
©Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

This is pre-copy-editing, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy following peer review. The final publication is available at link.springer.com. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00262-012-1360-z

This version was made available in the UWA Research Repository on 1 March 2014 in compliance with the publisher’s policies on archiving in institutional repositories.

Use of the article is subject to copyright law.
Title: Peripheral CD8+ T cell proliferation is prognostic for patients with advanced thoracic malignancies

Authors: Melanie J. McCoy*, Anna K. Nowak*, Robbert G. van der Most*, Ian M. Dick*, Richard A Lake*

Authors’ affiliations:
*School of Medicine and Pharmacology, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA, 6009, Australia
†National Centre for Asbestos Related Diseases
‡Department of Medical Oncology, Sir Charles Gairdner Hospital, Nedlands, WA, 6009, Australia.

Corresponding author:
Dr Melanie McCoy
melanie.mccoy@uwa.edu.au
Tel: +61 8 9346 4967
Fax: +61 8 9346 2816
Postal Address: The University of Western Australia
School of Medicine and Pharmacology
M503
35 Stirling Highway
Crawley WA 6009
Australia
ABSTRACT

There is a complex interplay between the immune system and a developing tumor that is manifest in the way that the balance of T cell subsets in the local tumor environment reflects clinical outcome. Tumor-infiltration by CD8+ T cells and regulatory T cells (Treg) is associated with improved and reduced survival respectively in many cancer types. However, little is known of the prognostic value of immunological parameters measured in peripheral blood. In this study, peripheral CD8+ T cells and Treg from 43 patients with malignant mesothelioma (MM) or advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) scheduled to commence palliative chemotherapy were assessed by flow cytometry and evaluated for association with patient survival. Patients had a higher proportion of peripheral Treg, proliferating CD8+ T cells and CD8+ T cells with an activated effector phenotype compared to age-matched healthy controls. Higher proportions of Treg and proliferating CD8+ T cells were both associated with poor survival in univariate analyses (hazard ratio [HR] 3.81, 95% CI 1.69 to 8.57; p < 0.01 and HR 2.86, 95% CI 1.26 to 6.50; p < 0.05 respectively). CD8+ T cell proliferation was independently predictive of reduced survival in multivariate analysis (HR 2.58, 95% CI 1.01 to 6.61; p < 0.05). These findings suggest that peripheral CD8+ T cell proliferation can be a useful prognostic marker in patients with thoracic malignancies planned for palliative chemotherapy.
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MM malignant mesothelioma
NSCLC non-small cell lung cancer
TAA tumor-associated antigen
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Introduction

Malignant mesothelioma (MM) and advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) have an equally poor prognosis with a median survival of 8-12 months for patients beginning standard palliative platinum doublet chemotherapy regimens [1-4]. Individual survival times, however, vary widely. Prognostic information is important for patients and their families, for clinicians when deciding on the most appropriate treatment option, and for the stratification of patients in clinical trials. Physical performance status, tumor stage and/or histology and presence of symptoms (chest pain, dysphagia and weight loss) are consistently associated with a poorer prognosis [5-9]. Some haematological parameters also predict shorter survival including high white blood cell and platelet counts, and low haemoglobin [7,8]. Tumor metabolic activity, measured by fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET), also has prognostic value in subgroups of patients with MM and NSCLC [9,10]. However, further prognostic markers are needed to more accurately predict outcome and help design appropriate therapies.

It is well documented that spontaneous anti-tumor immune responses occur, even in the context of progressive disease. In melanoma for example, T cells specific for tumor-associated antigens (TAA) are detectable in around 50% of patients [11,12] and the presence of circulating TAA-specific T cells shows a strong positive correlation with survival in patients with metastatic disease [12]. The frequent observation of lymphocytic infiltrates within tumors provides further evidence of an interaction between the immune system and the developing tumor. Intratumoral CD8+ T cell infiltration is associated with an improved prognosis in ovarian [13], colorectal [14] and oesophageal cancer [15], while conversely, tumor infiltration by regulatory T cells (Treg), a subset of CD4+ T cells that suppress immune responses, can predict a worse outcome [16-18]. TAA-specific cellular immune responses in MM and NSCLC have not been well characterised. However, tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells have been shown to predict improved survival in early stage NSCLC following surgical resection and in MM following extrapleural pneumonectomy [19,20]. Antibodies to mesothelin, a mesothelial cell differentiation antigen over-expressed in many cancer types, have also been detected in the sera of patients with MM [21].

