence which does not support the view that enhanced species richness improves
 ecosystem function. Oikos 79, 247–258.
- Wardle, D.A., van der Putten, W.H., 2002. Biodiversity, ecosystem functioning and aboveground-below-ground linkages, in: Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Inchausti, P. (eds.), Biodiversity
 and ecosystem functioning: Synthesis and perspectives. Oxford University Press, Oxford,
 pp. 155–168.
- Wardle, D. a, Bardgett, R.D., Klironomos, J.N., Setälä, H., van der Putten, W.H., Wall, D.H.,
 2004. Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. Science 304,
- Wein, A., Bauhus, J., Bilodeau-Gauthier, S., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Nock, C., Staab, M., 2016.
 Tree species richness promotes invertebrate herbivory on congeneric native and exotic
 tree saplings in a young diversity experiment. PLoS One 11, 1–17.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168751
- Weisser, W.W., Roscher, C., Meyer, S., Ebeling, A., Luo, G., Allan, E., Beßler, H., Barnard, R., 1976 1977 Buchmann, N., Buscot, F., Engels, C., Fischer, C., Fischer, M., Gessler, A., Gleixner, G., 1978 Halle, S., Hildebrandt, A., Hillebrand, H., de Kroon, H., Lange, M., Leimer, S., Le Roux, X., 1979 Milcu, A., Mommer, L., Niklaus, P., Oelmann, Y., Proulx, R., Roy, J., Scherber, C., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Scheu, S., Tscharntke, T., Wachendorf, M., Wagg, C., Weigelt, A., Wilcke, 1980 W., Wirth, C., Schulze, E.-D., Schmid, B., Eisenhauer, N., 2017. Biodiversity effects on 1981 ecosystem functioning in a 15-year grassland experiment: patterns, mechanisms, and open 1982 1983 questions. Basic Appl. Ecol. 23, 1-73.
- Weremijewicz, J., Lobo, S., Sternberg, O.R., Janos, D.P., 2016. Common mycorrhizal networks
 amplify competition by preferential mineral nutrient allocation to large host plants. New
 Phytol. 212, 461–471.
- 1987 White, J.A., Whitham, T.G., 2000. Associational susceptibility of cottonwood to a box elder 1988 herbivore. Ecology 81, 1795–1803.
- 1989 White, T.C.R., 1984. The abundance of invertebrate herbivores in relation to the availability of nitrogen in stressed food plants. Oecologia 63, 90–105.
- Williams, L.J., Paquette, A., Cavender-Bares, J., Messier, C., Reich, P.B., 2017. Spatial
 complementarity in tree crowns explains overyielding in species mixtures. Nat. Ecol. Evol.

- 1993 1, 63. doi:10.1038/s41559-016-0063
- Wright, A.J., Wardle, D.A., Callaway, R., Gaxiola, A., 2017. The Overlooked Role of Facilitation
 in Biodiversity Experiments. Trends Ecol. Evol. 32, 383–390.
- 1996 Wurzburger, N., Hendrick, R.L., 2009. Plant litter chemistry and mycorrhizal roots promote a nitrogen feedback in a temperate forest. J. Ecol. 97, 528–536.
- Yachi, S., Loreau, M., 2007. Does complementary resource use enhance ecosystem
 functioning? A model of light competition in plant communities. Ecol. Lett. 10, 54–62.
- Yang, B., Li, Y., Bing, D., Both, S., Erfmeier, A., Härdtle, W., Ma, K., Schmid, B., Scholten, T.,
 Seidler, G., von Oheimb, G., Yang, X., Bruelheide, H., 2017. Impact of tree diversity and
 environmental conditions on the survival of shrub species in a forest biodiversity
 experiment in subtropical China, J. Plant Ecol. 10, 179–189.
- Yang, X., Bauhus, J., Both, S., Fang, T., Härdtle, W., Kröber, W., Ma, K., Nadrowski, K., Pei, K.,
 Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Scholten, T., Seidler, G., Schmid, B., von Oheimb, G., Bruelheide,
 H., 2013. Establishment success in a forest biodiversity and ecosystem functioning
 experiment in subtropical China (BEF-China). Eur. J. For. Res. 132, 593–606.
- Yeeles, P., Lach, L., Hobbs, R.J., Van Wees, M., Didham, R.K., 2017. Woody plant richness
 does not influence invertebrate community reassembly trajectories in a tree diversity
 experiment. Ecology 98, 500–511.
- Zarco-Tejada, P.J., González-Dugo, V., Berni, J.A.J., 2012. Fluorescence, temperature and
 narrow-band indices acquired from a UAV platform for water stress detection using a
 micro-hyperspectral imager and a thermal camera. Remote Sens. Environ. 117, 322–337.
- Zhang, J., Bruelheide, H., Chen, X., Eichenberg, D., Kröber, W., Xu, X., Xu, L., Schuldt, A.,
 2015 2017. Tree diversity promotes generalist herbivore community patterns in a young
 subtropical forest experiment. Oecologia 183, 455–467.
- Zhang, Y., Adams, J., 2011. Top-down control of herbivores varies with ecosystem types. J.
 Ecol. 99, 370–372.
- Zheng, C., Ji, B., Zhang, J., Zhang, F., Bever, J.D., 2015. Shading decreases plant carbon
 preferential allocation towards the most beneficial mycorrhizal mutualist. New Phytol. 205, 361–368.
- Zou, Y., Sang, W., Bai, F., Axmacher, J.C., 2013. Relationships between plant diversity and the
 abundance and α-diversity of predatory ground beetles (coleoptera: Carabidae) in a mature
 asian temperate forest ecosystem. PLoS One 8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0082792
- 2025 2026
- 2027

2027

Figures

Figure 1. The 25 experiments of TreeDivNet in the boreal (bo), temperate (te), Mediterranean (me), subtropical (st) and tropical (tr) regions of the world; see Table 1 for the characteristics of the experiments. Experiments in grey consist of sites in different countries. Experiments in bold are the experiments from which early results on tree growth and survival and damage are discussed in this paper.



Figure 2. Consequences of biodiversity (green) for tree mortality and growth and damage (from herbivores and pathogens). Relationships between biodiversity and each response (orange) can vary from underyielding/associational resistance to overyielding/associational susceptibility. Research reviewed here both documents the direction and strength of these responses and the underlying mechanisms (blue) that give rise to them.



Tables

Table 1. The 25 experiments of TreeDivNet are established in different ecoregions around the globe (Code, see Fig. 1) to investigate the relations between forest ecosystem functioning and tree diversity: species richness (SR), functional diversity (FD), genetic diversity (GD), phylogenetic diversity (PD), and evenness (EV). Different aspects of tree growth, survival, and damage are monitored. See www.treedivnet.ugent.be for more information on the experiments.

