Using multiple trait associations to define hydraulic functional types in plant communities of south-western Australia

Patrick J. Mitchell¹*, Erik Veneklaas¹, Hans Lambers¹ and Stephen S. O. Burgess¹

¹School of Plant Biology, University of Western Australia and the Cooperative Research Centre for Plant-Based Management of Dryland Salinity, 35 Stirling Highway, Crawley WA 6009 AUSTRALIA

* corresponding author: pjm@unimelb.edu.au

ph: +61 3 8344 9304  fax: +61 3 8344 5570
Abstract
Assessing the hydrologic imbalance and associated land degradation issues facing much of southern Australia and other parts of the world requires a better understanding of the defining features of ecosystem water use and the design of sustainable agroecosystems. The water use characteristics of species-rich plant communities in south-western Australia were assessed by grouping species with similar water use strategies into ‘hydraulic functional types’ (HFTs). HFTs were determined using multiple-trait associations between morphological and physiological traits relating to water transport, water use efficiency and response to water deficit. Sixteen traits were assessed from a subset of 21 species from three plant communities located along a topographically determined soil and water-availability gradient. Multivariate analyses showed that trait variation was least at sites with shallower soils and putatively lower water availability, suggesting a convergence of water use strategies at sites where plants are exposed to large seasonal water deficits. Stem hydraulic parameters, including stem-specific hydraulic conductivity, conduit diameter and maximum percentage embolism, were positively correlated, indicating the generality that larger conduit diameter permits greater hydraulic efficiency and is associated with greater seasonal reductions in hydraulic conductivity in this ecosystem. Wood density was not correlated with these traits, but closely associated with species’ ability to withstand more negative water potentials during summer. Long-term integrated water use efficiency was lower in shallow-rooted species that exhibited more negative summer water potentials. Specific leaf area and minimum leaf water potential were correlated with a number of separate traits, and appear to represent key axes of trait variation that describe the water use strategies of different HFTs along the topographic gradient. Five HFTs were classified using a resemblance analysis according to combinations of traits that pertain to different water use strategies among species; year-round active tree,
year-round active shrub, hemiparasite, drought-suppressed broad-leaved shrub and drought-suppressed narrow-leaved shrub.
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Introduction

Transpiration varies both spatially and temporally within plant communities, due to differences in water availability within the soil, atmospheric conditions, and plant form and functioning. In southern Australia, changes to transpiration capacity of landscapes via changes to the vegetation composition and structure that have accompanied land clearing and agricultural practices have had dramatic effects on the hydrologic balance within the landscape. This has caused saline groundwater levels to rise and led to the expansion of dryland salinity (Hatton et al. 2003; Peck and Hatton 2003). Addressing this imbalance requires a better understanding of the relationship between vegetation and landscape hydrology; studying the defining features of native ecosystem water use has the potential to assist with the design of sustainable agroecosystems.

In water-limited Mediterranean-type ecosystems such as south-western Australia, the availability of water is a major factor shaping the structure and composition of plant communities and the diverse array of functional attributes of plants both above- and belowground. There are a broad suite of traits that influence a plant’s ability to respond to variations in water availability including rooting depth and morphology, stomatal response, leaf physiology, leaf phenology and hydraulic architecture. In many cases, associated plant traits cannot be optimised simultaneously owing to underlying biophysical constraints: the
result is trade-offs that may apply across taxa and ecosystems. In relation to plant water use strategies, trade-offs may generally apply to a species’ capacity for rapid growth versus drought hardiness. Recent studies that have addressed global-scale trade-offs in key plant traits, support the concept of functional convergence in plant traits associated with carbon and water balance (Enquist 2003; Meinzer 2003; Reich et al. 1997; Westoby et al. 2002). Studies across several different ecosystems and/or biomes have defined trade-offs with respect to leaf carbon economy and leaf structure (Wright et al. 2004), sapwood density and water transport and safety (Hacke et al. 2001; Preston and Ackerly 2003), and allometry and water transport (Enquist and Niklas 2001; Meinzer et al. 2001). Traits that determine water balance are interrelated and combine to determine a particular plant water use strategy that allows species to maximise their fitness within their environment under daily, seasonal, interannual and even interdecadal fluctuations in water availability.

Gauging the whole suite of water use strategies within a species-rich plant community requires a description of the ecosystem in terms of the specific hydrologic function of individual species. Under the plant functional type concept, species sharing similar ecological function are grouped together using context-specific traits, independent of taxonomic groupings (Woodward and Kelly 1997). The appropriate functional traits are used as screening tools in order to filter species according to their function at a scale that is appropriate to the research question (Keddy 1992). On a broad scale, Mediterranean type ecosystems have generally been divided into two functional types, where classifications based primarily on phenology have identified evergreen sclerophylls and drought-semi-deciduous types, or using response characteristics such as to fire and drought, produced two distinct groups of ‘seeders’ and ‘resprouters’ (Meentemeyer and Moody 2002; Ackerly 2004). These classifications have little application to Mediterranean-type ecosystems of
southern Australia where very few species exhibit any deciduous habits, and may over-
simplify finer-scale distinctions in water use strategies among the species-rich plant
communities of this region. Therefore, we have introduced the concept of the ‘hydraulic
functional type’ (HFT) to examine the water use related structure of plant communities,
growing along topographically determined gradients in soils and water availability.

The occurrence of multiple HFTs in a plant community can be interpreted as a form of niche
partitioning by which co-existence of multiple species is promoted. The ability of species to
fill available niches in space and time maximises resource utilisation and promotes
ecohydrological equilibrium, i.e. water supply and demand are closely matched (Hatton et al.
1997). In water-limited environments such as south-western Australia, overall vegetation
properties such as percentage plant cover are strongly constrained at a regional scale by a
limited set of environmental constraints: precipitation, evaporative demand and soil storage
capacity (Eagleson 1982; Hatton et al. 1997). However, over smaller spatial scales, where
climatic differences do not play a role, shifts in vegetation structure and composition occur
along topographically determined gradients and vegetation may differ considerably in
community-scale transpiration properties. The species-rich plant communities in south-
western Australia are particularly shaped by soil-vegetation associations (Beard 1980), where
local scale community composition is largely defined by topographical variation arising from
the deeply weathered lateritic profiles (Dirnböck et al. 2002). The species-rich communities
located along these topographical gradients are likely to contain differing combinations of
HFTs that optimise plant water utilisation and long-term survival at a given site under local
conditions. For example, at sites with inherent limitations on plant water availability,
unsuitable ‘profligate’ or summer-active HFTs may be absent from the community, with a
trend instead toward conservative HFTs.
We sought to characterise the predominant plant water use strategies and main HFTs present in a subset of species from three native plant communities along a topographical gradient in south-western Australia. We used a ‘bottom-up’ approach starting with the measurement of relevant morphological and physiological traits to group species with similar trait positioning using multivariate analyses. Using this approach, we addressed the following questions. How do differences in soil water availability arising from differences in landscape position affect the overall variation in trait values (within and between sites) and mix of HFTs along this gradient? What are the most important traits associated with particular HFTs? Among the traits used in this study, what are the key dimensions of trait variation that underpin the positioning of HFTs along the water-availability gradient?

