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Impetigo is common in remote Indigenous children of Northern Australia, with the primary driver in this context being *Streptococcus pyogenes*. To reduce the high burden of impetigo, the transmission dynamics of *S. pyogenes* must be more clearly elucidated. We performed whole genome sequencing (WGS) on 31 *S. pyogenes* isolates collected from children from households with >1 *S. pyogenes* infected child from the same community. We aimed to determine whether transmission was more or less likely at the household level. Children from households with more than 1 child suffering *S. pyogenes* impetigo were more likely to have severe impetigo than children with no affected siblings. The 31 isolates consisted of nine STs with evidence of both within and between household transmissions. This is the first time WGS has been used to define the household transmission of *S. pyogenes* in a remote Indigenous community. Given the evidence both of within and between household transmission, strategies to reduce the burden of impetigo in this setting will need to be multi-faceted and community-wide, with targeting including and beyond household overcrowding.

**Introduction**

Impetigo is a common childhood infection [1] with an estimated 160 million prevalent cases globally [2]. Children living in Oceania have the highest documented prevalence [2,3], with the most severely affected group being Indigenous Australian children living in remote communities [4,5], where the median childhood prevalence
is 43% (95% CI 40.2 – 45.7%) [2]. Impetigo is a non-benign disease that drives outbreaks of acute post-streptococcal glomerulonephritis [6] with consequent chronic kidney disease [7,8] and probably contributes to the highest reported rates of rheumatic heart disease in the world found in these communities [9,10]. In a large randomized controlled trial, *Streptococcus pyogenes* was confirmed to be the primary driver of impetigo in an endemic context [11]. Therefore a clear understanding of the transmission dynamics of *S. pyogenes* is required to inform the design of interventions to reduce the prevalence of impetigo.

It has long been thought that housing conditions are a major contributor to the high prevalence of infectious and parasitic disease in children in remote Indigenous communities. Households are crowded in remote Indigenous communities with a median of 3–7 persons per bedroom [11,12] and a correlation exists between the level of crowding and prevalence of impetigo [12]. However, interventions that improve housing quality have had only limited success in reducing the burdens of infectious disease [13]. Part of the reason for that may be extensive transmission of infectious agents outside the household. Community interactions within Indigenous communities are complex, with considerable mobility of children between households, and much unstructured mixing opportunities in school and other community settings that may be more or less influential to infection risk than the home environment [14].

To better understand the relative contributions of household level and community level transmission, we obtained whole genome sequences (WGS) of 31 *S. pyogenes* isolates from household clusters of impetigo in a single community over a three-day
period. By assessing the relatedness of *S. pyogenes* strains associated with skin infections in multiple members of individual households, we sought to assess whether the household was likely to be the most useful target for interventions to reduce acquisition and subsequent burden of impetigo.

**Methods**

Isolates were collected from children with impetigo who were participants in a randomized controlled trial of oral trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole versus intramuscular benzathine benzylpenicillin G for the treatment of skin sores [11]. The trial recruited children aged three months to 13 years from seven remote communities in the Northern Territory, Australia. Screening for eligibility in the trial occurred predominantly in schools. Following this, research nurses visited households to discuss the study with caregivers. Overall 65% of recruited children with impetigo were identified through school screening. During these household visits, siblings or relatives were also screened for participation (an additional 25% of recruitment). The remaining participants were referred directly from the clinic (10%) [11]. No attempt was made to screen children who did not attend school or were below school-age, outside of the recruitment described above. All children with impetigo in the trial had at least one microbiological swab collected [15]. To ascertain household crowding and number of other children with impetigo in the household, a qualitative survey of the primary caregiver was conducted. No swabs or clinical assessments of these household members were made.

For this WGS sub-study, we concentrated on a single community at a single recruitment visit conducted between November 8 and 11, 2011. This community was
chosen because it had the highest number of *S. pyogenes* isolates available from different sized household clusters. We included for sequencing isolates recovered from children residing in households where \( \geq 2 \) children from the same household had culture confirmed *S. pyogenes* impetigo. All isolates were collected prior to the commencement of antibiotic therapy.