Cod		Diant Veen	no	no	Diversity	Species	Tree Growth &	Tree Damage ^d
е	Experimenta	Plant Year	Sites	Plots	Manipulation ^b	Pool	Survival ^c	
							Growth (AG)	Herbivory (Insects,
ho1	Satakunta	1000	4	163	SR CD PD	5	Mortality	Vertebrates)
501	Jalakunia	1999	7	105	SR, 60, FD			Pathogen Damage
								Natural Enemies
							Growth (AG, BG)	Herbivory (Insects)
							Mortality	Pathogen Damage
to1		2009, 2010,	Б	1192		20	Form	
IC I		2012, 2013	0	1152	SR, I D, F D		Phenology	
							Stress Tolerance	
							Yield Stability	
te2	SIDE	2012	1	182	SR, EV	14	Growth (AG)	Branch & Shoot Damage
te3	BiodiversiTREE	2013, 2014	2	139	SR, FD	16	Growth (AG)	Herbivory (Insects,

						Mortality	Vertebrates)
						Phenology	Pathogen Damage
						Resource use	
						Growth (AG)	Herbivory (Insects)
						Mortality	Pathogen Damage
	0000	1	256		-	Form	Natural Enemies
ORPHEE	2008	I	200	SR, FD	5	Stress Tolerance	Pest Resistance
						Phenology	
						Yield Stability	
Communitree	2009	1	90	GD	1	Growth (AG, BG ^e)	Herbivory (Insects)
						Growth (AG)	Herbivory (Insects)
Climate Match ^a	2011	2	177	SR, GD	4	Mortality	Pathogen Damage
						Phenology	
						Growth (AG, BG)	-
	0004	4	00		-	Mortality	
BangorDIVERSE	2004	1	92	SR, FD	/	Form	
						Resource Use	
						Growth (AG)	Herbivory (Insects)
FORBIO ^a	2010, 2012	3	127	SR, GD	10	Mortality	Crown Discolouration
						Form	Branch & Shoot Damage
	ORPHEE Communitree Climate Match ^a BangorDIVERSE FORBIO ^a	ORPHEE 2008 Communitree 2009 Climate Match ^a 2011 BangorDIVERSE 2004	ORPHEE20081Communitree20091Climate Matcha20112BangorDIVERSE20041FORBIOa2010, 20123	ORPHEE 2008 1 256 Communitree 2009 1 90 Climate Match ^a 2011 2 177 BangorDIVERSE 2004 1 92 FORBIO ^a 2010, 2012 3 127	ORPHEE 2008 1 256 SR, FD Communitree 2009 1 90 GD Climate Match ^a 2011 2 177 SR, GD BangorDIVERSE 2004 1 92 SR, FD FORBIO ^a 2010, 2012 3 127 SR, GD	ORPHEE 2008 1 256 SR, FD 5 Communitree 2009 1 90 GD 1 Climate Match ^a 2011 2 177 SR, GD 4 BangorDIVERSE 2004 1 92 SR, FD 7 FORBIO ^a 2010, 2012 3 127 SR, GD 10	Phenology Resource use and an arrival set of the set of

							Growth (AG) ^e	-
te9	TWIG	2017	1	22	SR, FD	4	Mortality	
							Form ^e	
							Growth (AG)	Herbivory (Insects)
to 10		2014	2	00		4	Resource Use	Pathogen Damage
leit	ECOLINK-Salix	2014	5	55	GD	I	Yield Stability	
							Wood Quality	
to11		2002 2004	Δ	117	SP ED EV	10	Growth (AG, BG)	Herbivory (Insects)
leii	IETT BIOTREE	2003, 2004	-	117	SR, FD, EV	19	Mortality	Pathogen Damage
							Growth (AG)	Herbivory (Insects)
te12	HighDiv-SRC	2015	1	45	SR	4	Yield Stability	Pathogen Damage
							Wood Quality	
to13		2015	1	80	SP ED	10	Growth (AG)	-
leio	WyDIV	2013	•	00		10	Mortality	
to14	Kreinitz	2005	1	98	SR ED	6	Growth (AG)	Herbivory (Insects)
1014		2000	•	00		0	Mortality	Pathogen Damage
							Growth (AG, BG)	-
te15	B-Tree	2013	1	44	SR, FD	4	Mortality	
							Resource Use	
me1	IDENT ^a	2014	1	308	SR, FD, PD	12	Growth (AG)	Defoliation

							Stress Tolerance	Discolouration
	Diductional	0010	1	104		0	Growth (AG)	-
me2	Ridgefield	2010	I	124	SK, FD	0	Mortality	
							Growth (AG, BG)	Herbivory (Insects)
							Form	Pathogen Damage
st1	BEF-China ^a	2009/2010	2	566	SR, GD, FD, PD	60	Mortality	Natural Enemies
							Resource Use	
							Yield Stability	
+=1		2011	1	74	SR, GD	6	Growth (AG)	Herbivory (Insects)
un c	UADT					0		Natural Enemies
		2008			SR	10	Growth (AG)	Shoot Damage
4.0	A sure Calud		1	267			Mortality	
tr2	Agua Salud		I	267			Form	
							Resource Use	
							Growth (AG)	Herbivory (Insects)
tr3	Sardinilla	2001/2003	2	32	SR, FD	26	Mortality	
							Resource Use	
44		0040	1	155		40	Growth (AG)	Herbivory (Insects)
tr4	BrazilDry	2016	I	100	SK	16	Mortality	
tr5	Gazi Bay	2004	1	32	SR	3	Growth (AG)	-

							Mortality	
							Growth (AG)	Herbivory (Insects)
+rC		2013	1	56	SR	C	Mortality	Pathogen Damage ^e
10	EFFOI15-BEE"		I	50		0	Form	
							Stress Tolerance	
+r7	Sababa	2010	1	12/	SP ED CD	16	Growth (AG)	-
u 7	Saban	2010	I	124	51, 10, 60	10	Mortality	

^a Extensive information on the design of the experiments can be found for BEF-China (Yang et al. 2013; Bruelheide et al. 2014; Schmid et al. 2017), BIOTREE (Scherer-Lorenzen et al. 2007), Climate Match (Barsoum 2015), EFForTS-BEE (Teuschner et al. 2016), FORBIO (Verheyen et al. 2013, 2016), IDENT (Tobner et al. 2014; Grossman et al. 2017), Ridgefield (Perring et al. 2012), and Sabah (Hector et al. 2011).

^b Extra treatments investigated: water availability (ORPHEE, IDENT – sites Macomer and Sault-Sainte-Marie); fertilization with nitrogen and phosphorus (IDENT – site Freiburg); nitrogen deposition and non-native weed cover (Ridgefield); liana removal (Sabah); no management vs. thinning (BIOTREE); addition of high-value tree species (BIOTREE); shrub species richness (2, 4, 8), herbivore exclusion, leaf foliar pathogen exclusion, phosphorus addition, and weeding (BEF-China)

^c Tree Performance is measured for the following categories: <u>Tree Growth Aboveground</u> ('AG'), e.g., height, diameter, biomass, leaf area index, crown cover, full terrestrial laser scan; <u>Tree Growth Belowground</u> ('BG'), e.g., fine-root biomass, fine-root length; Mortality; Tree Form, e.g., space occupation, branchiness, crown width; Phenology, e.g., timing bud burst; Resource Use, e.g., water use, nutrient use, plant-water relationships; <u>Wood Quality</u>; <u>Yield Stability</u>; <u>Stress Tolerance</u>, e.g., water stress, resistance and resilience to drought.

^d Tree Damage is investigated for the following topics: <u>Insect Herbivory</u> - may be studied separately for, e.g., leaf chewers, gallers, hole feeders, miners, rollers, suckers, tiers; <u>Vertebrate Herbivory</u> by, e.g., moose; <u>Pathogen Damage</u>, e.g., fungi; <u>Crown</u> <u>Discolouration</u>; <u>Branch & Shoot Damage</u> by, e.g., herbivores, management; <u>Natural Enemies</u> of herbivores that limit tree damage through biotic regulation, e.g., parasites or predators of insect herbivores.

^e Monitoring of the variable has not started yet in this recently planted experiment, but is planned for the near future.