Immunological parameters measured in peripheral blood potentially represent simple, relatively non-invasive prognostic markers. This is of particular importance in MM and advanced NSCLC where surgical interventions are not standard and tumor tissue samples are therefore often unavailable. Furthermore, peripheral blood is continuously accessible to determine longitudinal changes in response to therapy. The potential of peripheral biomarkers to predict clinical outcome following immunotherapy has recently been highlighted in renal cell cancer [22].

In this study, we assessed the proportion, activation status and proliferation of peripheral T cell subsets in 43 patients with MM or advanced NSCLC prior to platinum-based chemotherapy. Based on their respective roles as effectors and negative regulators of anti-tumor immunity, we hypothesised that increased CD8+ T cell activation / proliferation and fewer Treg would predict improved outcome. We observed an increase in CD8+ T cell proliferation and activation and a higher proportion of Treg in patients compared to a group of healthy controls and found no evidence of impaired CD8+ T cell function in patient samples. Contrary to our hypothesis, a higher level of CD8+ T cell proliferation and a greater proportion of Treg pre-chemotherapy were both associated with shorter survival, with CD8+ T cell proliferation independently predictive of poorer prognosis. We propose that peripheral T cell proliferation may reflect more advanced disease and could prove a useful prognostic marker in MM and advanced NSCLC.
Patients and methods

Donors
Blood samples were collected from 43 patients diagnosed with MM or NSCLC, prior to commencement of standard platinum-doublet chemotherapy for advanced or subtotally resected disease. All patients were ≥18 years of age, had not received chemotherapy within the previous three months or oral/intravenous steroids within the previous 72 hours, were not undergoing concurrent radiotherapy, and had no known autoimmune disease. Patients were followed for disease progression and survival until one year after enrolment of the last patient. Overall survival was defined as the time from study enrolment to death. Time to progression (TTP) was defined as the time between study enrolment and the date of first observation of radiological progression, unequivocal clinical progression, or death without observed radiological progression. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Details of histological sub-types are provided in Supplementary Table 1 (Online Resource). Control blood samples were obtained from two cohorts: 14 volunteers from the University of Western Australia and 13 spouses of patients enrolled on the study. All patients and controls gave written informed consent to participate. The study was approved by the institutional Human Research Ethics Committee and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) Good Clinical Practice guidelines.

Cell preparation
PBMC were isolated by density gradient centrifugation from blood collected into BD Vacutainer® CPT™ Cell Preparation Tubes (BD Diagnostics, Australia) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were resuspended at 2 x 10^6 cells/ml in RPMI-1640 (Invitrogen, Australia) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS (Invitrogen, Australia) and 10% DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) and cryopreserved until analysis.

Viral antigen-specific T cell expansion and restimulation
CD8⁺ T cell responses to common viral antigens were assessed using the CEF Class I Peptide Pool Plus (Cellular Technology Ltd, Cleveland, OH, USA). This pool contains 32 peptides corresponding to HLA Class I-restricted epitopes from Cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus and Influenza virus, covering 15 HLA Class I alleles. Peptides were reconstituted and used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. PBMC (2-5 x 10⁶ / well in 24-well flat-bottom plates (Falcon, BD Biosciences)) were pulsed with CEF peptides for 1 hour, then washed and cultured for 9 days in RPMI-1640 supplemented with 10% non heat-inactivated FCS, 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin, 2 mM glutamax (all Invitrogen, Australia), 10 mM HEPES (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) and 50 uM 2-ME (Merck, West Point, PA, USA) and 25 IU/ml recombinant human interleukin 2 (rIL-2) (Roche Diagnostics, Australia). Expanded PBMC were seeded at 0.5 x 10⁶ cells/well in 96-well U-bottom plates and incubated for 5 hours with CEF peptides in RPM-1640 (Invitrogen, Australia) supplemented with 5% heat-inactivated FCS (Invitrogen, Australia), 48 µg/ml gentamycin (Pharmacia and Upjohn, WA, Australia) and 60 mg/ml benzylpenicillin (CSL, VIC, Australia). Brefeldin A (BFA) (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) at 10 µg/ml was added after the first hour. 2 µl/ml Leukocyte Activation Cocktail (BD Biosciences, Australia) was added to positive control wells and DMSO (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) at a final concentration of 0.1% (equivalent to that present in CEF-restimulated samples) was added to negative control wells. CEF-specific cells were identified by production of IFNγ by flow cytometric analysis. The proportion of CEF-specific CD8⁺ T cells was calculated by subtracting the proportion of IFNγ⁺ cells present after 5 hours in negative control wells.