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Site**

The study site was located approximately 2 km west of Corrigin, Western Australia, Australia (32º 19’ S, 117º 52’E) at the Corrigin Water Reserve. This 1096 ha remnant of native vegetation is typical of the region prior to land-clearing for agriculture, and contains a range of plant communities and over 500 native plant species. These communities are closely associated with a catenary sequence along the landscape, consisting of heath vegetation on hilltops and giving way to taller woodland communities further down the slope (Beard 1990). The site has gently undulating topography, with 20-30 m change in elevation from valley floor to ridge. Soils change markedly across the landscape, with shallow lateritic duricrusts (coarse sand of 0.1 - 0.2 m depth over laterite) at elevated positions supporting heath vegetation, texture-contrast (coarse sand ~ 0.8 - 1 m depth over gravel/clay) soils further down the slope supporting mixed heath and mallee (‘mallee’ is the Aboriginal term for shrub-
like multi-stemmed eucalypts) communities, and eucalypt woodlands on the deeper finer-textured (sandy loam of 0.1 m over deep clay) valley floors.

Twenty-one prominent species were selected from across these three contrasting community types (Table 1) to incorporate some of the diversity of leaf types, taxa and growth habits within each community. These three sites represent different positions along the catenary sequence and soil depth, and water-storage capacity increases down the slope. In a related study (Mitchell et al. 2008, in prep) leaf areas in five random plots (36 m$^2$ for heath and mallee sites and 100 m$^2$ for the woodland site) at each site were measured and the selected species represented between 60 – 95% of the total projected leaf area in each plot. Mean species richness from these plots was 16.2, 6.4 per 36 m$^2$ for the heath and mallee sites and 3.7 per 100 m$^2$ for the woodland site. Two common root hemiparasitic species, *Santalum acuminatum* (R. Br.) A. DC. (quandong, a shrub or small tree) and *Nuytsia floribunda* (Labill.) Fenzi (Western Australian Christmas tree), were among the species selected. All species selected are evergreen and retain leaves for at least 2 years (personal observation).

The climate at this site is characterised as dry warm Mediterranean, with a long-term annual rainfall of 375 mm, most of which falls during the winter months of May to August. Mean monthly evaporation ranges from 240 – 280 mm during summer months (December-February) and exceeds precipitation for most months of the year. The annual rainfall during the period over which measurements were taken was 352 mm for 2004 and 361 mm for 2005, and only 37 mm fell between December 2004 and February 2005 compared to the 10-year average of 65 mm for this period (Bureau of Meteorology, 2007).

Traits used in this study were chosen to construct an orthogonal species-trait matrix based on
1) their relevance to the central objective of this study, 2) practicalities in their collection and measurement, 3) their ability to define trade-offs in water use or capture responses to changes in water availability. In total there were 16 traits used in the multivariate analyses.

Leaf water relations and specific leaf area

Leaf water potentials ($\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$) at pre-dawn were used to infer average soil water status across the root-soil interface. Spring-time (September) pre-dawn $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ($\Psi_{\text{leaf max}}$) was assumed to be representative of maximum or close to maximum values of leaf water status, and early autumn (March) midday values of $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ($\Psi_{\text{leaf min}}$) were assumed to represent minimum values of leaf water status. Seasonal differences in pre-dawn $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ($\Delta \Psi_{\text{leaf}}$) were also included as a trait to explain shifts in water availability during wet periods (early spring) and dry periods (early autumn). Similarly stomatal conductance ($g_s$) measured in early spring (September, $g_s$ max) and autumn (March, $g_s$ min) represent estimates of maximum and minimum values of $g_s$ for this study. $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ were measured on healthy, mature leaves on 3 – 5 individuals of each species using two PMS-1000 pressure chambers (PMS Instrument Corvallis, OR, USA). Pre-dawn measurements were made on clear, cloudless mornings between 3 00 – 6 00 h, depending on season. Leaves or leafy twigs were cut, bagged in plastic and transferred promptly to the pressure chamber for measurement. Stomatal conductance to water vapour ($g_s$) was measured at mid-morning between 10:00 – 12:00 h as an estimate of daily peak water loss, using the Licor 6400 gas exchange system (Licor Instruments, Lincoln, NE, USA). Chamber CO$_2$ concentration was set at 360 µmol mol$^{-1}$ and photosynthetic photon flux density (red-blue light source) at 1500 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Measurements were made on two healthy, sun-lit and fully expanded leaves from each of four individuals per species.
Six mature leaves were also collected from each species to measure specific leaf area (SLA; m² kg⁻¹). Leaves were scanned using a Licor 6100 (Licor Instruments, Lincoln, NE, USA) to determine leaf area. Leaves were then placed in an oven at 70°C for 48 h for determination of dry mass. SLA was calculated by dividing the leaf area by the leaf dry mass.

Leaf physiological parameters related to turgor maintenance, namely osmotic potential ($\Psi_{\pi 100}$) and maximum bulk modulus of elasticity ($\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$) during spring and summer were taken from related work involving 20 of the 21 species (Mitchell et al. in prep). Pressure-volume analyses were performed on leafy twigs in September 2004 and February-March 2005 collected between 4 00 – 7 00 h. Four – five leaf or leafy twig samples per species were rehydrated and transported to the laboratory, and curves were generated using the bench-drying technique (Turner, 1988). Summer pressure-volume analysis of Actinostrobus arenarius C. A. Gardner was not possible, due to the difficulty of rehydrating the tissue during summer. The two leaf physiological parameters included in this study represent the seasonal shifts in these traits calculated using the difference in summer and spring values, and denoted by $\Delta \Psi_{\pi 100}$ and $\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{max}}$.