Table 3. Su								
Code ^a	Experiment ^a	Diversity	Effect of	Diversity ^c	Aboveground/	Mechanistic Explanations ^d	Source	
		Manipulation	Survival	Growth	Belowground			
			NA	0	Aboveground	Abiotic Variables	Li et al. (2014)	
					NA	+	Aboveground	Trait Identity & Diversity Climate
			NA	+	Aboveground	Niche Partitioning Facilitation Trait Identity	Fichtner et al. (2017)	
			NA	+	Aboveground	Species Identity Selection	Peng et al. (2017)	
		SR	NA	+	Belowround	Trait Identity & Diversity Niche Partitioning Complementarity	Sun et al. (2017)	
st1	BEF-China		NA	+	Both	Niche Partitioning Complementarity	Bu et al. (2017)	
			NA	+	Aboveground	Trait Diversity Niche Partitioning Complementarity	Niklaus et al. (2017)	
			-	NA	NA	Methodological Issues	Yang et al. (2013)	
			0	NA	NA	Trait Identity Species Identity	Yang et al. (2017)	
			NA	+	Aboveground	Trait Diversity	Habn et al. (2017)	
		GD	NA	-	Aboveground	Temporal Scale		
te11			NA	0	Belowground	Species Identity Competition Nutrients	Lei et al. (2012a)	
	BIOTREE	SR	NA	0 / +	Belowground	Higher Turnover Faster Exploration	Lei et al. (2012b)	
			NA	+	Belowground	Traits	Haase et al. (2015)	
			NA	0	Belowground	Trait Identity	Domisch et al. (2015)	

tr6	EFForTS-BEE	SR	NA	+	Aboveground	Plant Density	Gerard et al. (2017)
			0	NA	NA	Complementarity	Van der Peer et al. (2016)
			NA	0	Aboveground	Species Identity Precipitation	Dillen et al. (2016)
te8	FORBIO	SR	NA	0 / +	Aboveground	Species Identity Phylogenetic Diversity	Setiawan et al. (2017)
			NA	0	Aboveground	Competition	Van der Peer et al. (2017)
			NA	0	Aboveground	Trait Identity	Kirui et al. (2008)
tr5	Gazi Bay	SR	NA	+	Aboveground	Species Identity Selection	Kirui et al. (2012)
			0	+	Aboveground	Trait Identity	SigiLan'at et al. (2013)
			NA	0	Belowground	Trait Identity Species Identity	Khlifa et al. (2016)
te1	IDENT	SB. FD. PD	NA	+	Aboveground	Trait Identity Trait Diversity Selection	Tobner et al. (2016)
	IDEINI	51,10,10	NA	+	Aboveground	Niche Partitioning Complementarity	Williams et al. (2017)
			NA	+	Aboveground	Trait Identity Trait Diversity Complementarity	Grossman et al. (2017)
te14	Kroinitz	SR	NA	+	Belowground	Density Effects	Haase et al. (2009)
1014	Kreinitz		NA	0	Aboveground	Density Effects	Haase et al. (2003)
tr7	Sabah	SR	0	0	Aboveground	Portfolio Effect Growth-Mortality Tradeoffs	Tuck et al. (2016)
			NA	0	Belowground	Portfolio Effect	Salisbury and Potvin (2015)
			0	+	Aboveground	Selection	Potvin and Gotelli (2008)
tr3	Sardinilla	SR, Composition	NA	+	Aboveground	Competition Neighbor Size & Architecture Complementarity	Potvin and Dutilleul (2009)
		Composition	0	0	Aboveground		Plath et al. (2011)
			0	+	Aboveground	Release from Herbivory	Riedel et al. (2013)
			NA	+	Aboveground	Competition Plant-Soil Feedbacks	Sapijanskas et al. (2013)
bo1	Satakunta	SR	NA	0	Aboveground	Exposure to Herbivory	Muiruri et al. (2015)
^a As in Table	e 1; ^b SR = Specie proposed by auti are bolded when	s Richness, FD = hors with strikethr authors invoked	functional divers ough indicating a as a potential cla	ity, PD = phyloge mechanism that ss of mechanism	enetic diversity; °p t was ruled out. C ns.	ositive (+), negative (-), and/or omplementarity or selection effe	null (0); ^d as either measured or ects (Hector and Loreau 2001)

Code ⁸	Exportmont ⁸	Diversity	Agent	Effect of	Specialization	Machanistic Evaluations ^d	Source		
Code	Experiment	Manipulation ^b	Agent	Diversity ^c	Specialization	Mechanistic Explanations	Source		
			Leaf Herbivores	+	Generalist	Diet Mixing Host Size	Schuldt et al. (2015)		
			Leaf Suckers	+	Specialist	Host Vigor	Staab et al. (2015)		
		SR	Leaf Herbivores	+	Generalist	Herbivore-Pathogen Facilitation			
st1	BEF-China		Leaf Pathogens	-	Specialist	Traits Species Distribution	Schuldt et al. (2017)		
			Leaf Removers	0		Dietary Mixing			
		GD	Leaf Removers	+	Generalist	Resource Concentration Traits	Hahn et al. (2017)		
		SR	Leaf Pathogens	-	Generalist	Host Dilution	Hantsch et al. (2013)		
			Leaf Pathogens	0	NA	Host Identity	Hantsch et al. (2014)		
			Leaf Tiers	+	Generalist				
te11	BIOTREE	ED	Leaf Suckers	-	Specialist	December Concentration			
		FD	Leaf Removers	0	Generalist	Resource Concentration Traits	Morath (2013)		
		-	Leaf Gallers	0	Specialist				
			Leaf Miners	0	Specialist				
		SR	Leaf Herbivores	+	Generalist	Apparency Diet Mixing	Castagneyrol et al. (2012)		
te5	Communitree		Leaf Miners	0	Specialist	Spillover			
		GD	Leaf Removers	-	Generalist	Diet Mixing Patch Dynamics	Fenandez-Conradi et al. (2017)		
tr6	EFForTS-BEE	SR	Leaf Herbivores	0	Generalist	Traits	Arns (2016)		
						0	Generalist	Host Dilution	Dillen et al. (2016)
te8	FORBIO	SR	Leaf Pathogens	-	Generalist	Natural Enemies Abiotic Factors	Dillen et al. (2017)		
te1	IDENT	SR	Leaf Removers	+	Generalist	Diet Mixing	Wein et al. (2016)		
			Leaf Removers	-	Specialist		Alcountiet al. (2014)		
			Leaf Hole Feeders	-	Specialist		Alabuni et al. (2014)		
te14	Kreinitz	SR	Leaf Pathogens	-	Specialist	Resource Concentration	Hantsch et al. (2014)		
			Soil Pathogens	-	Specialist	Traits Natural Enemies	Wurst et al. (2015)		
			Leaf Miners	-	Specialist	Resource Concentration	C		
			Leaf Removers	-	Genearlist	Apparency	Castagneyrol et al. (2013)		
te4	ORPHEE	SR		0	Specialist	Apparency	Castagneyrol et al. (2014)		
			Needle Parasites	+	Specialist	Resource Concentration Apparency	Damien et al. (2016)		
				+	Specialist	Resource Concentration			
tr3 Sardii	Sardinilla	SB	Loaf Removere	-	Specialist	Diet Mixing Patch Dynamics	Plath et al. (2012)		
		on Lear Removers	-	Generalist	Resource Concentration Diet Mixing Natural Enemies	Plath et al. (2011)			

