Pronounced surface stratification of soil phosphorus, potassium and sulfur under pastures upstream of an eutrophic wetland and estuarine system
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Movement of phosphorus off farms into waterways is detrimental to the health of downstream aquatic systems through promoting algal blooms. We measured the concentration of phosphorus, and other elements, in the top 100 mm of soil under a beef farm and a dairy farm and found extremely high concentrations in the top 10 mm of soil. Management practices that lower phosphorus concentrations in surface soil will likely benefit health of downstream ecosystems through reducing phosphorus movement off farm.
ABSTRACT

High concentrations of nutrients in surface soil present a risk of nutrient movement into waterways through surface water pathways and leaching. Phosphorus (P) is of particular concern, due to its role in aquatic system eutrophication. We measured nutrients under annual pastures on a beef farm and a dairy farm in the Peel-Harvey catchment, Western Australia. Soils were sampled in 10 mm increments to 100 mm depth in March, June and September. Plant litter contained ~300–550 mg kg\(^{-1}\) Colwell-extractable P. Extractable soil P was strongly stratified, being ~100–225 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (dairy) and ~50–110 mg kg\(^{-1}\) (beef) in the top 10 mm and < 40 mg kg\(^{-1}\) at 40–50 mm depth. Total P and extractable potassium were also highly stratified, while sulfur was less strongly stratified. Shoot nutrient concentrations indicated that nitrogen was often limiting and sulfur sometimes limiting pasture growth: concentrations of P were often much greater than required for adequate growth (>4 mg g\(^{-1}\)). We conclude that high P concentrations at the soil surface and in litter and shoots are a source of risk for movement of P from farms into waterways in the Peel-Harvey catchment.
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Eutrophication of naturally phosphorus (P)-limited waterways and standing waters due to the movement of P off farmland is a significant environmental problem on a global scale (Sharpley et al. 2015) and is not easily remediated (Jarvie et al. 2013; Rivers et al. 2013). Farmland may contribute P from diffuse sources, such as large areas of land with high concentrations of readily-mobile soil P due to past fertiliser applications, and from point sources, such as the slurry from intensive livestock enterprises (Weaver and Read, 1998; Rivers et al. 2013). Diffuse P sources present the greatest problem, as they are the most challenging to understand and amend. Worldwide, extensive research has been undertaken with the aim of reducing the contribution of diffuse P sources to eutrophication (Sharpley et al. 2015). However, the application of this research has proved problematic, and one of the many reasons is an incomplete understanding of the specific pathways for P movement within the farm and off the farm into waterways in the target environment (Sharpley et al. 2015).

In the coastal plain of the Peel-Harvey catchment in south-western Australia, forests and woodlands have been largely replaced with pastures consisting of winter-active annual species, which support beef and dairy enterprises (Ruprecht and George 1993). The area has a long-term mean annual rainfall of around 1000 mm, which is strongly winter-dominant (i.e. ~54% falls in winter and ~4% in summer). The area also mostly has a low surface gradient and, therefore, an extensive network of shallow open drains has been constructed to mitigate waterlogging and inundation (Cooper 1979). These open drains efficiently move surface water from upstream farms into shallow estuarine waters, saline, brackish and freshwater wetlands, and several lake systems including a ‘Wetland of International Importance’ under the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands (Hale and Butcher
The movement of P into these ecosystems has long been recognised as a major contributor to eutrophication and algal blooms (Lukatelich and McComb 1986), which undesirably affect amenity, water quality and wildlife. Moreover, the Peel-Harvey catchment is part of a terrestrial biodiversity hotspot and many of its native plant species are adapted to low soil P and are poorly competitive if soil P increases (Lambers et al. 2013). The movement of P through overland flow or shallow groundwater flow from farms into remnant native vegetation is, therefore, also a matter of concern.

The Environmental Protection Authority of Western Australia has set a goal of reducing the movement of P into the Peel-Harvey estuary by ~50% (EPA 2008), but recent modelling suggests that P inputs from the watershed will continue to increase (Rivers et al. 2013). An incomplete understanding of how P moves from farms into waterways has impeded the design of management strategies to reduce P losses from soils in this catchment. Point sources of P, such as piggeries, are easily identified and relatively well understood. Diffuse sources are not as easily mapped or well understood, but are believed to contribute most P into the estuary (Rivers et al. 2013). The major diffuse source of P is pastures (beef and dairy). These have been fertilised for many years, primarily with inorganic P fertiliser, and are consequently greatly enriched with P (Rivers et al. 2013) due to accumulation in the surface soil of P in excess to pasture requirements in both inorganic and organic forms (McLaren et al. 2015). The large area of pastures in the catchment accounts for most of the 2000 tonnes of P that are applied each year. Although losses of P per hectare are small they are estimated to contribute around 140 tonnes of P per year to the estuary (Rivers et al. 2013). Modifying farm management to reduce the movement of diffuse-source P into the open drains requires a detailed understanding of the distribution of P on these farms and the means by which P moves off-farm. Other nutrients have also
been supplied to pastures, notably potassium (K) and sulfur (S). Lesser enrichment with K and S has likely resulted in limitations of K and S to pasture growth and P use (Weaver and Reed 1998).

