The assembly history of the nearest S0 galaxy NGC 3115 from its kinematics out to six half-light radii
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ABSTRACT
Using new and archival data, we study the kinematic properties of the nearest field S0 galaxy, NGC 3115, out to ~6.5 half-light radii ($R_e$) from its stars (integrated starlight), globular clusters (GCs), and planetary nebulae (PNe). We find evidence of three kinematic regions with an inner transition at ~0.2 $R_e$ from a dispersion-dominated bulge ($V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma < 1$) to a fast-rotating disc ($V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma > 1$), and then an additional transition from the disc to a slowly rotating spheroid at ~2–2.5 $R_e$, as traced by the red GCs and PNe (and possibly by the blue GCs beyond ~5 $R_e$). From comparison with simulations, we propose an assembly history in which the original progenitor spiral galaxy undergoes a gas-rich minor merger that results in the embedded kinematically cold disc that we see today in NGC 3115. At a later stage, dwarf galaxies, in mini mergers (mass ratio < 1:10), were accreted building up the outer slowly rotating spheroid, with the central disc kinematics largely unaltered. Additionally, we report new spectroscopic observations of a sample of ultracompact dwarfs (UCDs) around NGC 3115 with the Keck/KCWI instrument. We find that five UCDs are inconsistent with the general rotation field of the GCs, suggesting an ex situ origin for these objects, i.e. perhaps the remnants of tidally stripped dwarfs. A further seven UCDs follow the GC rotation pattern, suggesting an in situ origin and, possibly a GC-like nature.
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1 INTRODUCTION
The formation of lenticular (S0) galaxies still remains an open question in astrophysics. The S0 morphological classification was first introduced by Hubble (1926), who placed them as the connecting link between spirals and ellipticals in his tuning fork diagram because they share similarities with both spiral and elliptical galaxies, being composed of a central bulge component and a surrounding disc that lacks spiral arms.

S0 galaxies are observed in much larger numbers in the local Universe than at high redshifts, as well as towards the centre of clusters at the expense of spirals (Dressler 1980; Dressler et al. 1997). This evidence, combined with their morphological structure, has long suggested that S0s could be an evolution of spiral galaxies that quenched their star formation and lost their spiral arms due to the interaction with the dense environment (e.g. Butcher & Oemler 1978a, b). The physical processes responsible for this may involve ram-pressure stripping, tidal interactions, or galaxy harassment (e.g. Gunn & Gott 1972; Larson, Tinsley & Caldwell 1980; Bekki 2009; Bekki & Couch 2011; Merluzzi et al. 2016). All these processes can explain the presence of S0s in cluster environments, but not in the field, where interactions with the environment are limited, if non-existent. Thus, the presence of field S0s widens the range of possible formation scenarios, such as past or recent major/minor mergers, gas accretion on to compact ellipticals, or secular evolution processes, such as disc instabilities or feedback events (e.g. Bekki 1998; Bournaud, Jog & Combes 2005; Naab et al. 2014; Bassett....

One commonly proposed scenario for the formation of massive early-type galaxies (ETGs) is the two-phase model, e.g. Oser et al. (2010), Rodriguez-Gomez et al. (2016). According to the model, these galaxies form in an early phase of rapid collapse or major merger at $z \geq 2$, during which they form most of their stellar mass from the in-falling cold gas towards the central regions (in situ component), and at later epochs, $z \leq 2$, they keep growing mass from the accretion of satellite galaxies on to the outer regions via minor mergers (ex situ component). Hence, it is expected to find stellar kinematic and stellar population transitions within a galaxy at different radii (e.g. Wu et al. 2014; Cook et al. 2016; Ferré-Mateu et al. 2019).