			Leaf Rollers	+	Specialist					
			Leaf Miners	0	Specialist					
		GD	Leaf Gallers	-	Specialist		Barton et al. (2015)			
		ab	Leaf Tiers	0	Specialist					
			Leaf Chewers	-	Generalist					
			Leaf Miners	-	Specialist	Host Identity	Morath (2013)			
			Needle Parasites	-	Specialist	Natural Enemies	Kaitaniemi et al. (2007)			
			Vertebrate Herbivores	+	Generalist	Resource Concentration	Milligan and Koricheva (2013)			
			Leaf Removers	-/+	Specialist	Resource Concentration				
			Leaf Suckers	-	Specialist	Resource Concentration				
			Leaf Gallers	-	Specialist	Resource Concentration	Morath (2013)			
			Leaf Rollers	+	Specialist	Resource Concentration				
bo1	Satakunta		Leaf Miners	0 / +	Specialist	Apparency				
			Leaf Herbivores	-/+	Generalist	Other Biotic Factors				
		SR	Vertebrate Herbivores	0	Generalist	Host Vigor Abiotic Factors	Muiruri et al. (2015)			
			Leaf Gallers	-	Specialist	Host Vigor Host Size Abiotic Factors	Muiruri and Koricheva (2016)			
			Leaf Miners	-	Specialist					
				Leaf Herbivores	-	NA		Vehvilainen et al. (2007)		
						Leaf Herbivores	+	NA		ventrialien et al. (2007)
						Leaf Herbivores	+	NA		
			Vertebrate Herbivores	-	Specialist	Host Concentration	Vahuilainen and Karishaus (2000)			
			Vertebrate Herbivores	+	Generalist	riosi concentration	venvirainen and koncheva (2006)			
			Leaf Removers	-/+	Specialist	Diet Mixing	Abdala-Roberts et al. (2015)			
tr1	UADY	SR, GD	Leaf Removers	0	Generalist	Natural Enemies				
			Leaf Miners	0	Specialist	Natural Enemies	Abdala-Roberts et al. (2016)			
			Leaf Herbivores	0	NA	Traits	Moreira et al. (2014)			
bo1, te11, te14	Multiple Site	GD	Leaf Pathogens	-	NA	Resource Concentration	Hantsch et al. (2013)			
bo1, te4, te11, te14, tr3	Multiple Site	SR	Leaf Herbivores	0	NA	Abiotic Factors	Kambach et al. (2016)			
bo1, te4, te11	Multiple Site	SR	Leaf Removers	+	Generalist	Resource Concentration Traits	Haase et al. (2015)			
^a As in Table	1; ^b SR = Specie	s Richness, GD) = genetic diversity, FD = fu	inctional diversit	y; °positive (+), ne	egative (-), and/or null (0); das	either measured or proposed by			
	authors with stril	kethrough indica	ating a mechanism that was	ruled out.						

Appendices

Appendix 1. Compilation of all empirical papers published and graduate theses completed using data from TreeDivNet experiments as of mid-summer 2017. Papers presenting particular experiments or detailing theoretical concerns are not listed here. Updates to this list are available at <u>www.treedivnet.ugent.be</u>.

- Abdala-Roberts, L., Gonzalez-Moreno, A., Mooney, K.A., Moreira, X., González-Hernández, A., Parra-Tabla, V., 2015a. Effects of tree species diversity and genotypic diversity on leafminers and parasitoids in a tropical forest plantation. Agric. For. Entomol. 43–51. doi:10.1111/afe.12132
- Abdala-Roberts, L., Mooney, K.A., Quijano-Medina, T., Campos-Navarrete, M.J., González-Moreno, L., Parra-Tabla, V., 2015b. Comparison of tree genotypic diversity and species diversity effects on different guilds of insect herbivores. Oikos 124, 1527–1535. doi:10.1111/oik.02033
- Abdala-Roberts, L., Moreira, X., Cervera, J.C., Parra-Tabla, V., 2014. Light Availability Influences Growth-Defense Trade-Offs in Big-Leaf Mahogany (Swietenia macrophylla King). Biotropica 46, 591–597. doi:10.1111/btp.12133
- Alalouni, U., Brandl, R., Auge, H., Schädler, M., 2014. Does insect herbivory on oak depend on the diversity of tree stands? Basic Appl. Ecol. 15, 685–692. doi:10.1016/j.baae.2014.08.013
- Ampoorter, E., Baeten, L., Koricheva, J., Vanhellemont, M., Verheyen, K., 2014. Do diverse overstoreys induce diverse understoreys? Lessons learnt from an experimentalobservational platform in Finland. For. Ecol. Manage. 318, 206–215. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2014.01.030
- Ampoorter, E., Baeten, L., Vanhellemont, M., Bruelheide, H., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Baasch, A., Erfmeier, A., Hock, M., Verheyen, K., 2015. Disentangling tree species identity and richness effects on the herb layer: First results from a German tree diversity experiment. J. Veg. Sci. 26, 742–755. doi:10.1111/jvs.12281
- Barton, K.E., Valkama, E., Vehviläinen, H., Ruohomäki, K., Knight, T.M., Koricheva, J., 2015. Additive and non-additive effects of birch genotypic diversity on arthropod herbivory in a long-term field experiment. Oikos 124, 697–706. doi:10.1111/oik.01663
- Bu, W., Schmid, B., Liu, X., Li, Y., Hrdtle, W., Von Oheimb, G., Liang, Y., Sun, Z., Huang, Y., Bruelheide, H., Ma, K., 2017. Interspecific and intraspecific variation in specific root length drives aboveground biodiversity effects in young experimental forest stands. J. Plant Ecol. 10, 158–169. doi:10.1093/jpe/rtw096
- Campos-navarrete, M.J., Abdala-roberts, L., Munguía-rosas, M. a, 2015. Are Tree Species Diversity and Genotypic Diversity Effects on Insect Herbivores Mediated by Ants ? PLoS One 1–17. doi:10.5061/dryad.4m897
- Campos-Navarrete, M.J., Munguía-Rosas, M.A., Abdala-Roberts, L., Quinto, J., Parra-Tabla, V., 2015. Effects of Tree Genotypic Diversity and Species Diversity on the Arthropod Community Associated with Big-leaf Mahogany. Biotropica 47, 579–587. doi:10.1111/btp.12250
- Castagneyrol, B., Giffard, B., Péré, C., Jactel, H., 2013. Plant apparency, an overlooked driver of associational resistance to insect herbivory. J. Ecol. 101, 418–429. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12055
- Castagneyrol, B., Lagache, L., Giffard, B., Kremer, A., Jactel, H., 2012. Genetic Diversity Increases Insect Herbivory on Oak Saplings. PLoS One 7. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0044247