Hydraulic conductivity

All stems for hydraulic conductivity measurements were collected in the field between 4 00 and 7 00 h to minimise the effect of diurnal changes in hydraulic conductivity ($K$). Six to eight stems per species were sampled during September 2004 and March 2005. Twig samples were collected on different individuals during each season to minimise the impact of the sampling on the small plants of many of the species. Large leafy branches were cut (distal to the leaves) and bagged in large plastic bags containing moist paper towels, sealed and re-bagged, transported to the laboratory and stored at 4 °C. At the time of measurement
of $K$, stems were recut under water at a length approximately two times greater than the maximum vessel length (0.2 – 0.8 m), and stem widths varying from 3 -10 mm.

The apparatus for measuring hydraulic conductivity was similar to that described by (Sperry 1988). It comprised a reservoir of 0.01 M KCl filtered, deionised water elevated to a height of ~0.3 m thus creating a head pressure of ~0.003 MPa. Small-diameter (6 mm) polypropylene tubing connected the reservoir to a luer lock valve, and silicon tubing connected the valve to the distal end of the stem segment. The proximal end of the stem segment was connected in a similar fashion and the tubing led to a measuring cylinder resting on an electronic balance (Ohaus, NJ, USA; ±0.001 g resolution). The weight of water flowing through the system was logged on a computer at 10 s intervals. Once the stem segment was attached, water was passed through the segment for 2 – 3 mins until a steady flow rate was graphically observed on the computer. This first measurement represented native flow rate. Stem segments were then flushed for 20 mins (using the same solution and pressure from a captive air tank) at ~ 0.03 MPa for the conifer species and at ~ 0.08 MPa for all other species so as not to damage pit membranes whilst flushing. Low pressure was then reapplied for measurements of flow rate at maximum flow rate. Repeated flushing on each species revealed that 20 mins was sufficient to achieve a maximum flow rate. Flow rates were calculated by a linear regression of weight change versus time on the balance. Reservoir and balance water levels were also recorded to calculate the exact pressure head, and from the above parameters hydraulic conductance ($\text{kg s}^{-1} \text{MPa}^{-1}$) and $K$ (after multiplication by length of stem segment; $\text{kg s}^{-1} \text{m MPa}^{-1}$) were calculated. Stem cross-sectional area at the distal end of the stem was used to calculate stem-specific $K$ or $K_S$ ($\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \text{MPa}^{-1}$). The diameter of pith regions in the stem was measured and the total pith area (ranged from 3 – 10 % of total stem cross-sectional area) was subtracted from the total cross-
sectional areas of the stem. Leaf area was also measured on leaves above the proximal end of the stem segments for determination of leaf-specific hydraulic conductivity \( (K_L, \text{ kg m}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ MPa}^{-1}) \). Huber values \( (HV) \) were calculated by dividing the distal cross-sectional area by the total branch leaf area. Percentage embolism for both summer samples was calculated according to:

\[
\% \text{ embolism} = (1 - (\text{native } K_S / \text{maximum } K_S)) \times 100 \quad \text{Equation 1}
\]

Conduit Anatomy

All stem samples of the 21 species used in hydraulic conductivity measurements (above) were used for measurement of conduit diameter. Two transverse sections at both ends of these stem sections were made using a hand microtome at 20 – 30 µm thickness. Intact stem sections were then stained with basic fuchsin, rinsed and transferred to slides for microscopy. Digital images of sapwood material were made using a compound light microscope and digital camera at 50 x (Carl Zeiss Inc., NY). All but one species contained diffuse-porous wood, and only the outer radial half of xylem conduits was included for analysis or, in the case of \( A. \ arenarius \) (conifer), the most recent growth ring. Diameters of conduits were measured using imaging software (Image J, 1.32J, USA Institutes of National Health), by first creating threshold values from the black and white images and then analysing average diameter for all conduits within the sapwood. Hydraulically weighted mean conduit diameter was calculated on each sapwood segment \( (n > 100 \text{ conduits}) \), and a grand mean was determined for each species to determine the relative contribution of the conduit to hydraulic conductivity. This calculation is based on the Hagen-Poiseuille relationship, whereby a conduit’s contribution to the hydraulic conductivity is proportional to the fourth power of its diameter \( (d) \). We used \( (\sum d^4/n)^{1/4} \) to generate the hydraulically weighted mean conduit diameter of each section and species based on this hydraulically weighted distribution. Total
lumen area and conduit density were also calculated on each section by using the sum of the
total conduit areas or the conduit count per image divided by the total area of each analysed
image.

Water use efficiency

The ratio of CO$_2$ assimilation and $g_s$ is a measure of intrinsic water use efficiency (WUE) and
can be assessed using the ratio of the heavier $^{13}$C isotope to $^{12}$C ($^{13}$C/$^{12}$C). This is because
fractionation of $^{13}$C occurs during photosynthetic CO$_2$ fixation and is linearly related to the
concentration of intercellular CO$_2$ relative to atmospheric CO$_2$ ($C_i/C_a$) (Farquhar et al. 1982).
The $C_i/C_a$ value differs among co-occurring species because of interspecific variation in
photosynthetic capacity and/or $g_s$. Thus, analysis of $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C of leaf material provides a time-
integrated measure of intrinsic WUE over the period in which the leaf carbon is assimilated.

Three leaves from the same individuals sampled for leaf water potential during January were
collected for determination of carbon-isotope composition ($\delta^{13}$C). Leaves from the previous
year’s cohort were collected to obtain material > 12 months old. Leaves were oven dried and
ground before being weighed into tin caps on a five-decimal balance before analysis. Carbon
isotope composition was analysed using VG SIRA 9 mass spectrometer (Middlewich, UK)
and $\delta^{13}$C was calculated using:

$$\delta^{\%} = (R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}} - 1) \times 1000 \quad \text{Farquhar et al. 1982}$$

where $R_{\text{sample}}$ and $R_{\text{standard}}$ are the abundance ratios, $^{13}$C/$^{12}$C, of the sample and
the standard, Pee Dee Belemnite (Keeling et al. 1979), respectively.