In the Peel-Harvey catchment, leaching of P into shallow water tables is often the primary path of P movement into waterways and open drains located at low points in the landscape (Weaver and Summers 2014). However, in areas with very limited slope and/or subsurface impediments to groundwater flow, the movement of P through surface water pathways (infiltration excess or saturation excess overland flow and, perhaps, return flow of infiltrated water) may dominate, particularly once the soil profile is saturated (Ruprecht and George 1993). In pastures, high concentrations of P on the soil surface due to top-dressing of fertiliser, manure and infrequent tillage would increase the likelihood of P movement into the open drains (Dougherty et al. 2006). Indeed, several studies in other regions have reported high concentrations of P in the soil surface layers of pastures (0–40 or 0–50 mm) (McLaughlin et al. 1990; Haynes and Williams 1992; Cayley et al. 2002; Dougherty et al. 2006) which suggests that management strategies to reduce the movement of P should focus on this part of the profile. However, it is not well known whether these concentrations change over time as could occur due to management such as heavy periods of intensive grazing or fertiliser addition, or seasonal change such as growth of annual pastures or heavy winter rainfall. Furthermore, the construction of soil P risk frameworks for this catchment (e.g., soil P change point – Heckrath et al. 1995) would likely be complicated by a heterogeneous distribution of nutrients and buffering materials through the soil profile.
In view of the above, the aim of this study was to examine the stratification of P within the top 100 mm of soil in three permanent pastures in the Peel-Harvey catchment to identify whether P is highly stratified and present in high concentrations at the top of the soil profile. We also examined whether this stratification differs for pastures under contrasting management or on differing soil types and whether it changes during the course of the winter growing season. Three pastures were sampled: two mixed-composition annual pastures on a relatively low-intensity commercial beef farm (one on a deep sand and the other on a sandy loam over clay) and one annual pasture of ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum* L.) on a sand over clay on a high-intensity dairy farm (Fig. 1). The study builds upon previous studies of nutrient stratification in pastures and crops (McLaughlin *et al.* 1990; Haynes and Williams 1992; Cayley *et al.* 2002; Dougherty *et al.* 2006; Vu *et al.* 2009; Saarela and Vuorinen 2010; Haygarth *et al.* 1998) by including, in a single study, three sampling times within the growing season, small (10 mm) soil depth increments and measurements of extractable potassium and sulfur, pH and soil organic matter in addition to extractable and total P. The paddocks were under commercial management during the study.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Environment*

Pastures were sampled on two commercial farms located approximately 8 km apart (Fig. 1A), close to the town of Waroona in the coastal plain of the Peel-Harvey catchment in Western Australia. Both farms were likely established around 100 years ago following clearing of natural vegetation and soils are infrequently tilled. Fertiliser inputs across the region increased substantially after world war two (Birch, 1982; Cooper, 1979). The Peel-Harvey catchment has a typical Mediterranean climate with hot, dry summers and mild, wet winters (Table 1). Non-irrigated pastures such as the ones sampled for this study are,
therefore, largely based on winter-active annual pasture species. The two farms were
chosen to be representative of two common, but contrasting, farming enterprises in the
coastal plain: low-management-intensity beef enterprises and high-management-intensity
dairy enterprises. Across the catchment, these two enterprise types contribute greater than
75% to fertiliser P inputs and to P entering the estuary (Rivers et al. 2013).

**Rainfall**

The monthly rainfall totals were obtained from the nearby town of Waroona (32.85°S,
115.92°E, 40 m elevation, Bureau of Meteorology station 009538) (Table 1). In the year
before the study, 2011, total rainfall was similar to the long-term average. In 2012,
monthly rainfall totals were close to long-term averages, except for July which received
only 47 mm compared with the long-term average of 188 mm.