- Castagneyrol, B., Régolini, M., Jactel, H., 2014. Tree species composition rather than diversity triggers associational resistance to the pine processionary moth. Basic Appl. Ecol. 15, 516–523. doi:10.1016/j.baae.2014.06.008
- Damien, M., Jactel, H., Meredieu, C., Régolini, M., van Halder, I., Castagneyrol, B., 2016. Pest damage in mixed forests: Disentangling the effects of neighbor identity, host density and host apparency at different spatial scales. For. Ecol. Manage. 378, 103–110. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2016.07.025
- Delagrange, S., Potvin, C., Messier, C., Coll, L., 2008. Linking multiple-level tree traits with biomass accumulation in native tree species used for reforestation in Panama. Trees Struct. Funct. 22, 337–349. doi:10.1007/s00468-007-0189-0
- Dillen, M., Smit, C., Buyse, M., Höfte, M., De Clercq, P., Verheyen, K., 2017a. Stronger diversity effects with increased environmental stress: A study of multitrophic interactions between oak, powdery mildew and ladybirds. PLoS One 12, 1–16. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0176104
- Dillen, M., Smit, C., Verheyen, K., 2017b. How does neighbourhood tree species composition affect growth characteristics of oak saplings? For. Ecol. Manage. 401, 177–186. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2017.07.016
- Dillen, M., Vanhellemont, M., Verdonckt, P., Maes, W.H., Steppe, K., Verheyen, K., 2016a. Productivity, stand dynamics and the selection effect in a mixed willow clone short rotation coppice plantation. Biomass and Bioenergy 87, 46–54. doi:10.1016/j.biombioe.2016.02.013
- Dillen, M., Verheyen, K., Smit, C., 2016b. Identity rather than richness drives local neighbourhood species composition effects on oak sapling growth in a young forest. For. Ecol. Manage. 380, 274–284. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2016.09.004
- Domisch, T., Finér, L., Dawud, S.M., Vesterdal, L., Raulund-Rasmussen, K., 2015. Does species richness affect fine root biomass and production in young forest plantations? Oecologia 177, 581–594. doi:10.1007/s00442-014-3107-3
- Don, A., 2007. Carbon dynamics of young experimental afforestations in Thuringia. University of Tübingen.
- Don, A., Rebmann, C., Kolle, O., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schulze, E.D., 2009. Impact of afforestation-associated management changes on the carbon balance of grassland. Glob. Chang. Biol. 15, 1990–2002. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01873.x
- Eichenberg, D., Pietsch, K., Meister, C., Ding, W., Yu, M., Wirth, C., 2017. The effect of microclimate on wood decay is indirectly altered by tree species diversity in a litterbag study. J. Plant Ecol. 10, 170–178. doi:10.1093/jpe/rtw116
- Esquivel-Gomez, L., Abdala-Roberts, L., Pinkus-Rendon, M., Parra-Tabla, V., 2017. Effects of tree species diversity on a community of weaver spiders in a tropical forest plantation. Biotropica 49, 63–70.
- Fernandez-Conradi, P., Jactel, H., Hampe, A., Leiva, M.J., Castagneyrol, B., 2017. The effect of tree genetic diversity on insect herbivory varies with insect abundance. Ecosphere 8. doi:10.1002/ecs2.1637
- Fichtner, A., Härdtle, W., Li, Y., Bruelheide, H., Kunz, M., von Oheimb, G., 2017. From competition to facilitation: how tree species respond to neighbourhood diversity. Ecol. Lett. 20, 892–900. doi:10.1111/ele.12786
- Garbe, C.M., 2013. Tree functional traits; understanding their variation from intraspecific plasticity to the effects of trait diversity on ecosystem functioning. University of Quebec, Montreal.
- Gérard, A., Wollni, M., Hölscher, D., Irawan, B., Sundawati, L., Teuscher, M., Kreft, H., 2017. Oil-palm yields in diversified plantations: Initial results from a biodiversity enrichment experiment in Sumatra, Indonesia. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 240, 253–260. doi:10.1016/j.agee.2017.02.026

- Germany, M.S., Bruelheide, H., Erfmeier, A., 2017. Limited tree richness effects on herb layer composition, richness and productivity in experimental forest stands. J. Plant Ecol. 10, 190–200. doi:10.1093/jpe/rtw109
- Goebes, P., 2015. Mechanisms of Soil Erosion in Subtropical Forests of China Effects of Biodiversity, Species identity, Tree architecture and Spatial variability on Erosivity. University of Tubingen.
- Goebes, P., Schmidt, K., Härdtle, W., Seitz, S., Stumpf, F., von Oheimb, G., Scholten, T., 2016. Rule-based analysis of throughfall kinetic energy to evaluate biotic and abiotic factor thresholds to mitigate erosive power. Prog. Phys. Geogr. doi:10.1177/0309133315624642
- Goebes, P., Seitz, S., Kühn, P., Li, Y., Niklaus, P.A., Oheimb, G. von, Scholten, T., 2015. Throughfall kinetic energy in young subtropical forests: Investigation on tree species richness effects and spatial variability. Agric. For. Meteorol. 213, 148–159. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.06.019
- Grossiord, C., Gessler, A., Granier, A., Berger, S., Bréchet, C., Hentschel, R., Hommel, R., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Bonal, D., 2014. Impact of interspecific interactions on the soil water uptake depth in a young temperate mixed species plantation. J. Hydrol. 519, 3511–3519. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.11.011
- Grossiord, C., Granier, A., Gessler, A., Pollastrini, M., Bonal, D., 2013a. The influence of tree species mixture on ecosystem-level carbon accumulation and water use in a mixed boreal plantation. For. Ecol. Manage. 298, 82–92. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.03.001
- Grossiord, C., Granier, A., Gessler, A., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Pollastrini, M., Bonal, D., 2013b. Application of Loreau & Hector's (2001) partitioning method to complex functional traits. Methods Ecol. Evol. 4, 954–960. doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12090
- Grossman, J.J., Cavender-Bares, J., Hobbie, S.E., Reich, P.B., Montgomery, R.A., 2017. Species richness and traits predict overyielding in stem growth in an early-successional tree diversity experiment. Ecology 98, 2601-2614. doi:DOI: 10.1002/ecy.1958
- Haase, J., Castagneyrol, B., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Ghazoul, J., Kattge, J., Koricheva, J., Schererlorenzen, M., Morath, S., Jactel, H., 2015. Contrasting effects of tree diversity on young tree growth and resistance to insect herbivores across three biodiversity experiments. Oikos 124, 1674–1685. doi:10.1111/oik.02090
- Haase, J.U., 2009. Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: The effects of tree and litter diversity 135.
- Hahn, C.Z., Niklaus, P.A., Bruelheide, H., Michalski, S.G., Shi, M., Yang, X., Zeng, X., Fischer, M., Durka, W., 2017. Opposing intraspecific vs. interspecific diversity effects on herbivory and growth in subtropical experimental tree assemblages. J. Plant Ecol. 10, 244–251. doi:10.1093/jpe/rtw098
- Hantsch, L., 2013. Tree diversity effects on species richness and infestation of foliar fungal pathogens in European tree diversity experiments. Martin Luther Unviersity, Halle-Wittenberg. doi:doi: 10.1890/es13-00103.1
- Hantsch, L., Bien, S., Radatz, S., Braun, U., Auge, H., Bruelheide, H., 2014a. Tree diversity and the role of non-host neighbour tree species in reducing fungal pathogen infestation. J. Ecol. 102, 1673–1687. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12317
- Hantsch, L., Braun, U., Haase, J., Purschke, O., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Bruelheide, H., 2014b. No plant functional diversity effects on foliar fungal pathogens in experimental tree communities. Fungal Divers. 66, 139–151. doi:10.1007/s13225-013-0273-2
- Hantsch, L., Braun, U., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Bruelheide, H., 2013. Species richness and species identity effects on occurrence of foliar fungal pathogens in a tree diversity experiment. Ecosphere 4, 12 pp. doi:10.1890/ES13-00103.1