Recent integral field spectroscopy (IFS) surveys, e.g. SAURON (Bacon et al. 2001), ATLAS$^{3D}$ (Cappellari et al. 2011), SAMI (Croom et al. 2012), MASSIVE (Ma et al. 2014), MaNGA (Bundy et al. 2015), and CALIFA (Sánchez et al. 2016), as well as multi-object spectrograph surveys, e.g. SLUGGS (Brodie et al. 2014), have been revolutionary in obtaining stellar kinematics, as well as spatially resolved stellar populations, of large samples of ETGs. From the stellar population analysis of the MaNGA S0 galaxy sample, Fraser-McKelvie et al. (2018) found that the main discriminator in the formation of S0s is their mass, instead of the environment in which they are located. They suggested that massive S0s, with an older and more metal-rich stellar population in the bulge than in the disc, formed through an inside–out quenching scenario, while low-mass S0s, with younger bulges than the discs, formed through an outside–in quenching scenario (faded spirals), involving episodes of gas accretion and inflow that induced star formation events near the bulge.

On the contrary, Coccato et al. (2020) found that cluster S0s are more rotationally supported (consistent with a faded spiral scenario), while field S0s are more pressure supported (minor mergers influence) from their spatially resolved kinematic studies of a sample of 21 cluster and field S0s. This suggests that there is a wide range of physical processes playing a role in the formation of S0 galaxies, which depend on environment. However, they did not find the same dependence on the environment from the stellar population properties of their S0 sample.

From a stellar kinematic analysis, as part of the SLUGGS survey, Bellstedt et al. (2017) suggested that low-mass S0s are likely formed through secular processes from fading spirals rather than mergers, although recent merger events could not be excluded. Based on early SLUGGS data, Arnold et al. (2014) found evidence of fast-rotating discs embedded in slower rotating spheroidal components, suggesting a two-phase model for most of their ETGs. Foster et al. (2016) also found evidence of embedded discs in their observed stellar kinematics of ETGs in the SLUGGS survey, consistent with a two-phase model. Foster et al. (2018) found only a very small fraction of embedded disc structures from the stellar kinematics of galaxies from the SAMI survey. However, they suggested that this could be due to sample selection effects or to the limited extension of their kinematic data not reaching beyond $\sim 2.5 \ R_e$. Forbes et al. (2016b) found that most of the SLUGGS ETGs were formed through gas-rich minor mergers and were characterized by a mass growth of the outer regions at later epochs, which supports a two-phase model.

Stellar kinematic studies of ETGs are limited as they usually do not extend beyond $\sim 1–2 \ R_e$ or $\sim 3–4 \ R_e$ in the case of the SLUGGS survey. This is because the stellar surface brightness decreases very steeply and, as a result, it becomes difficult and time consuming to observe at large radii. The galaxy outskirts enclose large fractions of the galaxy stellar mass, angular momentum, and accretion signatures (see e.g. fig. 1 in Brodie et al. 2014) and are, thus, highly relevant for galaxy formation and evolution studies (e.g. angular momentum as discussed in Romanowsky & Fall 2012). For this reason, discrete tracers such as globular clusters (GCs) and planetary nebulae (PNe) have been used as probes of the outskirts of galaxies as they are more luminous and, thus, can be observed out to larger radii, i.e. $\sim 8–10 \ R_e$, than the starlight. Recent surveys were able to obtain photometric and spectroscopic measurements for a large number of GC and PNe systems around ETGs, namely SLUGGS (Brodie et al. 2014; Forbes et al. 2017a) and the extended Planetary Nebula Spectrograph Survey (ePNS; Pulsoni et al. 2018).

Many works have used these large GC and PNe systems to investigate the kinematic properties of ETGs out to large galactocentric radii as they are both found to be good tracers of the underlying stellar population and stellar surface brightness profile (e.g. Romanowsky 2006; Coccato et al. 2009; McNeil et al. 2010; Arnold et al. 2011; Cortesi et al. 2011, 2013a; Coccato, Arnaboldi & Gerhard 2013; Pota et al. 2013; Cortesi et al. 2016; Hartke et al. 2017; Forbes et al. 2018; Zanatta et al. 2018). Simulations by Bekki et al. (2005) found that major mergers can significantly boost the rotation of both the red and blue GC subpopulations out to large radii, while minor mergers are responsible for increasing the random motion in the outer regions of galaxies, causing the corresponding fall-off of the $\sigma_\text{rot}/\sigma$ profile which is observed in some S0 galaxies at large radii (e.g. Arnold et al. 2011; Zanatta et al. 2018).