**Beef cattle farm (two sample sites)**

The beef farm had previously been a mixed-beef and dairy enterprise, but had soley been a
beef-beef enterprise for more than 20 years at the time of sampling. The pasture we
sampled was located on a small 3–4 m high dune of sand overlying clay, a common
landform in the area. The farm was managed in a relatively low-intensity manner with an
annual addition by top-dressing onto growing pastures of 100–200 kg ha$^{-1}$ of mineral
fertiliser (7% P, 2% K, 2% S, 26% Ca, 1% Mg) and infrequent application of locally-
sourced lime-sand at ~1 t ha$^{-1}$. No nitrogen (N) fertiliser was applied as the farmer relied
upon annual legumes, primarily *Trifolium subterraneum* L., to biologically fix N. The
pasture contained a mix of weeds, pasture legumes and grasses, including some summer-
active perennials, which were grazed by set STOCKED cattle. Two sites, around 25 m apart,
were sampled (Fig. 1B). The ‘upslope’ site was at the top of the dune where the soil is a
Gavin sand (McArthur and Bettenay 1959). The major pasture species present during winter were *Arctotheca calendula* (L.) Levyns, *Lolium rigidum* L., *Lupinus cosentinii* Guss., *Cynodon dactylon* (L.) Pers. and *Bromus* spp. The ‘midslope’ site was lower on the dune, close to the break of the slope towards a flat area. The soil is a Mayfield series sandy loam over clay (McArthur and Bettenay 1959) and the major pasture species present were *A. calendula*, *L. rigidum*, *Lotus subbiflorus* L., *T. subterraneum* and *Pennisetum clandestinum* Chiov. At the bottom of the dune on which the two sites were located is the Mayfield drain (Fig. 1B), an open drain approximately 3 m wide and 1.6 m deep. Shallow groundwater enters this drain via seepage from the bank and, more significantly, surface water enters the drain by overland flow.

*Dairy cattle farm (one sample site)*

The dairy farm had been under continuous management as a dairy for ~30 years. The pasture we sampled was located on a Coolup series sand over clay (~0.4 m) (Fig. 1C). It was re-sown annually with *L. rigidum* using zero-tillage techniques and contained a small amount of *Poa annua* L. and *T. michelianum* Savi. A dairy herd rotationally grazed the pasture on a tightly-controlled cycle whereby paddocks were temporarily divided to allow stock access to adequate pasture for a single day; this equated to three or four days grazing in a paddock every month. In-season N management was a priority with ~40 kg ha\(^{-1}\) of N as urea top-dressed onto pastures after each grazing event. Lime (2.5 t ha\(^{-1}\)) was applied before the first heavy rains of the 2012 growing season on paddocks with acid soils to maintain the soil pH at 5.5. Extractable soil P concentrations were high due to past application of P fertiliser and, consequently, the farmer had not applied P fertiliser for around five years. However the farmer did apply an inorganic fertiliser containing P in 2012 (details unknown). Groundwater and, in particular, surface water from this site drain
into the South Coolup Drain (Fig. 1C), an open drain approximately 5 m wide and 1.7 m deep (Steele, 2008). Overland flow discharges via shallow-interconnected surface depressions (see arrows in Fig. 1C). More detail on drainage characteristics and nutrient export from the South Coolup Drain can be found in Steele (2008) and EPA (2008).

Sample collection

Samples were collected in March, June and September 2012. The March sampling preceded the first heavy rains of the growing season and there was little or no green pasture present. Three quadrats (0.5 × 0.5 m) were randomly placed within each of the three sites at each time of sampling; areas that had obviously recently received urine or dung were avoided. Shoots were cut close to the soil level and removed. Green shoot material in contact with the soil and senesced shoot material at the soil surface were removed (hereafter referred to as ‘litter’). A custom-made ‘plane’ was then used to remove the soil in 10 mm increments down to 100 mm depth and samples were transported to the laboratory on the day of sampling.

Sample analyses

Soil samples were oven-dried at 40°C for one week and sieved to 2 mm. A subset of increments was analysed (i.e. 0–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–40, 40–50, 70–80, 90–100 mm) by CSBP laboratories (Bibra Lake, Western Australia). Unless otherwise specified, the methods for soil analysis followed those of Rayment and Lyons (2011) and codes from this reference are supplied: total P (9A3b); bicarbonate-extractable P (9B) and potassium (K) (18A1; Colwell 1965); P-buffering index (PBI) (912C; Allen and Jeffrey 1990); extractable sulfur (S) (10D1; Blair et al. 1991); pH in a soil:solution ratio of 1:5 (4A1, 4B3, 3A1); and organic carbon (6A1, Walkley and Black 1934).
The litter samples were treated as soil samples for analysis as they were a mixture of plant material and surface soil. Samples were dried at 60°C to a constant weight. For extractable P, samples were ground to <2 mm and 1 g shaken with 100 mL of 0.5 M NaHCO₃ (pH 8.5) for 16 hours (Colwell 1963), centrifuged, and the P in the clear supernatant measured colorimetrically (Murphy and Riley 1962). For total P, samples were ground to <0.5 mm and 0.3 g digested in nitric/perchloric acid (Kuo 1996), and the P measured colorimetrically (Murphy and Riley 1962).