Biomass allocation

Root:stem cross-sectional areas were used as an indicator of allocation strategy between
stems and roots. Root and stem diameters were measured using digital callipers at 0.1 m from the root junction or lignotuber or above the soil surface (for stems) to avoid large increases in root diameters near the root junction. Three to four individuals per species were excavated using a supersonic air spade (Series 2000, Concept Engineering Group Inc, Verona, PA, USA) that consists of a wand and nozzle that funnels high-pressure air (generated by a compressor) onto the soil, removing soil and fine roots (~< 5 mm) around the plant. Root systems were excavated to depths of ~ 0.8 m, although at the heath and woodland sites excavations were often shallower due to the presence of impenetrable, fused laterite or heavy clay.

Sapwood density

Small 2-3-year-old stem material (~ 3-6 mm diameter) was sampled from six individuals per species in December 2004. Stem segments of ~ 40 mm were cut and the periderm removed and immediately transferred to vials in a portable refrigerator to prevent dehydration of samples. We estimated that the pith region in the stem segments used for density measurements represented < 5% of the total stem cross-sectional area. Fresh wood volume ($V_f$) of these segments was measured using the Archimedes principle. Stem segments were attached to a needle tip supported by a clamp on a retort stand and then lowered into a beaker of water of known mass on a balance ($\pm 0.0001$ g resolution). Each segment was immersed just below the water surface and $V_f$ measured as weight increase owing to the weight of water displaced by the sample. The stem segment was then air dried in an oven at 70 °C for a minimum of 4 days and weighed to determine dry weight ($W_d$). Basic density ($D_{stem}$) of the stem segment was calculated by dividing $W_d$ by $V_f$.

Statistical Analysis
Two-way trait correlations among relevant physiological and morphological traits were assessed by testing whether the Pearson’s correlation coefficient was significantly different from 0 using a 95% confidence interval (Instat+ v.3.036, University of Reading, UK).

A species x trait matrix was produced for multivariate analysis using site as a factor. A natural log transformation was performed on Huber values and all traits were normalised. Missing variables were assigned values using an expectation maximum likelihood algorithm, which assumes a multi-normal distribution model for the data. Only two missing values of $\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{max}}$ and $\Delta \Psi_{\text{n}100}$ were estimated for *A. arenarius*, due to difficulties in rehydrating leaf material in summer. Principal Components Analysis (PCA) was performed using Primer 6.1.5 (Primer-E, Plymouth, UK) on the normalised data as it best preserves distance among the measured variables. Eigen vectors, which are coefficients of the linear combinations of trait variables making up each principal component, were also generated. A one-way SIMPER routine using Euclidean distance was performed on the normalised data to analyse the relative contributions of each trait variable to overall variation within and between sites. A linkage tree analysis was performed using the LINKTREE routine (Primer-E, Plymouth, UK) that creates binary splits of species based on maximising the rank similarity statistic, $R$. At each split the routine provides a measure of the separation between the two groups based on the entire ranked similarity matrix, so that splits further down the y-axis (smaller %B values) have smaller overall differences between groups. To determine discrete HFTs we used a cut off of >50 %B of the overall branch length. The routine also determines which variables or traits are responsible for the greatest dissimilarity between the two groups created in each split.

**Results**
Similarity within and between sites

Results from the one-way SIMPER routine analysis highlighted the degree of similarity in trait values among and between sites (Table 2). Based on average squared distance values, the heath site had the smallest value (9.15) indicating greater similarity between traits among the 13 heath species sampled (Table 2). This is also supported by the principal components analysis, with a smaller degree of scatter between heath species (Fig. 1a) than for the other sites. Woodland species displayed greatest variation in traits with an average squared distance value of 24.95 (Table 2) and a larger degree of scattering within the first two principal components (Fig. 1a). As expected, between-site variation was larger than within site variation particularly between the woodland site and heath and mallee sites (37.89 and 42.53 respectively; Table 2). Simper routine differences for individual traits (data not shown) indicated $\Delta \Psi_{100}$, $g_s$ max and $\Psi_{leaf}$ min were the largest contributors to site variation among heath species (~40% of total trait variation). However, SLA, $g_s$ min and $K_S$ were very similar among heath species, representing only ~10% of the trait variation. In the mallee community differences in SLA, stem hydraulic traits (conduit diameter and max % embolism), wood density and $\Psi_{leaf}$ min accounted for over 50% of the variation. Species differences in the woodland community were due to variation in leaf/stem area allocation ($HV$), hydraulic parameters ($K_S$ and conduit diameter), tissue elasticity ($\Delta \varepsilon_{max}$) and water use efficiency ($\delta^{13}C$), (~45%).

Trait relationships

The first two principal component axes accounted for 64% of the total variation in trait values. Not surprisingly, the hydraulic traits such as $K_S$, conduit diameter and max % embolism in summer clustered together and loaded strongly on the first principal component (PC1; Fig. 1b and Table 3). Accordingly, the three Eucalyptus species and the root
hemiparasite *S. acuminatum* were located in the same direction, indicating a strong positive effect of these hydraulic traits in determining their position (Figs 1a and b). There was also a clustering of other non stem-specific hydraulic traits including $K_S$ and $H_V$ with $\delta C^{13}$ (Fig. 1b, Table 3). Traits such as stem:root cross-sectional areas, $g_s$ min, $\Delta \varepsilon_{\max}$ and $\Delta \Psi_{\varepsilon 100}$ appeared to have a very small effect on the overall species positioning as indicated by their shorter eigenvectors (Fig. 1b). $\Delta \Psi_{\varepsilon 100}$ and $\Psi_{\varepsilon \text{leaf} \min}$ had longer eigenvectors in opposite directions, representing a negative correlation and contributing a greater influence on the overall species positioning in the first two principal component axes (Fig. 1b). Leaf physiological traits $\Delta \Psi_{\varepsilon 100}$ and $\Delta \varepsilon_{\max}$ were positively correlated, (Table 3) and had only a moderate effect on the overall species positioning. SLA loaded strongly on PC1 and was negatively correlated $\Delta \Psi_{\varepsilon 100}$ (Fig 1b, Table 3) and $\Delta \Psi_{\varepsilon 100}$ showed a strong positive relationship to $\Psi_{\varepsilon \text{leaf} \text{at turgor-loss point}}$ (data not shown).