- Hoeber, S., Fransson, P., Prieto-Ruiz, I., Manzoni, S., Weih, M., 2017. Two Salix Genotypes Differ in Productivity and Nitrogen Economy When Grown in Monoculture and Mixture. Front. Plant Sci. 8, 1–12. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.00231
- Jewell, M.D., Shipley, B., Low-Décarie, E., Tobner, C.M., Paquette, A., Messier, C., Reich, P.B., 2016. Partitioning the effect of composition and diversity of tree communities on leaf litter decomposition and soil respiration. Oikos 959–971. doi:10.1111/oik.03868
- Jewell, M.D., Shipley, B., Paquette, A., Messier, C., Reich, P.B., 2015. A traits-based test of the home-field advantage in mixed-species tree litter decomposition. Ann. Bot. 116, 781–788. doi:10.1093/aob/mcv105
- Kaitaniemi, P., Riihimäki, J., Koricheva, J., Vehviläinen, H., 2007. Experimental evidence for associational resistance against the European pine sawfly in mixed tree stands. Silva Fenn. 41, 259–268. doi:10.14214/sf.295
- Kambach, S., Kühn, I., Castagneyrol, B., Bruelheide, H., 2016. The impact of tree diversity on different aspects of insect herbivory along a global temperature gradient - A metaanalysis. PLoS One 11, 1–14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165815
- Khlifa, R., 2016. Effets de la diversité des arbres sur le fonctionnement de l'écosystème dans deux plantations de forêts tempérées 116.
- Kirui, B.Y.K., Huxham, M., Kairo, J., Skov, M., 2008. Influence of species richness and environmental context on early survival of replanted mangroves at Gazi bay, Kenya. Hydrobiologia 603, 171–181. doi:10.1007/s10750-007-9270-3
- Kirui, B.Y.K., Kairo, J.G., Skov, M.W., Mencuccini, M., Huxham, M., 2012. Effects of species richness, identity and environmental variables on growth in planted mangroves in Kenya. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 465, 1–10. doi:10.3354/meps09999
- Kröber, W., Bruelheide, H., 2014. Transpiration and stomatal control: A cross-species study of leaf traits in 39 evergreen and deciduous broadleaved subtropical tree species. Trees -Struct. Funct. 28, 901–914. doi:10.1007/s00468-014-1004-3
- Kröber, W., Heklau, H., Bruelheide, H., 2015. Leaf morphology of 40 evergreen and deciduous broadleaved subtropical tree species and relationships to functional ecophysiological traits. Plant Biol. 17, 373–383. doi:10.1111/plb.12250
- Kröber, W., Li, Y., Härdtle, W., Ma, K., Schmid, B., Schmidt, K., Scholten, T., Seidler, G., von Oheimb, G., Welk, E., Wirth, C., Bruelheide, H., 2015a. Early subtropical forest growth is driven by community mean trait values and functional diversity rather than the abiotic environment. Ecol. Evol. 5, 3541–3556. doi:10.1002/ece3.1604
- Kröber, W., Plath, I., Heklau, H., Bruelheide, H., 2015b. Relating Stomatal Conductance to Leaf Functional Traits. J. Vis. Exp. 1–7. doi:10.3791/52738
- Kröber, W., Zhang, S., Ehmig, M., Bruelheide, H., 2014. Linking xylem hydraulic conductivity and vulnerability to the leaf economics spectrum - A cross-species study of 39 evergreen and deciduous broadleaved subtropical tree species. PLoS One 9, 1–24. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109211
- Kunert, N., Schwendenmann, L., Potvin, C., Hölscher, D., 2012. Tree diversity enhances tree transpiration in a Panamanian forest plantation. J. Appl. Ecol. 49, 135–144. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2011.02065.x
- Lang'at, J.K.S., Kirui, B.K.Y., Skov, M.W., Kairo, J.G., Mencuccini, M., Huxham, M., 2013. Species mixing boosts root yield in mangrove trees. Oecologia 172, 271–278. doi:10.1007/s00442-012-2490-x
- Lei, P., Scherer-Iorenzen, M., Bauhus, J., 2012a. Belowground facilitation and competition in young tree species mixtures. For. Ecol. Manage. 265, 191–200. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2011.10.033
- Lei, P., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Bauhus, J., 2012b. The effect of tree species diversity on fineroot production in a young temperate forest. Oecologia 169, 1105–1115. doi:10.1007/s00442-012-2259-2

- Li, Y., Härdtle, W., Bruelheide, H., Nadrowski, K., Scholten, T., von Wehrden, H., von Oheimb, G., 2014. Site and neighborhood effects on growth of tree saplings in subtropical plantations (China). For. Ecol. Manage. 327, 118–127. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2014.04.039
- Li, Y., Kröber, W., Bruelheide, H., Härdtle, W., Von Oheimb, G., 2017. Crown and leaf traits as predictors of subtropical tree sapling growth rates. J. Plant Ecol. 10, 136–145. doi:10.1093/jpe/rtw041
- Mayoral, C., van Breugel, M., Cerezo, A., Hall, J.S., 2017. Survival and growth of five Neotropical timber species in monocultures and mixtures. For. Ecol. Manage. 403, 1–11. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2017.08.002
- Milligan, H.T., Koricheva, J., 2013. Effects of tree species richness and composition on moose winter browsing damage and foraging selectivity: An experimental study. J. Anim. Ecol. 82, 739–748. doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12049
- Morath, S., 2013. Effects of tree species diversity on insect herbivory A thesis submitted to the University of London in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy By 1–163.
- Moreira, X., Abdala-Roberts, L., Parra-Tabla, V., Mooney, K.A., 2014. Positive effects of plant genotypic and species diversity on anti-herbivore defenses in a tropical tree species. PLoS One 9. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0105438
- Muiruri, E.W., Koricheva, J., 2017. Going undercover: increasing canopy cover around a host tree drives associational resistance to an insect pest. Oikos 126, 339–349. doi:10.1111/oik.03307
- Muiruri, E.W., Milligan, H.T., Morath, S., Koricheva, J., 2015. Moose browsing alters tree diversity effects on birch growth and insect herbivory. Funct. Ecol. 29, 724–735. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12407
- Muiruri, E.W., Rainio, K., Koricheva, J., 2016. Do birds see the forest for the trees? Scaledependent effects of tree diversity on avian predation of artificial larvae. Oecologia 180, 619–630. doi:10.1007/s00442-015-3391-6
- Murphy, M., Balser, T., Buchmann, N., Hahn, V., Potvin, C., 2008. Linking tree biodiversity to belowground process in a young tropical plantation: Impacts on soil CO2 flux. For. Ecol. Manage. 255, 2577–2588. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2008.01.034
- Nguyen, Di., 2015. Effects of tree species diversity on foliar fungal distribution. University of Uppsala.
- Nguyen, N.H., Williams, L.J., Vincent, J.B., Stefanski, A., Cavender-Bares, J., Messier, C., Paquette, A., Gravel, D., Reich, P.B., Kennedy, P.G., 2016. Ectomycorrhizal fungal diversity and saprotrophic fungal diversity are linked to different tree community attributes in a field-based tree experiment. Mol. Ecol. 25, 4032–4046. doi:10.1111/mec.13719
- Niklaus, P.A., Baruffol, M., He, J.S., Ma, K., Schmid, B., 2017. Can niche plasticity promote biodiversity–productivity relationships through increased complementarity? Ecology 98, 1104–1116. doi:10.1002/ecy.1748
- Oelmann, Y., Potvin, C., Mark, T., Werther, L., Tapernon, S., Wilcke, W., 2010. Tree mixture effects on aboveground nutrient pools of trees in an experimental plantation in Panama. Plant Soil 326, 199–212. doi:10.1007/s11104-009-9997-x
- Paine, C.E.T., Amissah, L., Auge, H., Baraloto, C., Baruffol, M., Bourland, N., Bruelheide, H., Daïnou, K., de Gouvenain, R.C., Doucet, J.L., Doust, S., Fine, P.V.A., Fortunel, C., Haase, J., Holl, K.D., Jactel, H., Li, X., Kitajima, K., Koricheva, J., Martínez-Garza, C., Messier, C., Paquette, A., Philipson, C., Piotto, D., Poorter, L., Posada, J.M., Potvin, C., Rainio, K., Russo, S.E., Ruiz-Jaen, M., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Webb, C.O., Wright, S.J., Zahawi, R.A., Hector, A., 2015. Globally, functional traits are weak predictors of juvenile tree growth, and we do not know why. J. Ecol. 103, 978–989. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12401