The pasture shoots (June and September only) and litter (March and September only) were dried at 70°C to a constant weight and then ground. Shoot samples of approximately 100 mg were digested in nitric/perchloric acid and analysed for P, K and S using inductively-coupled plasma atomic absorption with a Perkin Elmer Optima 5300 DV optical emission spectrometer (OES; Shelton, CT, USA). Nitrogen concentration was determined by dry combustion using an elemental CN analyser (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany).

Data analyses

Soil plane data were analysed with three-way ANOVA using Genstat version 14.1 (Lawes Agricultural Trust, Rothamsted Experimental Station, Harpenden, UK). The factors examined were site (beef midslope, beef upslope, dairy farm), depth (0–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–40, 40–50, 70–80, 90–100 mm) and time (March, June, September) and their interactions. Most data required log10 transformation to meet the assumption of normality. No outliers were removed except for the extractable P, total P and extractable K data from one quadrat at the dairy farm in September 2012 because very high concentrations at all
depth increments were interpreted as likely resulting from a feeding station previously being present (215-464 mg kg\(^{-1}\) extractable P, 677-1333 mg kg\(^{-1}\) total P, 152-679 mg kg\(^{-1}\) extractable K). The litter and shoot nutrient concentration data were analysed with two-way ANOVA. The two factors examined were site (beef midslope, beef upslope, dairy farm) and time (March and September for the litter; June and September for shoots) and their interactions.

**RESULTS**

The results from the soil planes are presented in Figures 2–4. All variables were strongly affected by depth (P<0.001), with the exception of PBI (Table 2). Sampling time strongly affected pH and extractable K (P<0.001) as well as total P, S, and organic carbon (P=0.01-0.018). Site affected all variables (P≤0.004). There were numerous interactions among factors.

Extractable P concentration was highest at the dairy site (P<0.001) and declined sharply with soil depth (P<0.001); indeed by 40–50 mm depth, the concentration of extractable P was generally half or less than that at 0–10 mm depth (Fig. 2A, Table 2). There was an interaction between soil depth and site (P=0.043), as the decline with depth was most marked for the dairy site where the extractable P concentration at the three sampling times was ~100–230 mg kg\(^{-1}\) at 0–10 mm, but only ~40 mg kg\(^{-1}\) by 30–40 mm depth. There was also an interaction between site and time (P=0.038) driven largely by the dairy site where extractable P concentration was lowest in June and highest in September.

The concentration of total P also declined greatly with depth (P<0.001) and was affected by sampling time, being lower in March than in June and September (P=0.018) (Fig. 2B,
Table 2). The concentration of total P was higher at the dairy and beef midslope sites than at the beef upslope site (P<0.001). At the beef upslope and dairy sites, total P was more than 200 mg kg\(^{-1}\) higher at the top of the profile in June than in March. The effect of sampling time on extractable P concentration did not always mirror total P concentration; for instance, the very high extractable P concentration in the top 10 mm of the profile in September at the dairy farm was not reflected in the total P concentration.

PBI was measured only in June and September, and was higher at the beef midslope site than at the beef upslope or dairy sites (P=0.004) (Fig. 2C, Table 2). PBI was unaffected by depth or sampling time (P>0.05). PBI was generally low (i.e. 20–50), although some samples from the beef farm were moderate (i.e. 50–100). There was large variation among the three replicate quadrats for the beef upslope and beef midslope sites, hence the three quadrats from each site are presented individually. The variation among the replicate quadrats at each site resulted from one quadrat having higher or lower PBI at all depths. The beef farm quadrats with higher PBI (i.e. >40) also had a higher total P concentration, and thus there were large standard errors for mean total P (Fig. 2B). For the beef farm, where PBI was variable, there was a significant linear positive correlation between PBI and total P concentration for the upslope and midslope sites (both \(r^2=0.66\), P<0.001) and a significant correlation between PBI and extractable P concentration for the upslope site only (\(r^2=0.32\), P<0.001).