Another class of compact stellar objects, which can be useful kinematic tracers of galaxies out to large radii, are the ultracompact dwarfs (UCDs). UCDs were first discovered in the centre of the Fornax cluster (Hilker, Infante & Richtler 1999; Drinkwater et al. 2000), and were later observed in a range of environments (Chilingarian et al. 2011; Jennings et al. 2014; Penny et al. 2014; Liu et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2015; Voggel, Hilker & Richtler 2016; Forbes et al. 2017a). UCDs are defined to be more massive, more luminous and larger than typical GCs. From previous studies, they are characterized by dynamical masses $M^\text{UCD} > 10^7 \ M_\odot$ (i.e. $\sigma \sim 20–50 \ \text{km s}^{-1}$), half-light radius $10 \ pc < R^\text{UCD} < 100 \ pc$, and typical absolute V magnitudes $M^\text{V} \sim -10.25 \ \text{mag}$ (Hilker et al. 2007a, b; Chilingarian et al. 2011; Mieske, Hilker & Misgeld 2012; Norris et al. 2014). Observations have found a range of UCDs with slightly different properties between each other, thus suggesting that some are consistent with being massive star clusters, while others are more consistent with nucleated dwarf galaxies that have been tidally stripped (e.g. Bekki et al. 2003; Hilker et al. 2007a, b; Frank et al. 2011; Liu et al. 2015). Simulations have found that UCDs may be the product of tidally stripped nucleated dwarf galaxies (e.g. Bekki et al. 2003; Bekki 2018; Pfeffer et al. 2016; Goodman & Bekki 2018) and that the range of physical sizes observed for UCDs is a result of the different possible orbits and number of pericentric passages of the dwarf galaxy (Pfeffer & Baumgardt 2013).

In this work, we perform new Keck/KCWI spectroscopic observations of a sample of UCDs around the nearest field S0 galaxy, NGC 3115, which were initially identified with Hubble Space Telescope (HST) photometry by Jennings et al. (2014, hereafter J14). We aim to understand the origin of the UCDs in NGC 3115 from the comparison with the velocity field of the GCs. For this purpose, we study the kinematic properties of NGC 3115 by combining the comparison with the velocity field of the GCs. For this purpose, we study the kinematic properties of NGC 3115 by combining the comparison with the velocity field of the GCs.
observed, PNe (Pulsoni et al. 2018) systems. In Zanatta et al. (2018), they found evidence of a disc-like to spheroid-like transition with radius in NGC 3115 by studying the kinematics of its GC and PNe systems and they proposed a series of minor mergers as the likely formation scenario for this galaxy. The large galactocentric radii (i.e. \( \sim 6.5 R_e \)) probed by combining the above kinematic data sets allows us to study the presence of distinct kinematic transitions within NGC 3115. From this we describe a possible formation pathway for NGC 3115 and the origin of its UCDs.

This paper is structured as follows: in Section 2.1–2.3, we describe our selected sample of UCD candidates from J14, our observations, and the data reduction. In Section 3, we describe the kinematic analysis of our observed UCDs. In Section 4, we describe our kinematic data sets for NGC 3115, namely the SLUGGS integrated starlight (hereafter SLUGGS stars), GCs, and PNe. In Section 5, we test the symmetry of our kinematic data sets. In Section 6, we present our kinematic results. Here, we also compare our measured UCD velocities with the underlying GC velocity field. In Section 7, we discuss our kinematic results and propose a formation scenario for NGC 3115 based on comparison with simulations of galaxy formation. In Appendix A (available online), we show the HST images of the 31 UCDs listed in J14. In Appendix B (available online), we show the UCDs identified as background galaxies from our spectroscopic analysis and, finally, in Appendix C (available online), we briefly summarize some issues we found with the UCDs described in J14. Throughout the paper we adopt \( d = 9.4 \) Mpc as the distance to NGC 3115 (Brodie et al. 2014).