Flow cytometry
PBMC (0.5 x 10⁶ / well in 96-well U-bottom plates) were stained for expression of surface markers using specific anti-human monoclonal antibodies (mAb) against the following
molecules: CD3 (SK7 or HIT3a), CD4 (RPA-T4), CD8 (SK3), CD38 (HIT2), CD127 (eBioRDR5) and HLA-DR (L243). Staining was performed on ice for 20 mins, protected from exposure to light, in PBS with 2% heat-inactivated FCS (Invitrogen, Australia), 1% BSA (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia) and 0.01% sodium azide (Sigma-Aldrich, Australia); PBS-FCS-BSA, following a 15-minute incubation at 4°C with human FcR blocking reagent (Miltenyi Biotec, Australia) to prevent non-specific antibody binding. After washing, cells were fixed and permeabilized using FACS lysing solution (BD Biosciences, Australia) and permeabilization solution (eBioscience, Australia), then stained for intracellular marker expression using mAb against the following molecules: Bcl-2 (100), Ki67 (B56), Foxp3 (206D), IFNγ (4S.B3) and TNFα (MAb11) at RT for 30 min, protected from exposure to light in PBS-FCS-BSA. All antibodies were purchased from BioLegend, BD Biosciences or eBioscience and were directly conjugated to FITC, PE, PECy5.5, PECy7, APC, Alexa Fluor® 647 or APC-H7. Samples were stored in stabilizing fixative (BD Biosciences, Australia) and analysed within 48 hrs. Data were acquired using Diva software on a FACSCanto II flow cytometer (both BD Biosciences) and analysed using FlowJo software (Treestar Inc, Ashland, OR, USA). Compensation was performed post-acquisition using anti-mouse Ig and anti-rabbit/hamster Ig compensation particles (BD Biosciences, Australia) as positive and negative staining controls.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SAS version 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC, USA) and GraphPad Prism version 5.0 (GraphPad software Inc, San Diego, CA, USA). Differences between groups were determined using the students t test. Linear regression models were used to assess relationships between dependent and independent variables. Median overall survival and TTP were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method with groups compared using the log-rank test. Hazard ratios were determined using the Cox proportional hazards model. Differences and associations were considered significant where \( p < 0.05 \). Three investigators (MJM, RAL, AKN) formed structured hypotheses by consensus before data was collected, in order to investigate the relationships between immunological endpoints and clinical outcomes. Analyses were performed according to these pre-determined hypotheses only; therefore correction for multiple comparisons was not conducted.
Results

Increased proliferating and effector CD8+ T cells in patients compared to healthy controls

Proportions of CD8+ and CD4+ T cells, proliferating/effector CD8+ T cells, Treg and proliferating Treg were compared to 27 healthy controls. Proliferating T cells were identified by intracellular staining for the nuclear protein Ki67, expressed by cycling and recently divided lymphocytes, but not naïve or resting cells [23] (Fig. 1b). T cells with an activated effector phenotype were identified by surface expression of the HLA class II molecule HLA-DR and the type II transmembrane glycoprotein CD38 (Fig. 1d). Both HLA-DR and CD38 are upregulated upon activation, and in combination, have been shown to accurately identify antigen-specific effector T cells following yellow fever and smallpox vaccination [24]. Within the control group, whose mean age was significantly younger than the patient cohort (52.6 years, range 23 - 76 versus 65.0 years, range 42 - 81; students t test p < 0.0001), the proportion of proliferating CD8+ T cells was higher in those ≥ 50 years than those < 50 years (p = 0.02; data not shown). Therefore only the ≥ 50 control group (n = 15) was used for analyses comparing proliferating/effector T cell populations. The mean age of these two groups did not differ significantly (63.1 ± 1.5 versus 65.0 ± 2.3).