The dry mass of litter on the soil surface was affected by an interaction of site and time (P=0.006), being similar at all three sites in March, but greatly reduced by September at the beef farm, but not the dairy (Table 3). The extractable P concentration for the litter ranged from 309–559 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and was not affected by site or sampling time (P>0.05). The total P
concentration of the litter ranged from 525–826 mg kg\(^{-1}\) and differed among sites (P<0.001) being lowest for the beef midslope site and highest for the dairy site. There was no relationship between total P concentration in the litter and total P concentration in the top 10 mm of soil (P>0.05). However, there was a positive linear relationship between total P concentration in the litter and extractable P concentration in the top 10 mm of soil \((R^2=0.45, P=0.005)\). On an area basis, the extractable and total P contained in the litter were both always less than 1.5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and highest in March (P≤0.02).

Soil sulfur concentration decreased strongly with depth (P<0.001) and was highest at the dairy site (P<0.001) (Fig. 3A; Table 2). Sulfur concentration also decreased over the sampling season (P=0.01), particularly at the dairy site (P=0.015). Soil extractable K concentration also decreased strongly with depth (P<0.001) and decreased over the sampling season (P<0.001), with the decrease from June to September greatest for the beef upslope site (P=0.021). In general, soil extractable K concentration was lowest at the dairy (P<0.001) (Fig. 3B). The beef farm quadrats with a high PBI also had high S and extractable K concentrations and this resulted in large standard errors at some sampling times (e.g. beef upslope for June).

Soil pH was affected by a strong three-way interaction of depth, sampling time and site (P=0.005) (Fig. 4A, Table 2). Soil pH declined with sampling depth at all sites, being around one pH unit lower at 90–100 mm than at 0–10 mm. There were also strong effects of sampling time of ~0.5 to 1 pH unit, but these differed among sites and soil depths. For instance, pH was highest in September at the beef farm sites, but highest in June at the dairy and these seasonal changes occurred at all soil depths (0-100 mm) at the beef upslope and diary sites, but not the beef midslope site. In general, soil pH was lowest at the dairy.
Soil organic carbon decreased with depth (P<0.001), decreased over time (P<0.001) and was lowest at the beef upslope site, intermediate at the beef midslope site and highest at the dairy (P<0.001) (Fig. 4B, Table 2). An interaction among site and sampling time (P=0.03) resulted from the greatest increase occurring from March to June for the beef upslope and dairy sites, but from June to September for the beef midslope. The large standard errors at the beef farm resulted from quadrats with higher organic carbon at all depths and, again, these quadrats were those with a high PBI.

Pasture shoot nutrient concentrations were measured on a bulked sample that consisted of all species present in the quadrat (Table 3). Shoot P concentration was lower for the beef midslope site than for the other two sites (P<0.001) and lower in September than in June (P=0.002). Shoot K concentration showed a similar trend, but this was not significant (P>0.05). For shoot S concentration there was an interaction between site and sampling time (P=0.013) as it did not differ among sites in June, but in September the shoot S concentrations at the beef farm sites were lower than the dairy values. Shoot N concentration was measured only in September and differed with site (P=0.004) being very low for the beef farm sites (11–13 mg g⁻¹) compared with the dairy farm (27 mg g⁻¹).

**DISCUSSION**

*Did P, K and S concentrations and other soil properties vary among sampling times?*

For the soil nutrients we examined, the effects of sampling time were mostly small (variance ratio 2-11) compared with the effects of depth (variance ratio 14-46). Most large differences among sampling times occurred in the top 10 mm of the soil profile. Some effects of sampling time are perhaps related to the addition of fertiliser during the growing
season, likely a single application on each farm, or sampling following an intensive period of grazing (e.g., the higher extractable P and S concentrations in the surface 10 mm at the dairy farm in September). However, for extractable P, the explanation may be more complex. At the dairy farm in September, the increase in extractable P concentration of ~100 mg kg\(^{-1}\) compared with June was far greater than could be expected from even a generous rate of fertiliser application, and was not reflected in an increase in total P. Several factors may have contributed to this increase including P mineralisation processes, perhaps associated with soil being waterlogged at the time of sampling (Bradley et al. 1994) and warming spring temperatures. In addition, significant mobilisation of P likely occurred during rainfall events due to leaching from the large biomass of pasture shoots that accumulated before each short grazing period. Most of the P in such green plant material is water soluble and, if leached, available to plants and soil microbes (Bromfield and Jones 1972; Noack et al. 2012), where some organic forms will be readily mineralised (Nash et al. 2014). Support for this contention comes from McDowell et al. (2007) who, using simulated rainfall, found that runoff from plots with membranes covering the soil (i.e. where only growing plants could contribute to nutrient load) contributed around half of the dissolved reactive P of plots where the soil interacted with the rainfall. Finally, seasonal variation in soil P pools may also result from turnover in soil microbial biomass in response to processes such as waterlogging (dairy farm) and wet/dry cycles, especially at the end of a hot, dry summer period (dairy and beef farms) (Sparling et al. 1985; Blackwell et al. 2009).