- Pei, Z., Eichenberg, D., Bruelheide, H., Kröber, W., Kühn, P., Li, Y., von Oheimb, G., Purschke, O., Scholten, T., Buscot, F., Gutknecht, J.L.M., 2016. Soil and tree species traits both shape soil microbial communities during early growth of Chinese subtropical forests. Soil Biol. Biochem. 96, 180–190. doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2016.02.004
- Peng, S., Schmid, B., Haase, J., Niklaus, P.A., 2017. Leaf area increases with species richness in young experimental stands of subtropical trees. J. Plant Ecol. 10, 128–135. doi:10.1093/jpe/rtw016
- Plath, M., Mody, K., Potvin, C., Dorn, S., 2011. Establishment of native tropical timber trees in monoculture and mixed-species plantations: Small-scale effects on tree performance and insect herbivory. For. Ecol. Manage. 261, 741–750. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2010.12.004
- Potvin, C., Dutilleul, P., 2009. Neighborhood effects and size-asymmetric competition in a tree plantation varying in diversity. Ecology 90, 321–327.
- Potvin, C., Gotelli, N.J., 2008. Biodiversity enhances individual performance but does not affect survivorship in tropical trees. Ecol. Lett. 11, 217–223. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01148.x
- Potvin, C., Mancilla, Lady, Buchmann, N., Monteza, J., Moore, T., Murphy, M., Oelmann, Y., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Turner, B.L., Wilcke, W., Zeugin, F., Wolf, S., 2011. An ecosystem approach to biodiversity effects: Carbon pools in a tropical tree plantation. For. Ecol. Manage. 261, 1614–1624. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2010.11.015
- Potvin, C., Whidden, E., Moore, T., 2004. A case study of carbon pools under three different land-uses in Panama. Clim. Change 67, 291–307. doi:10.1007/s10584-004-0079-z
- Pourhassan, N., Bruno, S., Jewell, M.D., Shipley, B., Roy, S., Bellenger, J.P., 2016. Phosphorus and micronutrient dynamics during gymnosperm and angiosperm litters decomposition in temperate cold forest from Eastern Canada. Geoderma 273, 25–31. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2016.03.018
- Purahong, W., Durka, W., Fischer, M., Dommert, S., Schops, R., Buscot, F., Wubet, T., 2016. Tree species, tree genotypes and tree genotypic diversity levels affect microbe-mediated soil ecosystem functions in a subtropical forest. Sci Rep 6, 36672. doi:10.1038/srep36672
- Riedel, J., Dorn, S., Plath, M., Potvin, C., Mody, K., 2013. Time matters: Temporally changing effects of planting schemes and insecticide treatment on native timber tree performance on former pasture. For. Ecol. Manage. 297, 49–56. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.02.003
- Riihimäki, J., Kaitaniemi, P., Koricheva, J., Vehviläinen, H., 2005. Testing the enemies hypothesis in forest stands: The important role of tree species composition. Oecologia 142, 90–97. doi:10.1007/s00442-004-1696-y
- Riihimäki, J., Vehviläinen, H., Kaitaniemi, P., Koricheva, J., 2006. Host tree architecture mediates the effect of predators on herbivore survival. Ecol. Entomol. 31, 227–235. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2311.2006.00784.x
- Rivest, D., Paquette, A., Shipley, B., Reich, P.B., Messier, C., 2015. Tree communities rapidly alter soil microbial resistance and resilience to drought. Funct. Ecol. 29, 570–578. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12364
- Ruiz-Jaen, M.C., Potvin, C., 2011. Can we predict carbon stocks in tropical Ruiz-Jaen, M. C., & Potvin, C. (2011). Can we predict carbon stocks in tropical ecosystems from tree diversity? Comparing species and functional diversity in a plantation and a natural forest. The New Phytologist, New Phytol. 189, 978–87. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03501.x
- Salisbury, C.L., Potvin, C., 2015. Does Tree Species Composition Affect Productivity in a Tropical Planted Forest? Biotropica 47, 559–568. doi:10.1111/btp.12252
- Sapijanskas, J., Paquette, A., Potvin, C., Kunert, N., Loreau, M., 2014. Tropical tree diversity enhances light capture through plastic architectural changes and spatial and temporal niche differences. Ecology 95, 2479–2492. doi:10.1890/13-1366.1

- Sapijanskas, J., Potvin, C., Loreau, M., 2013. Beyond shading: litter production by neighbours contributes to overyielding in tropical trees. Ecology 94, 941–952.
- Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Bonilla, J.L., Potvin, C., 2007. Tree species richness affects litter production and decomposition rates in a tropical biodiversity experiment. Oikos 116, 2108–2124. doi:10.1111/j.2007.0030-1299.16065.x
- Scholten, T., Goebes, P., Kuhn, P., Seitz, S., Assmann, T., Bauhus, J., Bruelheide, H., Buscot, F., Erfmeier, A., Fischer, M., Hartle, W., He, J.S., Ma, K., Niklaus, P.A., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schmid, B., Shi, X., Song, Z., Von Oheimb, G., Wirth, C., Wubet, T., Schmidt, K., 2017. On the combined effect of soil fertility and topography on tree growth in subtropical forest ecosystems'a study from SE China. J. Plant Ecol. 10, 111–127. doi:10.1093/jpe/rtw065
- Schuldt, A., Bruelheide, H., Härdtle, W., Assmann, T., Li, Y., Ma, K., von Oheimb, G., Zhang, J., 2015. Early positive effects of tree species richness on herbivory in a large-scale forest biodiversity experiment influence tree growth. J. Ecol. 103, 563–571. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12396
- Schuldt, A., Fornoff, F., Bruelheide, H., Klein, A.-M., Staab, M., 2017a. Tree species richness attenuates the positive relationship between mutualistic ant hemipteran interactions and leaf chewer herbivory. Proc. R. Soc. B 10.
- Schuldt, A., Hönig, L., Li, Y., Fichtner, A., Härdtle, W., von Oheimb, G., Welk, E., Bruelheide, H.,
 2017b. Herbivore and pathogen effects on tree growth are additive, but mediated by tree diversity and plant traits. Ecol. Evol. 1–13. doi:10.1002/ece3.3292
- Schwarz, B., Dietrich, C., Cesarz, S., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Auge, H., Schulz, E., Eisenhauer, N., 2015. Non-significant tree diversity but significant identity effects on earthworm communities in three tree diversity experiments. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 67, 17–26. doi:10.1016/j.ejsobi.2015.01.001
- Seidelmann, K.N., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Niklaus, P.A., 2016. Direct vs. Microclimate-driven effects of tree species diversity on litter decomposition in young subtropical forest stands. PLoS One 11, 1–16. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0160569
- Setiawan, N.N., 2016. Experimental assessment of tree-diversity ecosystem functioning relationships in young forest plantations. Ghent University.
- Setiawan, N.N., Vanhellemont, M., Baeten, L., Dillen, M., Verheyen, K., 2014. The effects of local neighbourhood diversity on pest and disease damage of trees in a young experimental forest. For. Ecol. Manage. 334, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2014.08.032
- Setiawan, N.N., Vanhellemont, M., Baeten, L., Gobin, R., De Smedt, P., Proesmans, W., Ampoorter, E., Verheyen, K., 2016a. Does neighbourhood tree diversity affect the crown arthropod community in saplings? Biodivers. Conserv. 25, 169–185. doi:10.1007/s10531-015-1044-z
- Setiawan, N.N., Vanhellemont, M., Baeten, L., Van de Peer, T., Ampoorter, E., Ponette, Q., Verheyen, K., 2017. Local neighbourhood effects on sapling growth in a young experimental forest. For. Ecol. Manage. 384, 424–443. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2016.10.012
- Setiawan, N.N., Vanhellemont, M., De Schrijver, A., Schelfhout, S., Baeten, L., Verheyen, K., 2016b. Mixing effects on litter decomposition rates in a young tree diversity experiment. Acta Oecologica 70, 79–86. doi:10.1016/j.actao.2015.12.003
- Sprenger, M., Oelmann, Y., Weihermüller, L., Wolf, S., Wilcke, W., Potvin, C., 2013. Tree species and diversity effects on soil water seepage in a tropical plantation. For. Ecol. Manage. 309, 76–86. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.03.022
- Staab, M., Blüthgen, N., Klein, A.M., 2015. Tree diversity alters the structure of a tri-trophic network in a biodiversity experiment. Oikos 124, 827–834. doi:10.1111/oik.01723
- Staab, M., Methorst, J., Peters, J., Blöthgen, N., Klein, A.M., 2017. Tree diversity and nectar composition affect arthropod visitors on extrafloral nectaries in a diversity experiment. J. Plant Ecol. 10, 201–212. doi:10.1093/jpe/rtw017