No difference in CD8+ or CD4+ T cells as a proportion of total lymphocytes was observed between patients and controls (data not shown). However, patients had significantly more proliferating (Ki67+) and effector (HLA-DR+CD38+) CD8+ T cells (Fig. 1a and c). In both patients and controls HLA-DR+CD38+ cells displayed clear downregulation of the anti-apoptotic protein Bel-2, which is constitutively expressed by resting T cells, but downregulated upon antigen-driven activation [24,25], providing further evidence that this cell population has an activated phenotype (Supplementary Fig. 1; Online Resource). Proportions of proliferating and effector CD8+ T cells were independent of platelet count or total globulin levels, suggesting that this is not simply a reflection of non-specific systemic inflammation (Supplementary Fig. 2a-d; Online Resource). As expected, there was a strong correlation between platelet count and globulin levels (Supplementary Fig. 2e; Online Resource). Patients also had a significantly larger population of CD4+Foxp3+CD127lo Treg than healthy controls (Fig. 1e), consistent with previous reports of increased peripheral Treg in cancer patients [26-28]. No difference in Treg proliferation was observed between patients and controls (data not shown).

Recall responses to common viral antigens are not impaired

Several previous studies have found peripheral T cells from patients with advanced malignancies to be deficient in their capacity to produce Th1 cytokines [29-31]. Where cell numbers permitted we assessed IFNγ production following a 5-hour incubation with PMA/ionomycin and following expansion and restimulation with a pool of peptides corresponding to HLA class I-restricted epitopes from common viral antigens (cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus and influenza virus; CEF peptide pool). All patient PBMC tested (n = 18) responded to PMA/ionomycin with 44 - 85% (mean 69%) of CD8+ T cells producing IFNγ. This was not significantly different from healthy controls ≥ 50 years of age (41 – 73%, mean 64%), demonstrating no deficiency in cytokine production capacity in the patient group (Fig. 2a). Responses to the viral CEF peptides were detected in 15/18 patients (83%). The proportion of CEF-specific CD8+ T cells identified in responding patient samples ranged from 5 - 53% (mean 38%), which was again comparable to the control group (11 – 52%, mean 36%) indicating that CD8+ T cells from patients with MM and NSCLC are not impaired in their ability to respond to recall antigens (Fig. 2b and c).

Baseline immunological parameters predict survival following chemotherapy

Cox proportional hazards regression analyses were performed to determine whether immunological parameters were associated with clinical outcome. Baseline clinical variables
previously shown to have prognostic value in MM and NSCLC [6-8] and immunological variables selected according to pre-determined hypotheses were included in the regression models. Pre-determined hypotheses for selection of immunological variables were formed according to the respective roles of CD8$^+$ T cells and Treg in anti-tumor immunity, i.e. effectors and suppressors of the response. These were that a greater proportion of proliferating or effector CD8$^+$ T cells and / or a lower proportion of Treg or proliferating Treg would be associated with improved outcome. Dichotomisation of immunological variables was performed at the most significant cut off point (proliferating CD8$^+$ T cells and total Treg), or the median value if no significant point of division could be found (effector CD8$^+$ T cells and proliferating Treg). As we observed no significance difference in proportions of these T cell subsets according to diagnosis, patients with MM and NSCLC were combined for survival analyses.