In our study, soil organic matter content tended to increase as the season progressed; this was particularly obvious for the dairy farm at 50–100 mm depth. Presumably this trend reflects the proliferation of roots of the mainly annual pasture species as the growing
season progressed as well as senescing plant material accumulating at the soil surface. Soil pH also increased by 0.5–1 units at the beef farm between March and September which was most evident in the top 0–50 mm. This increase is consistent with the return of organic matter alleviating acidity due to the growth of annual pastures and the return of senesced/trampled plant material to the soil surface.

Were P, K, S concentrations and other soil properties stratified?

At the beef and dairy farms, extractable P and total P were all highly stratified, resulting in high concentrations at the soil surface. For extractable P, similar stratification was reported for *T. subterraneum*-based pastures in south-eastern Australia (McLaughlin *et al.* 1990), pastures consisting of a mix of *Lolium perenne* L. and *T. repens* L. in New Zealand (Haynes and Williams 1992), fertilised perennial grass pastures in Finland (Saarela and Vuorinen 2010), and grass swards dominated by *L. perenne* in southwest England (Haygarth *et al.* 1998). In our study, the greatest stratification was for total P at the dairy farm where concentrations ranged from ~600–800 mg kg\(^{-1}\) at 0–10 mm to <200 mg kg\(^{-1}\) at 90–100 mm depth. The dairy farm had the highest concentrations of total and extractable P, reflecting a history of higher fertiliser inputs than the beef farm due to around 30 years of continuous operation as a dairy. Potassium was also highly stratified. However, S was stratified to a lesser extent, which agrees with Coad *et al.* (2010) and may reflect its greater mobility in soil (Watson 1969).

The pH of the soil decreased with depth in the top 100 mm of soil by close to one pH unit. Such pH gradients develop quite quickly under field conditions, within 5–7 years of surface soil being mixed (Conyers and Scott 1989; McLaughlin *et al.* 1990). Indeed, Evans *et al.* (1998) found that stratification developed during a single growing season, due to a
decrease in pH below 20 mm, and attributed this to nitrification followed by nitrate leaching. Organic carbon concentrations also markedly decreased with soil depth. This change was similar to that reported under crops and pastures in south-eastern Australia (McLaughlin et al. 1990; Vu et al. 2009), and is presumably largely a reflection of return of plant material to the surface of the soil.

What caused the stratification of nutrients?

The positive correlation between PBI and total P concentration at the beef farm resulted from some individual profiles having a higher PBI throughout the profile and hence enabling greater amounts of extractable P to be tightly bound. However, the very high extractable P concentrations in the soil surface layers indicates that this binding capacity had been saturated and that, consequently, P had accumulated as extractable P. Overall, the high concentrations of P and other nutrients at the soil surface likely result from the continuous input to the soil surface of plant material and animal dung (for P) and urine (for K) (Haynes and Williams 1992), as well as fertiliser (Haynes and Williams 1992; Saarela and Vuorinen 2010; McLaren et al. 2015, 2016). Maintenance/increase of these high surface concentrations is also exacerbated by continuous cycling of P between aboveground and belowground pools as illustrated by the strong positive linear relationship between total P concentration in the litter and extractable P concentration in the top 10 mm of soil.

Is stratification a problem?

The high concentrations of P at the soil surface undoubtedly present a significant risk for P loss during the winter wet season (Haygarth et al. 1998; Dougherty et al. 2006; Melland et
al. 2008) by surface water pathways (Simmonds et al. 2016), and also preferential flow pathways in the soil profile or leaching through the soil matrix (likely at the beef farm upslope); the latter may become prominent in the winter growing season, when surface soil becomes saturated and evapotranspiration is low. The very high concentrations of extractable P in the top 10 mm of the soil profile (i.e. up to ~225 mg kg$^{-1}$) may exacerbate P movement if they are above the “change point” (Heckrath et al. 1995). The change point has not been determined for these soils. However, key challenges for using it, or other soil character-defined parameters, to define the risk of P movement off pastures in the Peel Harvey arise from the high P stratification in the top 100 mm of the soils and the variation in PBI over small scales. As mixing contrasting samples from depth increments or replicate profiles likely substantially changes results, we suggest that further work is required to adapt risk prediction for stratified, variable soils.

How can stratification be reduced?

Several management practices have been proposed as a means to reduce P loss from farms into waterways in the Peel-Harvey catchment. These include using perennial pastures, managing waterways and riparian vegetation, only applying P fertiliser if soil tests indicate P is limiting for pasture growth, using less-soluble fertilisers, applying fertiliser onto growing pastures and using P-retentive soil amendments (Rivers et al. 2013). Our finding of a high surface concentration of nutrients suggests that other management practice changes should be considered.