2 DATA

2.1 UCD sample selection

In this work, we select our sample of NGC 3115 UCD candidates from J14. J14 studied the GC system of NGC 3115 using the Hubble Space Telescope/Advanced Camera for Surveys (HST/ACS). They obtained photometric \( g \) and \( z \) magnitudes and half-light radii measurements for 360 GCs. Among these objects, they also identified 31 UCD candidates around NGC 3115 (see J14, table 3). To distinguish between the UCD candidates and the GCs, size was used as the main selection criterion, i.e. half-light radius \( R_h > 8 \) pc, for the UCDs under the assumption that they lie at the NGC 3115 distance. We note, however, that Cantalupo et al. (2015) pointed out some issues with the J14 UCD selection criteria, such as the presence of clear background contaminants in their sample of 31 selected UCDs. Therefore, we refine the UCD selection process, initially performed by Jennings et al. (2014), by visually inspecting the HST/ACS mosaic images of the field around NGC 3115, where all 31 UCD candidates lie. In this way, we select a final sample of UCD candidates for observation and we reject all other objects as background contaminants based on the following visual selection criteria:

(i) they have a shape significantly different from a round spherical GC-like one;
(ii) they have clear features that suggest a background galaxy, e.g. spiral arms;
(iii) they lie very close to the main galaxy, NGC 3115, and they are thus heavily contaminated by the galaxy light.

We exclude the objects satisfying the latter criterion because they would be hard to observe and isolate from the background galaxy light. Figs A1 and A2 of Appendix A show snapshots of the 13 selected and 13 rejected UCD candidates, respectively. The remaining 5 UCDs are shown separately in Fig. A3 since these objects were already spectroscopically confirmed members of NGC 3115 from their radial velocity measurements (Pota et al. 2013). In Table 1, we show the list of all 31 UCD candidates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UCD</th>
<th>RA (°)</th>
<th>Dec. (°)</th>
<th>( m_g ) (mag)</th>
<th>Exp. time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>151.3021600</td>
<td>−7.7355605</td>
<td>19.118</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>151.2218766</td>
<td>−7.7327286</td>
<td>20.796</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>151.3448200</td>
<td>−7.7344961</td>
<td>20.919</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>151.3199700</td>
<td>−7.7700997</td>
<td>21.156</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>151.2891841</td>
<td>−7.7723922</td>
<td>20.850</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>151.2874967</td>
<td>−7.7732089</td>
<td>20.941</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>151.3741900</td>
<td>−7.6955633</td>
<td>21.454</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>151.3182500</td>
<td>−7.7340325</td>
<td>21.209</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>151.2904600</td>
<td>−7.7393686</td>
<td>21.669</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>151.3438800</td>
<td>−7.7470892</td>
<td>22.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>151.2734585</td>
<td>−7.7342803</td>
<td>22.383</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>151.2570407</td>
<td>−7.6970918</td>
<td>22.335</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>151.3160800</td>
<td>−7.6916319</td>
<td>22.699</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>151.3259000</td>
<td>−7.7242011</td>
<td>22.529</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>151.2894000</td>
<td>−7.6638911</td>
<td>22.258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>151.3458600</td>
<td>−7.6930417</td>
<td>22.224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>151.3693100</td>
<td>−7.6728572</td>
<td>22.265</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17a</td>
<td>151.3694104</td>
<td>−7.6731225</td>
<td>22.065</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>151.2543398</td>
<td>−7.7673075</td>
<td>22.689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>151.3036600</td>
<td>−7.6752959</td>
<td>22.440</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>151.3290000</td>
<td>−7.7312989</td>
<td>22.657</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>151.2549097</td>
<td>−7.7510601</td>
<td>22.842</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>151.2999590</td>
<td>−7.7639179</td>
<td>22.645</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>151.3402900</td>
<td>−7.6925642</td>
<td>22.443</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>151.2907999</td>
<td>−7.8078349</td>
<td>22.736</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>151.2916910</td>
<td>−7.7087320</td>
<td>22.803</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>151.3211688</td>
<td>−7.7692710</td>
<td>22.557</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>151.3629300</td>
<td>−7.6982394</td>
<td>22.874</td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>151.2896603</td>
<td>−7.7839174</td>
<td>23.409</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>151.2487414</td>
<td>−7.7048524</td>
<td>22.841</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>151.2889769</td>
<td>−7.7638849</td>
<td>23.038</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>151.2377746</td>
<td>−7.7306950</td>
<td>23.422</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Observations