Cotton swabs (Copan, Italy) were used to collect pus from skin sores for microbiological culture according to standard methods [16]. Where *S. pyogenes* was recovered, a single isolate from the agar plate was stored at -80°C. DNA was extracted from the stored isolate using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

We obtained genome sequences with paired end libraries on the Illumina HiSeq (Illumina, USA) platform through Macrogen Inc (South Korea). The reads have been deposited in NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) ([http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra)) with accession numbers SAMN03988118–SAMN03988148. Reads were mapped against an M1 GAS reference sequence (accession number AE004092.2) using SPANDx [17] to define orthologous single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and a maximum likelihood tree was built using RaXML [18] with default settings and visualized with the Interactive Tree of Life [19]; and the multilocus sequence type (MLST) for each isolate was determined using SRST2 [20]. Short read data for each isolate was assembled using Bowtie2 [21] with reference to an M1 GAS reference sequence (accession number AE004092.2). The best assembly for each ST (based on the smallest number of contigs and largest N50) was then used as a reference to map reads from the other strains of that ST to identify orthologous SNPs using SPANDx.
[17]. Reads from the assembled ‘reference’ strain were also mapped back to itself as a quality control procedure. SNPs were manually visualized in Artemis [22]. Epidemiological and genome sequence data were visualized using Circos [23].

Results

Of 69 children who were screened at the school for participation in the trial during the November 2011 recruitment trip, 45 (65%) with crusted or purulent impetigo were enrolled in the study. These 45 children had a median age of 7.4 years (interquartile range, IQR 4.4 – 9.7 years) and 27 (60%) were female. Fourteen of these 45 (31%) children were the only member of their household with impetigo recruited in the trial and isolates recovered from these participants were not included for whole genome sequencing. The remaining 31 children (69%) resided in 11 households, with a median of 3 (IQR 2–3) infected individuals in each household. Twenty-five (81%) of the 31 children in households where multiple infected children were identified had severe impetigo, compared to 9 (64%) of the remaining 14 children. See Figure 1 for the study profile.

Of the 31 isolates, there were nine STs that were clearly delineated following alignment against the reference GAS M1 strain (Figure 2). De novo assemblies of each isolate were obtained. The best assembly within each ST was chosen to be the ‘reference’ assembly for that ST and these assemblies had a median size of 1,801,299 bp (range 1,745,425–1,878,702). The median depth of read coverage aligning against the ‘reference assemblies’ was 133x. Mapping of short reads from the ‘reference’ isolate back to the ‘reference’ assembly (i.e., itself) demonstrated no SNPs. Within each ST, the number of SNPs for any one isolate compared to the
‘reference’ assembly ranged from 0 to 3 (Table 1). Thus, isolates within each ST were essentially identical when considering orthologous SNPs at a whole genome level.

There was evidence of both within and between household transmissions (Figure 3). Five of the 11 households had a single circulating ST, consistent with transmission within the household. There were only two instances where a household was found to have an ST that was not found in any other household (i.e., the household was uniquely identified with an ST and vice versa – household I with ST182, and household K with ST641). There was also abundant evidence of transmission of STs between households. The six ST10 isolates were identical (i.e., 0 SNPs were identified) and spread across three different households. The two ST176 isolates were identical and from different households. The three ST330 isolates were identical and from two different households. Of the four ST304 isolates, two variants (differing by 3 SNPs from each other) were identified. Three of the ST304 isolates were from a single household, where both variants were present. Within the eight ST332 isolates, four SNP positions resulted in five variants, with a maximum of two SNPs between any two variants. No household had more than one isolate of any ST332 variant.

**Discussion**

Our initial exploration of the relatedness of GAS isolates between concurrently infected family members reveals marked diversity of strains within the identified household units. This finding suggests the independent introduction of multiple strains acquired from outside of the household, rather than dominance of a single
strain resulting from close contact transmission within the home. It is noteworthy that the majority of index study participants were recruited from the community school, a setting likely to be important for cross-household transmission.

Children from households containing more than one affected child were more likely to have severe impetigo than those with only one child with impetigo. In addition, these households had a median of three children with impetigo at a time. Despite this household burden, the use of WGS did not demonstrate the expected household relatedness of isolates. Further studies to disentangle the transmission dynamics are needed. One possibility is using global positioning system (GPS) technology to track children’s movements over a short time period to observe the social dynamics which may drive *S. pyogenes* transmission [24].