Contrary to our hypothesis, greater proportions of both Treg and proliferating CD8$^+$ T cells were predictive of poorer survival in univariate regression (hazard ratio [HR] 3.81, 95% CI 1.69 to 8.57; $p < 0.01$ and HR 2.86; 95% CI 1.26 to 6.50; $p < 0.05$ respectively; Table 2). The median survival of patients with a Treg population representing $\geq$ 7.5% of total CD4$^+$ T cells was 5.3 months versus 10.8 months in those with fewer Treg; $p < 0.001$; Fig. 3a). Patients with $\geq$ 2.9% of CD8$^+$ T cells actively proliferating had a median survival of 7.9 months compared to 20.9 months in those with < 2.9% proliferating CD8$^+$ T cells; $p < 0.01$; Fig. 3b). Proportions of Treg and proliferating CD8$^+$ T cells were also predictive of survival when analysed as continuous variables using univariate regression (Supplementary Table 2; Online Resource). Proliferating Treg and effector CD8$^+$ T cells did not impact significantly on survival (Table 2 and Fig. 3c and d). Of known prognostic factors, ECOG performance status and white blood cell count were significant predictors of outcome (Table 2 and Fig. 4). No immunological or clinical variables were predictive of TTP or best radiological response determined according to the RECIST [32] or modified RECIST [33] criteria for patient with NSCLC and MM respectively (data not shown).

**CD8$^+$ T cell proliferation is an independent prognostic factor**

Multivariate analysis was performed using immunological variables significant in univariate analysis (proliferating CD8$^+$ T cells and Treg), together with clinical and demographic variables. The proportion of proliferating CD8$^+$ T cells was independently predictive of survival in multivariate regression (HR 2.58, 95% CI 1.01 to 6.61; $p < 0.05$; Table 2). No clinical variables remained significant in the multivariate model. Again, analysis of continuous rather than dichotomised variables yielded similar results (Supplementary Table 2; Online Resource).
Discussion

It is now widely recognised that there is a dynamic interaction between the adaptive immune system and a developing tumor. CD8+ cytotoxic T cells are the key effectors of an anti-tumor immune response, Treg downmodulate this response. While tumor infiltration by CD8+ T cells and Treg is associated with an improved and poor prognosis respectively in many cancer types [13,15,16,14,17,20,18], little is known about the relationship between immunological parameters measured in peripheral blood and clinical outcome. In the current study, we assessed whether the proportion, activation status and extent of proliferation in peripheral T cell subsets provided any evidence for an underlying anti-tumor immune response in a cohort of patients with MM and NSCLC, and whether such parameters could be useful in predicting survival following chemotherapy.

Patients were found to have a significantly increased proportion of proliferating (Ki67+) CD8+ T cells, effector (HLA-DR+CD38+) CD8+ T cells and CD4+Foxp3+CD127lo Treg compared to healthy controls. Consistent with this data, there have been several previous reports of increased peripheral Treg in cancer patients, including those with NSCLC [26,28,27]. Increased peripheral T cell activation and proliferation relative to healthy donors has been observed in B cell lymphoma [34,35], and higher proportions of apoptotic T cells have been found in the blood of patients with head and neck cancer and melanoma [36], suggested by the authors to reflect increased T cell activation. This is however, to the best of our knowledge, the first report of increased proliferating and effector T cells in patients with thoracic malignancies. Interestingly, proportions of proliferating and effector T cells did not correlate with markers of non-specific inflammation (platelet count and globulin levels). These results could be explained by the presence of an underlying anti-tumor immune response blocked by the concurrent expansion of Treg, and at least suggest that cancer is associated with increased peripheral T cell activity. The investigation of tumor-specific immune responses in MM and NSCLC however, is hampered by the paucity of defined TAA CTL epitopes and we were therefore unable to draw any conclusions regarding the specificity of these cells. We also acknowledge the potential dissociation between activated effector phenotype and effector function. While we found no evidence for a detrimental effect of malignancy on Th1 cytokine production capacity or on the ability of CD8+ T cells to respond to antigenic stimulation in this patient cohort, limited cell numbers precluded the use of coculture experiments to assess cytolytic or suppressor function. In a future similar study, it would be useful to evaluate the expression of additional molecules associated with CD8+ T cell activation and/or effector function such as CD137 (4-1BB), which is upregulated upon activation and its ligation known to enhance effector function and promote anti-tumor immunity [37,38], and programmed death-1 (PD-1), which is upregulated following chronic antigen exposure and negatively regulates T cell function and anti-tumor immune responses [39-41].