The scatter plots shown in Figure 2 present some of the important relationships between traits among the 21 species. The traits along each of the x-axes: wood density, $\delta^{13}$C and SLA, help to clarify important species relationships and possible key axes of plant strategy with respect to carbon and water balance. Wood density had no relationship to water-transport efficiency (hydraulic conductivity) based on both $K_L$ and $K_S$ (Fig. 2a and b). A negative correlation exists between $\Psi_{\varepsilon \text{leaf} \min}$ and wood density ($r = 0.65 \ P<0.05$, Fig 2c). Additional regression analyses using both total lumen area and conduit density as explanatory variables showed a significant negative relationship between wood density and total lumen fraction ($r = 0.65$, $P<0.05$) and no relationship to conduit density among the 20 angiosperm species. Alternatively, $K_S$ was negatively associated with conduit density ($r^2 = 0.90$, $P<0.01$) and showed no relationship to total lumen area. SLA was negatively correlated to $\Delta \Psi_{\varepsilon \text{leaf}}$ ($r^2 = 0.59$, $P<0.05$) (Fig. 2d) and positively correlated to $g_s$ max ($r = 0.44$, $P<0.05$) (Fig 2e),
particularly in heath species. SLA showed a positive correlation to conduit diameter ($r = 0.51, P<0.05$, Fig. 2f), a trait tightly linked to $K_L$ and max % embolism (Table 3 and Fig. 1b). Leaf δ\(^{13}\)C values correlated positively to $\Delta \Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ($r = 0.48, P<0.05$, Fig 2g) and negatively with minimum $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ ($r = 0.48, P<0.01$, Fig. 2h), but showed no relationship to either $g_s$ min or $g_s$ max (Fig. 2i).

**Species groupings**

The LINKTREE graph shows the hierarchy of branching points among the 21 species, and five groupings of HFT’s were classified based on their water use strategy. These ranged from year-round active tree and shrub, hemiparasite and drought-suppressed broad-leaved and narrow-leaved shrub HFTs (Fig. 3). The initial branching point in the LINKTREE (split i) splits the year-round active tree and shrub HFT from the drought-suppressed shrub and parasite HFTs (Fig 3). The year-round active tree and shrub HFTs diverges strongly from the remaining HFTs, largely due to the maintenance of higher $g_s$ during summer (Fig 3). Split ii separates the year-round active shrub *Grevillea patensiloba*, from the tree groups due to reduced hydraulic transport efficiency ($K_s$, conduit diameter) (Fig. 3). Split iii separates the remaining species (two drought-suppressed shrub types and hemiparasite) and was due largely to differences in values of $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ min (Fig 3) and in leaf physiology and structure. The fourth split separating the hemiparasite HFT from drought-suppressed broad-leaved shrub HFT was due mostly to reduced wood density in the hemiparaste and to greater % embolism in summer and vessel diameter. (Fig. 3). The drought-suppressed broad-leaved shrub HFT tended to have greater δ\(^{13}\)C values, less negative $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ min, larger $\Delta \Psi_{\text{π100}}$ and higher SLA (Fig 1a and b). The drought-suppressed narrow-leaved shrub HFT tended to have lower δ\(^{13}\)C values, less osmotic adjustment between spring and summer ($\Delta \Psi_{\text{π100}}$) and lower SLA (Fig 1a and b). However, species within both of these drought-suppressed shrub HFTs had similar $g_s$.
values during summer, reduced hydraulic efficiency and a large allocation of root biomass, with the exception of the understorey shrub, *Olearia axillaris*.

**Discussion**

*Trait variation and water use patterns along the gradient*

The variability in traits at different sites reflects differences in community structure and adaptations to different water-availability regimes and soils. Thus the water use strategies observed in this study tend to lie along a continuum ranging from year-round active species that have a more buffered response to summer water deficit whereas the drought-suppressed species exhibit significant declines in their water use capacity (Fig 3). The apparent convergence in trait values at the heath site, a site characterised by shallow soil and reduced water availability, suggests that strategies exhibited by most species in this habitat are strongly constrained by the low soil water potentials and limited rooting volumes. These xeric conditions favour increased hydraulic safety at the expense of hydraulic efficiency, more allocation toward root biomass and thicker/denser leaves (lower $SLA$). The availability of water is highly seasonal at this site with average $\Delta \Psi_{leaf}$ values of -3.7 MPa, and differences in the two dominant HFTs, the drought-suppressed narrow- and broad-leaved shrubs are based on variation in leaf physiology ($\Delta \Psi_{100}$ and $\Delta \varepsilon_{max}$) and levels of carbon-isotope fractionation. Not surprisingly, the root hemiparasite *Santalum acuminatum*, was able to overcome these limitations by parasitising its surrounding hosts, and exhibited a water use strategy that more closely resembles the tree species, and hence it was grouped to the year round-active tree HFT.

At the other end of the water-availability gradient, the woodland community had the greatest overall variability in trait values (Table 2). The taller stature of plants at this more mesic site
permits stratification of the plant canopy with concomitant changes to light- and water-harvesting strategies by plants. Consequently, three quite different HFTs were found at the woodland site (Fig 3). Water acquisition at this site appears to be partitioned between year-round-active shrub and tree HFTs and the drought-suppressed shrub HFT. Niche overlap with respect to water resources is reduced among these woodland species by virtue of the deeper soil. Importantly, these deep soils reduce the need for greater below-ground investment (increased stem:root values), support greater leaf area and steady carbon fixation, all of which allow plants of considerable above-ground stature (i.e. trees of 15-25 m) to establish. Beneath these large plants, understorey species comprising differing HFTs can establish. The year round-active shrub *G. patentiloba* maintained high *g*ₘₚₐₐₙ and small seasonal fluctuations in pre-dawn *Ψ*ₗₑᵃᶠ (-1.2 MPa) in keeping with access to deep soil water via its large taproot (*personal observation*), yet on the other hand retains conservative characteristics like reduced *SLA* and reduced hydraulic efficiency (although some of these characteristics may reflect constraints other than water). The deep-rooting habit of *G. patentiloba* reduces competition for soil water with other shallower-rooted understorey species. The shallow-rooted *O. axillaris* experienced large seasonal reductions in pre-dawn *Ψ*ₗₑᵃ fileprivate (-5.2 MPa) and is equipped with many of the attributes common to the heath species; it has been grouped in the drought-suppressed narrow-leaved HFT.