Limitations of this study include the use of a single time point and a single community to assess for household clustering. However, even with this small sample size, it is clear that community level (between household) transmission of *S. pyogenes* is a key component of the dynamic epidemiology of *S. pyogenes* in an endemic setting. In addition, an inference of multiple importations into a household may be incorrect if individuals harboured multiple co-infecting strains, making attribution of a source other than the household spurious on the basis of a single isolate. Studies involving WGS of multiple *S. aureus* colonies from a single swab have demonstrated carriage of a cloud of variation [25,26], however all colonies were of the same sequence type. Such studies have not yet been reported for *S. pyogenes*. Finally, our sampling in households was not complete and included only symptomatic children recruited into the study. Swabbing of all household members
for either active infection or asymptomatic colonization over time would be required
to understand underlying patterns of transmission in the household that may be
influential, other than just observed disease.

Nonetheless, our findings suggest that interventions purely targeted at a household
level (e.g., improved housing conditions, reduced household crowding) may not be
effective at reducing the prevalence of impetigo if only a minority of residents in a
community benefit from the intervention and/or there is an absence of other
community-wide intervention strategies. Therefore, it is not surprising that small
scale interventions targeting household crowding alone did not result in an
appreciable reduction in infectious diseases [13].

Conclusions

We report the first use of WGS to define the household transmission of *S. pyogenes*
in a remote Indigenous community. It is likely that strategies aimed at reducing the
burden of impetigo in remote Indigenous Australian children will need to include
community-wide interventions.
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Table 1: Details of the 31 Group A *Streptococcus* (GAS) strains.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Household</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>emm Type</th>
<th>SNP variant</th>
<th>SRA number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2090-1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2097-1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2096-1</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>166.1</td>
<td>GGCA</td>
<td>SAMN03988126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1023-1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>166.1</td>
<td>GTCG</td>
<td>SAMN03988121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2245-1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>166.1</td>
<td>ATCG</td>
<td>SAMN03988122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1024-1&quot;</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAMN03988123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2232-1</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1028-1</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2237-1</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2243-1</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>166.1</td>
<td>GGAG</td>
<td>SAMN03988120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2241-1&quot;</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>230</td>
<td></td>
<td>SAMN03988130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2240-1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>166.1</td>
<td>GGCA</td>
<td>SAMN03988129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2229-1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>330*</td>
<td>164.3</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2102-1&quot;</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>SAMN03988132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2099-1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>166.1</td>
<td>GGAG</td>
<td>SAMN03988131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2103-1&quot;</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>166.1</td>
<td>GGCG</td>
<td>SAMN03988133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2095-1&quot;</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2091-1</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>TCC</td>
<td>SAMN03988134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2093-1</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>TCC</td>
<td>SAMN03988136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2094-1</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>CAT</td>
<td>SAMN03988137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2092-1</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>332</td>
<td>166.1</td>
<td>GGCG</td>
<td>SAMN03988135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020-1</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1021-1&quot;</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>SAMN03988141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2098-1*</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>SAMN03988142</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2242-1</td>
<td>J</td>
<td>330*</td>
<td>164.3</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2088-1*</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2233-1*</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2235-1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2236-1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2238-1</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>No SNPs</td>
<td>SAMN03988148</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:  
# isolate used as the ‘reference’ assembly for that sequence type (ST).  
* single locus variant of ST330  
The SNP (single nucleotide variant) column refers to the SNP variants within each of the STs. Where there is only one representative of an ST, the cell is left blank.  
SRA (short read archive).
Figure 1: Flow diagram of participants in the study.

Figure 2: Maximum likelihood tree of 31 Group A *Streptococcus* (GAS) isolates aligned against a reference M1 isolate (accession number AE004092.2). The multilocus sequence types are indicated by the outer circle.

Figure 3: Group A *Streptococcus* (GAS) sequence type (ST) distribution in households. Segments on the left of the circle represent 11 households (HH) and segments on the right represent nine GAS STs. Denoted within each segment are the number of participants with GAS recovered from an impetigo lesion within each household, and similarly the number of isolates within each ST.