Few studies to date have investigated the relationship between immunological parameters measured in peripheral blood and clinical outcome. In the current study, higher proportions of both Treg and proliferating CD8+ T cells at baseline were associated with poorer survival in patients who subsequently received chemotherapy. Baseline CD8+ T cell proliferation was independently predictive of survival in multivariate analysis. The association between increased peripheral Treg and poorer survival is consistent with the suppressive role of this population in anti-tumor immunity. Tumor infiltration by Treg has been shown to predict reduced survival in several cancer types including NSCLC [16-18] and the proportion of peripheral Treg at baseline was negatively associated with survival in a cohort of patients with various metastatic cancers receiving immunotherapy with DC-activated lymphocytes and/or tumor-pulsed DC [42]. Our finding that higher baseline CD8+ T cell proliferation also predicts reduced survival seems counter intuitive, but is not without precedent; tumor infiltration by proliferating CD8+ T cells was independently predictive of reduced survival following surgical resection in patients with stage I-IIIA NSCLC [43] and activation marker
expression by peripheral T cells has been associated with poor prognosis in head and neck cancer and melanoma [44,45]. We propose that increased immune activity in cancer patients may reflect more advanced disease, representing a continuing attempt by the immune system to mount an anti-tumor response, blocked by the concurrent expansion of Treg. As staging information is not comparable between patients with MM and those with NSCLC, and no other measure of tumor burden was available, no direct measure of the extent of disease could be included in the multivariate analyses to disprove this theory. Patient numbers precluded performing survival analyses by disease group. However, the presence of tumor infiltration by both CD8+ T cells and Treg has been associated with poor prognostic factors in breast cancer, including negative hormone receptor status, high tumor grade and lymph node involvement [46]. The proportion of melanoma patients mounting a spontaneous NY-ESO-1-specific antibody response also increases with disease stage [47], and NY-ESO-1-specific T cell responses are known to develop alongside antibody-mediated responses [48,49]. In the current study we chose to focus on the role of CD8+ T cells and Treg as effectors and negative regulators of the anti-tumor immune response respectively. Investigation of multiple additional parameters would have undermined the statistical power with a cohort of this size. However, this is not to say that other cell types such as CD4+ T helper cell subsets and myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSC) do not play an important role in regulating anti-tumor immune responses in MM and NSCLC. These populations and other immunological parameters including serum cytokine levels may have prognostic or predictive value, as has been shown in other cancers [50,51,22].

We acknowledge a number of potential weaknesses in this study. Firstly, the patient group was heterogenous in disease type, including both MM and NSCLC. However, both are thoracic malignancies treated with platinum-based chemotherapy regimens, and as there is substantial molecular and clinical heterogeneity within both MM and NSCLC, neither could be considered ‘one disease’. Furthermore, since only NSCLC patients with advanced, unresectable disease were included, disease trajectory and survival are similar. No significant differences in T cell subsets were observed according to diagnosis. The vast majority of our patient group (93%) underwent subsequent chemotherapy, hence it is possible that our findings are predictive rather than prognostic, until validated in a group not undergoing treatment or treated surgically. Finally, patients underwent diverse subsequent chemotherapy regimens (n = 19 (MM) pemetrexed with cisplatin, n = 6 (MM) pemetrexed with carboplatin, n = 12 (NSCLC) gemcitabine with carboplatin, and n = 3 (NSCLC) paclitaxel with carboplatin), although each has shown similar survival benefits in clinical trials, and there were no detectable survival differences between treatment groups in our study.