- Sun, Z., Liu, X., Schmid, B., Bruelheide, H., Bu, W., Ma, K., 2017. Positive effects of tree species richness on fine-root production in a subtropical forest in SE-China. J. Plant Ecol. 10, 146–157. doi:10.1093/jpe/rtw094
- Tedersoo, L., Bahram, M., Cajthaml, T., Põlme, S., Hiiesalu, I., Anslan, S., Harend, H., Buegger, F., Pritsch, K., Koricheva, J., Abarenkov, K., 2015. Tree diversity and species identity effects on soil fungi, protists and animals are context dependent. ISME J. 1–17. doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.116
- Teuscher, M., Gérard, A., Brose, U., Buchori, D., Clough, Y., Ehbrecht, M., Hölscher, D., Irawan, B., Sundawati, L., Wollni, M., Kreft, H., 2016. Experimental Biodiversity Enrichment in Oil-Palm-Dominated Landscapes in Indonesia. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 1–15. doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01538
- Tobner, C.M., Paquette, A.M., Gravel, D., Reich, P.B., Williams, L.J., Messier, C., 2016. Functional identity is the main driver of diversity effects in young tree communities. Ecol. Lett. 19, 638–647.
- Tuck, S.L., Brien, M.J.O., Philipson, C.D., Saner, P., Tanadini, M., Dzulkifli, D., Godfray, H.C.J., Godoong, E., Nilus, R., Ong, R.C., Schmid, B., Sinun, W., Snaddon, J.L., Snoep, M., Tangki, H., Tay, J., Ulok, P., Wai, Y.S., Weilenmann, M., Reynolds, G., Hector, A., 2016. The value of biodiversity for the functioning of tropical forests: insurance effects during the first decade of the Sabah biodiversity experiment. Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 283, 20161451. doi:10.1098/rspb.2016.1451
- Van de Peer, T., Verheyen, K., Baeten, L., Ponette, Q., Muys, B., 2016. Biodiversity as insurance for sapling survival in experimental tree plantations. J. Appl. Ecol. 53, 1777– 1786. doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12721
- Van de Peer, T., Verheyen, K., Kint, V., Van Cleemput, E., Muys, B., 2017a. Plasticity of tree architecture through interspecific and intraspecific competition in a young experimental plantation. For. Ecol. Manage. 385, 1–9. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2016.11.015
- Van de Peer, T., Verheyen, K., Ponette, Q., Setiawan, N.N., Muys, B., 2017b. Overyielding in young tree plantations is driven by local complementarity and selection effects related to shade tolerance. J. Ecol. n/a-n/a. doi:10.1111/1365-2745.12839
- Vehviläinen, H., Koricheva, J., 2006. Moose and vole browsing patterns in experimentally assembled pure and mixed forest stands. Ecography (Cop.). 29, 497–506. doi:10.1111/j.0906-7590.2006.04457.x
- Vehvilainen, H., Koricheva, J., Ruohomaki, K., 2007. Tree species diversity influences herbivore abundance and damage: meta-analysis of long-term forest experiments. Oecologia 152, 287–298. doi:10.1007/s00442-007-0673-7
- Vehviläinen, H., Koricheva, J., Ruohomäki, K., 2008. Effects of stand tree species composition and diversity on abundance of predatory arthropods. Oikos 117, 935–943. doi:10.1111/j.0030-1299.2008.15972.x
- Verheyen, K., Vanhellemont, M., Auge, H., Baeten, L., Baraloto, C., Barsoum, N., Bilodeau-Gauthier, S., Bruelheide, H., Castagneyrol, B., Godbold, D., Haase, J., Hector, A., Jactel, H., Koricheva, J., Loreau, M., Mereu, S., Messier, C., Muys, B., Nolet, P., Paquette, A., Parker, J., Perring, M., Ponette, Q., Potvin, C., Reich, P., Smith, A., Weih, M., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., 2016. Contributions of a global network of tree diversity experiments to sustainable forest plantations. Ambio 45, 29–41. doi:10.1007/s13280-015-0685-1
- Wein, A., Bauhus, J., Bilodeau-Gauthier, S., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Nock, C., Staab, M., 2016. Tree species richness promotes invertebrate herbivory on congeneric native and exotic tree saplings in a young diversity experiment. PLoS One 11, 1–17. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0168751

- Williams, L.J., Paquette, A., Cavender-Bares, J., Messier, C., Reich, P.B., 2017. Spatial complementarity in tree crowns explains overyielding in species mixtures. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 1, 63. doi:10.1038/s41559-016-0063
- Wurst, S., Kaiser, N., Nitzsche, S., Haase, J., Auge, H., Rillig, M.C., Powell, J.R., 2015. Tree diversity modifies distance-dependent effects on seedling emergence but not plant-soil feedbacks of temperate trees. Ecology 96, 1529–1539. doi:10.1890/14-1166.1.sm
- Yamamura, T., Schwendenmann, L., Lear, G., 2013. Tree species identity has little impact on the structure of soil bacterial communities in a 10-year-old tropical tree plantation. Biol. Fertil. Soils 49, 819–828. doi:10.1007/s00374-013-0774-x
- Yang, B., SI17, Li, Y., Bing, D., Both, S., Erfmeier, A., Härdtle, W., Ma, K., Schmid, B., Scholten, T., Seidler, G., von Oheimb, G., Yang, X., Bruelheide, H., 2017. Impact of tree diversity and environmental conditions on the survival of shrub species in a forest biodiversity experiment in subtropical China. J. Plant Ecol. 10, 179–189. doi:10.1093/jpe/rtw099
- Yang, X., Bauhus, J., Both, S., Fang, T., Härdtle, W., Kröber, W., Ma, K., Nadrowski, K., Pei, K., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Scholten, T., Seidler, G., Schmid, B., von Oheimb, G., Bruelheide, H., 2013. Establishment success in a forest biodiversity and ecosystem functioning experiment in subtropical China (BEF-China). Eur. J. For. Res. 132, 593– 606. doi:10.1007/s10342-013-0696-z
- Yeeles, P., Lach, L., Hobbs, R.J., Van Wees, M., Didham, R.K., 2017. Woody plant richness does not influence invertebrate community reassembly trajectories in a tree diversity experiment. Ecology 98, 500–511. doi:10.1002/ecy.1662
- Zeugin, F., Potvin, C., Jansa, J., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., 2010. Is tree diversity an important driver for phosphorus and nitrogen acquisition of a young tropical plantation? For. Ecol. Manage. 260, 1424–1433. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2010.07.020
- Zhang, J., Bruelheide, H., Chen, X., Eichenberg, D., Kröber, W., Xu, X., Xu, L., Schuldt, A.,
 2017. Tree diversity promotes generalist herbivore community patterns in a young subtropical forest experiment. Oecologia 183, 455–467. doi:10.1007/s00442-016-3769-0