**Trade-offs in water transport and safety**

The hydraulic safety/efficiency trade-off proposed by Zimmerman (1983) and Tyree, (1994) was evident among the 21 species we investigated from strong correlations between conduit diameter, *Ks* and max % embolism (Fig. 1b). However, wood density, which has previously been found to be an important correlate of hydraulic efficiency (Meinzer 2003; Wagner 1998) was not significantly correlated with *Ks* or *KL* (Fig. 2a) or the other hydraulic traits (Fig. 1b).
The lack of such a relationship between these traits comes about because wood density largely reflects lumen area, since the density of woody matter itself is relatively invariant (Siau 1971). Wood density may have little bearing on hydraulic efficiency, since the same lumen area can be divided up into many small (inefficient) conduits or fewer large conduits whose conductivity increases with the 4th power of their diameter. Additional analyses of conduit configuration among the 21 species support the concept that conduit characteristics can vary significantly within a narrow range of wood densities (Searson et al. 2004), leading to large differences in transport efficiencies. Lumen fraction largely determined wood density in the study species, a result consistent with other species in Mediterranean-type ecosystems (Preston and Ackerly 2003) and reflects carbon-allocation strategy within sapwood, yet does not predict the water-transport efficiency through sapwood. These results are supported by Roderick (2001) who used a pipe model to test the sensitivity of flow rate to changes in wood density, and found that flow rate was only sensitive to variation in density in gymnosperm species, due to the relatively small variation in tracheid diameters.

Wood density did correlate negatively to minimum $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ (Fig. 2), a trait that is strongly linked to soil water availability, stomatal response and the hydraulic architecture of many species from a range of different environments (Bhaskar and Ackerly 2006). Because plants often transport water at tensions close to cavitation thresholds in order to maintain water uptake in drying soils (Sperry et al. 2002), $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ min is likely to reflect a minimum operating tension at which ‘catastrophic’ cavitation is avoided and physiological activity is maintained. The relationships observed in this study between wood density, $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ min and hydraulic efficiency traits suggests that different species may have similar wood densities (and lumen fraction within the sapwood) and experience similar minimum xylem tensions in the dry period, yet have very different conduit anatomies and hydraulic efficiencies. It is likely that a variety of
other anatomical factors such as the reinforcement of pit structures to reduce the risk of air-seeding (Sperry and Hacke 2004; Burgess et al. 2006); improve species tolerance to low $\Psi_{\text{leaf min}}$ and permit the observed variation in hydraulic efficiency (via larger conduit diameters and reduced conduit densities) in species with similar wood densities.

504

Water use efficiency and water spending

505 $\delta^{13}$C values indicated greater fractionation of $^{13}$C in species that had less access to water in summer and greater seasonal shifts in water availability (more negative $\Psi_{\text{leaf min}}$, Fig. 2g, 2h) and suggest that these species exhibited less stomatal closure during periods in which leaf carbon was assimilated. The growth period in these species (predominantly from the heath site), is generally in early spring (Pate et al. 1984; Veneklaas and Poot 2003) when pre-dawn $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ values are quite high (Fig. 4a), indicating good access to water, and such conditions may promote less stomatal limitation to CO$_2$ diffusion. Conversely, tree species that exhibited less fractionation and less negative summer $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ initiate growth in early summer, a period during which greater stomatal limitation will occur due to strongly increasing evaporative demand and declining soil water potential. The less-conservative strategy in terms of stomatal control observed in the present shallow-rooted drought-suppressed species has also been observed in grassland communities where species that are physiologically active in the early, less stressful months of the growing season showed more discrimination against $^{13}$C (Smedley 1991).

519

520 The $\delta^{13}$C values reported here represent intrinsic WUE ($A/g_s$), but may not adequately reflect long-term integrated carbon gain ($A$) per unit of water lost in transpiration ($E$) among the 21 different species, because transpiration not only depends on $g_s$, but also on the vapour pressure deficit (VPD), which differs among seasons. Such variation in VPD during growth
periods when most carbon assimilation occurs would alter levels of water loss in leaves for a
given value of \( g_s \). Species comparisons of \( \delta^{13}C \) values are also affected by the variation in
mesophyll resistances for CO\(_2\) diffusion that may change in response to VPD (Bongi and
Loreto 1989), leaf age (Niinemets et al. 2005), temperature (Bernacchi et al. 2002) and a host
of other external and internal factors (Flexas et al. 2007). Therefore, we exercise some
caution when interpreting \( \delta^{13}C \) values to assess whole plant relationships in carbon gain per
unit water lost without a full appraisal of seasonal responses to water availability and VPD
and interspecific and temporal variation in mesophyll resistances.

Leaf structure and water supply

SLA was negatively correlated with seasonal changes in water status (\( \Delta \Psi_{\text{leaf}} \)), hydraulic
efficiency (conduit diameter), and maximum \( g_s \) during spring (Figs 2d-f). SLA is a key trait
that reflects the investment of leaf mass for a given light-harvesting potential, and is strongly
associated with photosynthetic capacity (Field and Mooney 1986; Reich et al. 1998), leaf life
span (Westoby et al. 2002; Wright et al. 2004) and adaptations to water stress (Niinemets
2001). At the woodland site where more favourable soil water availability produces a taller,
stratified canopy there is a larger divergence in SLA values, reflecting the greater diversity of
HFT’s. Consistent with the hypothesis of Wright et al. (2002) that SLA converges in drier
climates, we observed a greater convergence of low SLA values at the sites that were more
xeric, not due to climate but to soil water availability. The SLA-\( g_s \) max relationship we
observed may not represent a mechanistic relationship, but may arise due to selection
pressures that maintain associations of both traits to other leaf photosynthetic traits such as
maximum rates of photosynthesis and leaf nitrogen concentration. For example, lower-SLA
species tend to have lower leaf turnover rates and longer return periods per unit of carbon
investment, and such a strategy would promote more conservative \( g_s \) max in order to maintain
leaf integrity and water balance. These thicker/denser leaves are also able to withstand large seasonal shifts in $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ that occur at the drier sites and display more negative turgor-loss points, a trait that is influenced by both osmotic and elastic properties of leaf tissue (Mitchell et al. in prep).

The HFT approach and key axes of water use strategy

The opposing trends between total species diversity and levels of trait variation across the three sites highlights the value of using HFTs to deconstruct the water use functioning of native vegetation assemblages. Niche differentiation regarding water use does not appear to contribute to the greater species diversity along this gradient, suggesting other factors such as nutrition and disturbance (e.g. fire) need to be invoked to explain these local patterns of biodiversity (Hopper and Gioia 2004). Interestingly, there was a fair degree of trait similarities among species at the genus level (Fig. 3) which suggests that many of these water use traits represent earlier adaptations to water limitation and have been retained among different species of the same genus.