The results of this study suggest that thoracic malignancies are associated with increased peripheral Treg, proliferating CD8+ T cells and CD8+ T cells with an activated effector phenotype. Our finding that peripheral CD8+ T cell proliferation is prognostic for poorer survival is novel and, if validated in an independent study, this may represent a useful prognostic marker in patients with MM and advanced NSCLC receiving palliative chemotherapy.
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Fig. 1 Peripheral T cell populations in patients and healthy controls. Cryopreserved PBMC were thawed and stained for expression of surface and intracellular molecules to identify proliferating (Ki67+) CD8+ T cells (a and b), effector (HLA-DR+CD38+) CD8+ cells (c and d) and Treg (e and f). a, c and e Dots represent individual patients/controls; line at mean. b, d and f Representative plots from two patients and a healthy control gated on CD3+ lymphocytes (b), CD3+CD8+ lymphocytes (d) and CD4+ lymphocytes (f). Values represent percentage of CD8+ T cells (a-d) or CD4+ T cells (e and f). Groups were compared using the students t test. NS, not significant; HC, healthy controls. * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001

Fig. 2 Assessment of cytokine production capacity and ability to respond to recall antigens. a PBMC from 18 patients (11 MM and 7 NSCLC) and 10 healthy controls were incubated for 5 hours with PMA and ionomycin in the presence of brefeldin A, or with brefeldin A only (unstim) and then IFNγ production assessed by flow cytometry. Dots represent individual patients/controls; line at mean. Groups were compared using the students t test. NS, not significant. b and c Patient and healthy control PMBC were expanded and restimulated with CEF peptides (see Materials and Methods). Negative control samples (DMSO only) were expanded with the CEF peptides and then incubated with an equivalent volume of DMSO to that present in peptide-restimulated samples. b Representative plots gated on CD8+ T cells; shaded area corresponds to the isotype control. c Percentage of CEF-specific CD8+ T cells in patient versus healthy control samples; line at mean; groups compared using the students t test. In the patient group, closed circles represent patients with MM and open circles patients with NSCLC. % CEF-specific cells = % IFNγ+ cells in CEF-restimulated sample − % IFNγ+ cells in DMSO only control

Fig. 3 Kaplan-Meier plots of overall survival by proportion of Treg (a), proliferating (Ki67+) CD8+ T cells (b), proliferating Treg (c) and effector CD8+ T cells (d). Data were dichotomised at the point demonstrating the strongest association with survival in univariate regression analysis (a and b) or the median value if no statistically significant cut-off point could be found (c and d). For comparison, median and 95th percentile values for healthy controls were as follows: Treg 4.4%, 7.3%; proliferating CD8+ T cells 2.6%, 5.2%; proliferating Treg 18.5%, 30.2%; effector CD8+ T cells 0.6%, 1.4%. Groups were compared using the log-rank test. Circles represent censored observations

Fig. 4 Kaplan-Meier plots of overall survival by ECOG performance status (a), white blood cell count (b), age (c) and gender (d). Groups were compared using the log-rank test. Circles represent censored observations
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All patients n = 43</th>
<th>MM n = 27</th>
<th>NSCLC n = 16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean (range)</td>
<td>65 (42 - 81)</td>
<td>64 (45 - 78)</td>
<td>67 (42 - 81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, n (%)</td>
<td>9 (21)</td>
<td>5 (19)</td>
<td>4 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG performance status, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12 (28)</td>
<td>9 (33)</td>
<td>3 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>27 (63)</td>
<td>17 (63)</td>
<td>10 (63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3</td>
<td>4 (9)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>3 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumour stage*, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>3 (11)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>13 (30)</td>
<td>9 (33)</td>
<td>4 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>24 (56)</td>
<td>12 (44)</td>
<td>12 (75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weeks since diagnosis, median (IQR)</td>
<td>6 (3 - 12)</td>
<td>4 (3 -12)</td>
<td>6 (4 - 12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior chemotherapy, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior surgical resection, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td>2 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall survival (months), median (IQR)</td>
<td>10.7 (6.8 - 19.3)</td>
<td>10.7 (7.1 - 17.3)</td>
<td>9.5 (4.5 - 21.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TTP (months), median (IQR)</td>
<td>6.3 (3.5 - 8.6)</td>
<td>6.3 (5.1 - 8.3)</td>
<td>4.6 (2.6 - 11.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; IQR, interquartile range; TTP, time to progression