Selection of more P-efficient pasture cultivars is one possible change. Species and cultivars may differ greatly in shoot P concentrations at a given level of extractable soil P, and in external critical P requirements, with grasses generally presenting lower shoot P
concentrations and a lower external critical P requirement than legumes (Ozanne et al. 1969; McDowell et al. 2011; Sandral et al. 2015; Haling et al. 2016). Thus, decreasing the rate of P-fertiliser addition to pastures to match the P requirements of grasses only, or of annual legumes with lower P requirements than the current widely-used species T. subterraneum, would reduce the size of the pool of readily-soluble P circulating through plants and top soil layers and thus reduce the risk of losses. As applying P fertiliser above the rates required for pasture maintenance causes accumulation of sparingly-soluble forms of organic and inorganic P in the soil (McLaren et al. 2015), reduced rates of P fertiliser application would also improve the P use efficiency of the farming systems.

Mixing of soil through tillage or soil inversion may reduce the risk of nutrient loss from top soil layers through surficial processes by reducing nutrient concentrations at the soil surface (Vu et al. 2009) and in the soil water at the surface (Nash et al. 2015). Soil amendments to aid P retention could also be incorporated at the time of tillage (e.g., Summers et al. 1996). However, the impact of mixing soil high in P lower into the profile on the loss of P into shallow water tables may require consideration in some instances (e.g. the dairy farm in our study). Interestingly, soil inversion may have desirable side-effects such as those shown for ‘clay delving’ where bringing subsoil clay to the surface improves profile wettability and reduces preferential flow (Betti et al. 2015). Indeed, this sort of ‘strategic tillage’ is increasingly considered as beneficial for minimum tillage cropping systems (Dang et al. 2015), which also accumulate P in the top 5 mm of soil (Vu et al. 2009). However, the reduction in nutrient stratification from tillage or soil inversion will dissipate over time (Nash et al. 2015). For instance, McLaughlin et al. (1990) rotary-hoed the top 100 mm of soil under an annual pasture, applied 250 kg ha\(^{-1}\) year\(^{-1}\) of superphosphate, and then cut and removed plant shoots annually. After seven years,
stratification was similar to that in pastures that were not rotary hoed. Hence, in the Peel-Harvey coastal catchment, the ideal frequency of tillage or soil inversion conducted to reduce nutrient stratification would need to be determined.

Limitations to pasture growth

Whilst interpretation of our shoot nutrient concentration data must be undertaken with care as the botanical composition of the pastures varied, they do suggest S and N limitations to pasture growth at the beef farm in September and an N limitation at the dairy farm (Weir and Cresswell 1994). This is consistent with the findings of Weaver and Reed (1998) who sampled soils across the south coast region of Western Australia finding that two thirds of high P status soils were deficient in S and a quarter deficient in K. Note that the proliferation of kikuyu (P. clandestinum Chiov.) may explain the low shoot P concentrations at the beef midslope site (Fulkerson et al. 1998). Limitations to pasture growth from S, K and N, along with the over-application of P fertiliser, may have been the cause of the high concentrations of P (>4 mg g\(^{-1}\)) in pasture shoots at the dairy and beef upslope sites, and created a large pool of readily-leachable P in the living pasture shoots (Bromfield and Jones 1972; McDowell et al. 2007). Addressing these nutrient limitations may result in dilution of shoot P concentrations and potentially reduce the proportion of shoot P consisting of reactive/soluble P; it would also improve pasture production.