In this study, SLA and $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ min were useful predictors of likely water use strategies and the distribution of species within HFTs that range from year round-active trees to drought-suppressed shrubs is strongly constrained by these two traits (Table 3). Although these two traits were positively correlated ($P<0.05$), they tended to be associated with different suites of traits with SLA and $\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ min loading more strongly on PCA 1 and PCA 2 respectively (Fig. 1 and 2). In this ecosystem where competition for light is of limited relevance, SLA integrates both carbon and water use capacity along the water-availability gradient. This study suggests that SLA, in addition to well established trade-offs concerning the leaf economic spectrum (Wright et al. 2004), is also associated with water-transport efficiency, high $g_s$ and leaf turgor
Ψ_{leaf \text{ min}} represents potential tolerance limits of seasonal water deficit and is affected by soil texture (Sperry and Hacke 2002), depth, availability of water at the root surface and shifts in the daily transpirational demand. Thus, the tolerance of large negative tensions during summer was associated with denser wood (Fig 2c). The importance of both traits is evident in similar ecosystems, such as the California chaparral communities (Ackerly 2004) and is likely to define water use strategies across many vegetation types.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, we suggest the HFT approach not only elucidated the key dimensions of water use strategies in the ecosystem under investigation, but it is also a successful tool for characterising the water use functioning of species-rich vegetation assemblages. The predominance of combinations of different HFTs provide important clues as to the degree of water use partitioning that is possible under differing soil types; information that is vital in southern Australia where there is a need for revegetation methods that maximise the capture of rainfall along soil gradients. For example the convergence of trait values and dominance of the drought-suppressed shrub HFTs at the heath site, suggest only species exhibiting a limited set of suitable traits will be viable in shallow, ridge-top sites. A greater variety of trait combinations are possible for revegetation of mid and bottom slope sites so that the introduction of complementary HFTs at these sites will promote greater water use partitioning and increase total water use capacity. The five HFTs are likely to be broadly applicable to other biomes particularly where water availability is strongly seasonal (although the hemiparasite HFT will be less common), but we expect some plasticity in their groupings along a continuum of water use responses.
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Figures and Tables

Figure 1. First two axis of a Principal Component Analysis of all 21 species. PC1 represents 51% and PC2 represents 29% of the total variation. (a) Species positionings within the multivariate space, with species (abbreviated using genus and species) grouped into hydraulic functional groups from the LINKTREE analysis and are shown by ovals and circles. Site groupings are represented by (●) woodland, (■) mallee and (▲) heath. (b) Correlation coefficients for all variables represented by eigen vectors (solid arrows).

Figure 2. Scatter plots of selected traits in relation to wood density (g m⁻³), long-term integrated water use efficiency (δ¹³C, ‰) and specific leaf area (SLA, m² kg⁻¹) in 21 species. Lines indicate significant values of Pearson’s correlation coefficients using $P < 0.05$. a) Wood density vs. maximum stem-specific hydraulic conductivity ($K_{max}$, kg m⁻² s⁻¹ MPa⁻¹), b) density vs. maximum leaf-specific hydraulic conductivity ($K_{leaf}$, kg m⁻² s⁻¹ MPa⁻¹) and c) density vs. minimum leaf water potential ($\Psi_{leaf \min}$, MPa). d) SLA vs. seasonal change in pre-dawn leaf water potential ($\Delta \Psi_{leaf}$, MPa), e) SLA vs. maximum stomatal conductance ($g_{max}$, mmol m⁻² s⁻¹) and f) SLA vs. hydraulically weighted conduit diameter (µm). g) δ¹³C vs. Huber value (ln HV, m² m⁻²), δ¹³C vs. $\Psi_{leaf \min}$ and i) δ¹³C vs. maximum (solid squares) and minimum (no fill) stomatal conductance ($g_s$, mmol m⁻² s⁻¹).

Figure 3. LINKTREE analysis of all 21 species based on the 16 plant traits. Five hydraulic functional types (HFTs) are assigned based on the grouping of species at %B value of approximately >50 %. The traits that are responsible for each split in the tree are shown and the direction of variation for that trait is shown by plus and minus signs. The $R$ statistic, which is a measure of the degree of separation in the two groups, is given at each split along the tree. Site groupings are represented by (●) woodland, (■) mallee and (▲) heath. A water
use strategy axes (dashed line) has been added to the base of the figure to highlight the likely positioning of species along a continuum ranging from year-round active HFTs to drought-suppressed HFTs.

Table 1. List of the 21 species sampled in this study (grouped by site), taxonomic family, habit, specific leaf area (SLA, m$^2$ kg$^{-1}$, n=6) and height (<1, 1-3 and >3 m intervals).