*Tumors were staged according to the 1997 UICC International Union Against Cancer TNM classification system
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariate</th>
<th>univariate</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>multivariate</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferating CD8⁺ T cells (%)</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>1.26 – 6.50</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.01 – 6.61</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effector CD8⁺ T cells (%)</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.83 – 3.42</td>
<td>0.146</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treg (%), high / low</td>
<td><strong>3.81</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.69 – 8.57</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.001</strong></td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>0.94 – 6.17</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferating Treg (%), high / low</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.36 – 1.48</td>
<td>0.377</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male / female</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.18 – 1.25</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.18 – 2.65</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), above / below median</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.60 – 2.47</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.38 – 1.89</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG status (0, 1 or 2-3)</td>
<td><strong>2.24</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.14 – 4.43</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.020</strong></td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.76 – 3.78</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (x10⁹/L), high / low</td>
<td><strong>2.09</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.02 – 4.29</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.045</strong></td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>0.92 – 6.32</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/L), high / low</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.35 – 1.40</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.26 – 1.48</td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (x10⁹/L), high / low</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.51 – 2.11</td>
<td>0.912</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.31 – 1.69</td>
<td>0.457</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis, MM / NSCLC</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.41 – 1.78</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.63 – 3.85</td>
<td>0.344</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: All categorical covariates were transformed into numeric codes before entering into the model. Immunological variables were divided into high and low at the point demonstrating the strongest association with survival in univariate analysis (data-driven dichotomization) or the median if no significant association was found. Only those significant in univariate analysis were included in the multivariate model. Clinical variables were dichotomized at the median. CI, confidence interval; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HR, hazard ratio; WBC, white blood cell count.
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### Supplementary Table 1  Histological subtypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>MM (n = 27)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial</td>
<td>9 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biphasic</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarcomatoid</td>
<td>5 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>7 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NSCLC (n = 16)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous cell</td>
<td>5 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>9 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other histologies included histiocytoid MM, peritoneal MM and bronchoalveolar NSCLC.
**Supplementary Table 2** Cox proportional hazards regression using continuous variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>univariate</th>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td></td>
<td>HR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proliferating CD8⁺ T cells (%)</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.02 – 1.18</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.01 – 1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treg (%)</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.06 – 1.41</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male / female</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.91 – 1.01</td>
<td>0.132</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.13 – 1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.97 – 1.04</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.97 – 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOG status (0, 1 or 2-3)</td>
<td><strong>2.24</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.14 – 4.43</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.020</strong></td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>0.82 – 3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC (x10⁹/L)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.96 – 1.34</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.73 – 1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin (g/L)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.99 – 1.01</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.00 – 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (x10⁹/L)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00 – 1.00</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00 – 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis, MM / NSCLC</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.41 – 1.78</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.46 – 2.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; ECOG, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; HR, hazard ratio; WBC, white blood cell count
Supplementary Fig. 1  Bcl-2 down-regulation in HLA-DR⁺CD38⁺ effector CD8⁺ T cells.  

**a** Representative plots from two patients and a healthy control showing Bcl-2 expression in effector (HLA-DR⁺CD38⁺; red gate and line) versus naïve (HLA-DR⁻CD38⁻; blue gate and line) cells.  HLA-DR CD38⁺ cells with intermediate Bcl-2 expression (green gate and line) in the patient samples may represent effector cells in the early stages of activation, cells differentiating into memory cells or cells responding to less immunogenic stimuli / without appropriate costimulation.  Plots are gated on CD8⁺ T cells.  Shaded area corresponds to the isotype control.  MFI, mean fluorescence intensity.  

**b** Proportion of Bcl-2⁺ cells within effector, ‘intermediate’ and naïve CD8⁺ T cell populations in all patient and control samples.  Dots represent individual patients / controls; line at mean
Supplementary Fig. 2 Levels of proliferating and effector CD8⁺ T cells do not correlate with markers of non-specific systemic inflammation.  

- **a-d** Linear regression analyses of proliferating (Ki67⁺) CD8⁺ T cells and effector (HLA-DR⁺CD38⁺) CD8⁺ T cells versus platelet count and globulin levels.  
- **e** Platelet count versus globulin levels. Dots represent individual patients. NS = not significant.