CONCLUSIONS

We found pronounced stratification of P, K and S in the top 100 mm of the soil profile and very high concentrations of P at the soil surface, particularly at the dairy. The litter on top of the soil surface and pasture shoots also had high P concentrations and this was most pronounced at the dairy. Differences among the three sampling times in concentrations of
P, K and S in soil were generally small and largest in the surface layers. Some large fluctuations in extractable soil P concentration at the dairy could not be readily explained. Thus, it seems that there are gaps in our understanding of P cycling in these pastures and, particularly, of the role of plants in P cycling. Nevertheless, together, the high concentrations of P at the soil surface and in the litter and pasture shoots undoubtedly constitute a source of risk for the movement of P off farmland. While there are many management practices that can be, or have already been, adopted to reduce P movement, our results suggest three areas that merit further investigation: (1) use of pasture legumes that require less P for maximum yield and have lower shoot P concentrations than current cultivars; (2) soil tillage or inversion to reduce P stratification; and (3) capacity to reduce shoot P concentrations through reduced P-fertiliser application coupled with removal of K, S and N limitations to pasture growth.
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Table 1. Monthly rainfall totals in 2012 and the long-term average (LTA) monthly rainfall totals and monthly maximum and minimum temperatures at Waroona, the closest town to the farms (Bureau of Meteorology, 2016). Months when sampling occurred are highlighted. *=missing data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>J</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall (mm)</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>214</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTA</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>LTA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperature</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>°C</td>
<td>LTA</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Outcomes of three-way ANOVA on extractable and total phosphorus (P), P-buffering index (PBI), sulfur (S), extractable potassium (K), pH and organic carbon; the factors were depth (0–10, 10–20, 20–30, 30–40, 40–50, 70–80, 90–100 mm), sampling time (March, June, September) and site (beef midslope, beef upslope, dairy farm) and their interactions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Depth (D)</th>
<th>Sampling time (T)</th>
<th>Site (S)</th>
<th>D x S</th>
<th>T x S</th>
<th>D x T</th>
<th>D x T x S</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Log₁₀ Extractable P</td>
<td>v.r.=34, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>v.r. = 17, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r.=2, P=0.043</td>
<td>v.r.=3, P=0.038</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log₁₀ Total P</td>
<td>v.r.=30, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r.=4, P=0.018</td>
<td>v.r. = 7, P=0.001</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log₁₀ PBI¹</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>v.r. = 6, P=0.004</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log₁₀ S</td>
<td>v.r.=14, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r.=5, P=0.01</td>
<td>v.r. = 75, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>v.r.=3, P=0.015</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log₁₀ Extractable K</td>
<td>v.r.=46, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r.=11, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r. = 30, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>v.r.=3, P=0.021</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antilog pH</td>
<td>v.r.=17, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r.=47, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r. = 36, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r.=3, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r.=26, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r.=3, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r.=2, P=0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log₁₀ Organic carbon</td>
<td>v.r.=8, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r.=4, P=0.013</td>
<td>v.r. = 20, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>v.r.=3, P=0.03</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.s., not significant, v.r., variance ratio
Table 3. Dry mass and Colwell-extractable and total phosphorus (P) concentrations of litter on the soil surface in March and September and concentrations of P, potassium (K), sulfur (S) and nitrogen (N) of pasture shoots in September.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Litter on soil surface</th>
<th>Beef midslope</th>
<th>Beef upslope</th>
<th>Dairy farm</th>
<th>Statistical effects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry mass (t ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>Sept</td>
<td>March</td>
<td>Sept</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extractable P (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>559</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total P (mg kg⁻¹)</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extractable P (kg ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total P (kg ha⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pasture shoots</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>Sept</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Sampling time</th>
<th>Site × time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P (mg g⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>v.r.=40, P&lt;0.001</td>
<td>v.r.=18, P=0.002</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K (mg g⁻¹)</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S (mg g⁻¹)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>v.r.=6.8, P=0.016</td>
<td>v.r.=8.1, P=0.019</td>
<td>v.r.=7.4, P=0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N (mg g⁻¹)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>v.r.=60, P=0.004</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phosphorus: Deficient - <2.0; Low - 2.2-2.3; Normal - 2.5-0.5 mg g⁻¹. Potassium: Normal 11-25 5 mg g⁻¹. Sulfur: Deficient - <2.2; Low - 2.2-2.3 mg g⁻¹; Normal - 2.5-0.4 mg g⁻¹; Nitrogen; no values given for deficient, Low 30-32, Normal 33-55 mg g⁻¹ (Weir and Cresswell (1994) for subterranean clover)
n.s., not significant, v.r., variance ratio
Figure captions

Figure 1. The location of the beef and dairy farms in the Peel Harvey catchment (A) and the location of the two sites at the beef farm (B) and the single site at the dairy farm (C). Arrows in (B) and (C) indicate surface run-off direction following topographic slope.

Figure 2. The concentration of extractable phosphorus (P) (A) and total P (B), and the P-buffering index (PBI) (C) in 10 mm increments of soil profiles sampled to 100 mm depth for three sites (mean ± s.e., n=3). Note that for C), the three individual replicate quadrats are shown for the beef farm sites to illustrate the variability among replicates.

Figure 3. The concentration of sulfur (S) (A) and extractable potassium (K) (B) in 10 mm increments of soil profiles sampled to 100 mm depth for three sites (mean ± s.e., n=3).

Figure 4. The pH in CaCl₂ (A) and organic carbon concentration (B) in 10 mm increments of soil profiles sampled to 100 mm depth for three sites (mean ± s.e., n=3).
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