Woodland site: open, eucalypt-dominated overstorey with shrub understorey, comprising Grevillea patentiloba, Olearia axillaris and other species. Mallee site: scattered Eucalyptus albida (small multi-stemmed tree) and Nuytsia floribunda (root hemiparasite) with shrub understorey. Heath site: dense shrub layer dominated by members of Proteaceae, Myrtaceae and Casuarinaceae, and the root hemiparasite Santalum acuminatum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Habit</th>
<th>SLA (m$^2$ kg$^{-1}$) ± s.e.</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Woodland site</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eucalyptus capillosa</em> subsp. capillosa* Brooker &amp; F.Muell.</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>3.19 0.09</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eucalyptus salmonophloia</em> F.Muell.</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td>Tree</td>
<td>3.36 0.19</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Grevillea patentiloba</em> F.Muell.</td>
<td>Proteaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>1.24 0.08</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Olearia axillaris</em> (DC.) Benth.</td>
<td>Asteraceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>1.52 0.52</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mallee Site</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Actinostrobus arenarius</em> C. A. Gardner</td>
<td>Cupressaceae</td>
<td>Tall shrub</td>
<td>1.78 0.07</td>
<td>1 – 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eucalyptus albida</em> Maiden &amp; Blakely</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td>Mallee</td>
<td>4.29 0.06</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dryandra sessilis</em> (Knight) Domin var. sessilis</td>
<td>Proteaceae</td>
<td>Tall shrub</td>
<td>3.20 0.11</td>
<td>1 – 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Nuytsia floribunda</em> (Labill.) Feni</td>
<td>Loranthaceae</td>
<td>Tree/root hemiparasite</td>
<td>2.21 0.09</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heath site</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Allocasuarina campestris</em> (Diels) L.A.S. Johnson</td>
<td>Casuarinaceae</td>
<td>Tall shrub</td>
<td>1.66 0.08</td>
<td>1 – 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Casuarina humilis</em> (Otto &amp; F. Dietr.) L.A.S. Johnson</td>
<td>Casuarinaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>1.36 0.10</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dryandra vestita</em> Meisn.</td>
<td>Proteaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>2.59 0.08</td>
<td>1 - 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Dryandra cirsioides</em> A.S. George</td>
<td>Proteaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>2.47 0.08</td>
<td>1 - 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Isopogon gardneri</em> D. Foreman</td>
<td>Proteaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>1.53 0.12</td>
<td>1 - 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Isopogon axillaris</em> R.Br.</td>
<td>Proteaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>1.37 0.10</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Beaufortia micrantha</em> Schauer var. puberula* Benth.</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>1.72 0.14</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Melaleuca scabra</em> R.Br.</td>
<td>Myrtaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>1.93 0.39</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Petrophile glauca</em> Foreman</td>
<td>Proteaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>0.98 0.22</td>
<td>1 - 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hakea subvelutina</em> Meisn.</td>
<td>Proteaceae</td>
<td>Tall shrub</td>
<td>1.36 0.04</td>
<td>1 - 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Banksia sphaerocarpa</em> R.Br. var. sphaerocarpa</td>
<td>Proteaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>2.66 0.14</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Hakea cygna</em> Lamont</td>
<td>Proteaceae</td>
<td>Shrub</td>
<td>2.06 0.04</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Santalum acuminatum</em> (R.Br.) A.DC.</td>
<td>Santalaceae</td>
<td>Tree/root hemiparasite</td>
<td>1.62 0.15</td>
<td>1 - 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Average distances (based on Euclidean distance measures) within and between sites using one-way SIMPER routine.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Heath</th>
<th>Mallee</th>
<th>Woodland</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Within-site variation</strong></td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>16.41</td>
<td>24.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heath x Mallee</strong></td>
<td>25.11</td>
<td>37.89</td>
<td>42.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heath x Woodland</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Woodland x Mallee</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Pearson’s correlation coefficients for all pairwise trait comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ min</th>
<th>$\Delta\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$</th>
<th>$\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ max</th>
<th>$g_\text{, min}$</th>
<th>$g_\text{, max}$</th>
<th>$\Delta\Psi_{\pi 100}$</th>
<th>$\Delta\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$</th>
<th>$K_L$</th>
<th>conduit diam.</th>
<th>max % embolism</th>
<th>HV</th>
<th>$K_S$</th>
<th>density</th>
<th>SLA</th>
<th>$\delta^{13}$C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ min</td>
<td>-0.7113</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$</td>
<td>-0.0502</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.6462</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Psi_{\text{leaf}}$ max</td>
<td>0.0338</td>
<td>-0.5536</td>
<td>-0.8035</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_\text{, min}$</td>
<td>0.0654</td>
<td>-0.1976</td>
<td>-0.2844</td>
<td>0.2932</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_\text{, max}$</td>
<td>-0.2742</td>
<td>0.2239</td>
<td>0.0477</td>
<td>0.0523</td>
<td>0.0254</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta\Psi_{\pi 100}$</td>
<td>-0.4358</td>
<td>0.3695</td>
<td>0.0251</td>
<td>-0.0988</td>
<td>-0.0898</td>
<td>0.5185</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>-0.0908</td>
<td>-0.1354</td>
<td>0.1513</td>
<td>0.1680</td>
<td>-0.2519</td>
<td>0.1800</td>
<td>-0.2269</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_L$</td>
<td>0.1269</td>
<td>-0.5262</td>
<td>-0.6399</td>
<td>0.3916</td>
<td>0.0230</td>
<td>-0.3410</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-0.2388</td>
<td>0.8627</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conduit diam.</td>
<td>0.2762</td>
<td>-0.2772</td>
<td>0.0692</td>
<td>0.1386</td>
<td>-0.2493</td>
<td>0.0562</td>
<td>-0.1485</td>
<td>0.8054</td>
<td>-0.1185</td>
<td>-0.1580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max %</td>
<td>0.2133</td>
<td>-0.5733</td>
<td>-0.6351</td>
<td>0.4162</td>
<td>0.3293</td>
<td>-0.0555</td>
<td>0.0596</td>
<td>-0.2782</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HV</td>
<td>0.1269</td>
<td>-0.5262</td>
<td>-0.6399</td>
<td>0.3916</td>
<td>0.0230</td>
<td>-0.3410</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>-0.2388</td>
<td>0.8627</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_S$</td>
<td>0.2762</td>
<td>-0.2772</td>
<td>0.0692</td>
<td>0.1386</td>
<td>-0.2493</td>
<td>0.0562</td>
<td>-0.1485</td>
<td>0.8054</td>
<td>-0.1185</td>
<td>-0.1580</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>density</td>
<td>-0.0333</td>
<td>-0.4164</td>
<td>-0.6902</td>
<td>0.4738</td>
<td>0.4136</td>
<td>-0.3349</td>
<td>-0.0426</td>
<td>-0.3445</td>
<td>0.7317</td>
<td>0.8223</td>
<td>-0.4165</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta^{13}$C</td>
<td>-0.6490</td>
<td>0.4761</td>
<td>0.1006</td>
<td>0.0756</td>
<td>-0.3304</td>
<td>0.0425</td>
<td>0.2265</td>
<td>0.0029</td>
<td>-0.1381</td>
<td>-0.0729</td>
<td>0.1241</td>
<td>0.0274</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$SLA$</td>
<td>0.5894</td>
<td>-0.5939</td>
<td>-0.5810</td>
<td>0.1871</td>
<td>0.4445</td>
<td>-0.3571</td>
<td>-0.1880</td>
<td>-0.1302</td>
<td>0.5126</td>
<td>0.4634</td>
<td>-0.0765</td>
<td>0.5050</td>
<td>-0.3180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stem:root</td>
<td>0.4753</td>
<td>-0.4836</td>
<td>0.0829</td>
<td>0.1793</td>
<td>-0.0379</td>
<td>-0.0317</td>
<td>-0.3040</td>
<td>0.5199</td>
<td>-0.1903</td>
<td>-0.2969</td>
<td>0.3842</td>
<td>-0.2096</td>
<td>-0.1425</td>
<td>0.2990</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Significant result for coefficients are shown in bold for $P<0.05$. 
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