We use the Keck Cosmic Web Imager (KCWI; Morrissey et al. 2018), which is an integral field spectrograph on the 10 m Keck II telescope on Mauna Kea, to observe the UCD candidates described in Section 2.1 and shown in Fig. A1. The observations were conducted on the night of 2019 January 16 (Program ID: W142). We used the Medium slicer with a field of view (FoV) of 16.5 arcsec \( \times 20.4 \) arcsec, \( 2 \times 2 \) binning, and low-resolution (BL) grating. We set the central wavelength to 4550 Å. Overall, this set-up provides a nominal resolving power \( R = 1800 \) and a wavelength coverage of \( 3500 < \lambda (< 5600). \) The FoV of the Medium slicer also ensures that we have enough area around each UCD candidate to perform background subtraction.
At the end of the observing night, we took exposures of the standard star, Feige 34, for the flux calibration. The seeing conditions varied between 1 and 2 arcsec during the observations. Table 1 also lists the UCDs observed and their total exposure times.

2.3 Data reduction

We use the KCWI Data Extraction and Reduction Pipeline (KDERP, https://github.com/Keck-DataReductionPipelines/Kcw iDRP) to reduce the raw data and obtain the final 3D data cubes. The KDERP pipeline consists of eight data reduction stages, which are described in detail in the Github repository.

When reducing our raw data we skip the sky subtraction stage, as we prefer to perform a manual sky subtraction on the final reduced 3D data cubes due to the presence of the high background galaxy gradient in most of the data cubes. We stack the reduced, non-sky-subtracted data cube of each individual exposure for each UCD candidate, obtaining the final 3D cubes for each UCD.

3 ANALYSIS

We visualize the final reduced UCD data cubes with the publicly available QFitsView1 program and extract the spectrum of each object by taking a circular annulus around each UCD. We choose an inner radius so as to maximize the signal coming from our object and an outer radius so as to best remove the sky + galaxy (i.e. NGC 3115) background contribution nearby the object.

The UCD results that we obtain in Sections 3.1–3.3 will be discussed in detail with their implications in Section 7.4.

3.1 UCD radial velocities

To measure the radial velocities of our observed UCDs, which are listed in Table 1, we use the penalized pixel-fitting method (pPXF) initially developed by Cappellari & Emsellem (2004) and later implemented by Cappellari (2017). We use the E-MILES (Vazdekis et al. 2016) stellar library as template spectra at the constant spectral resolution of FWHM = 2.51 Å. These stellar population synthesis models have ages up to 14 Gyr and metallicities of −2.27 < [Z/H] < −0.40. Because the resolution of the stellar library is very similar to that of the KCWI instrument (FWHM ≈ 2.53 Å at λ = 4550 Å), it is not necessary to convolve the spectral templates resolution to that of our observed spectra in pPXF. This corresponds to σ_{int} ≈ 71 km s^{-1} at the central wavelength.

We run pPXF with the default values of the multiplicative Legendre polynomials and bias parameters, while we use additive Legendre polynomials of the 10th order. We perform the pPXF fit on the full wavelength range of 3600 < λ(Å) < 5550, restricting it only in those cases where it would provide an improvement in the fit residuals. In fact, we find that excluding the bluer wavelengths, i.e. between 3600 and ~ 3800 Å, provides better fit residuals for some UCDs. We adopt this narrower wavelength range in the pPXF fit, even though it does not significantly change the recovered radial velocity, which is still consistent within the uncertainties.

Table 2 summarizes the radial velocities and 1σ uncertainties for each UCD candidate as recovered by pPXF. The radial velocities are converted to the heliocentric system, where the heliocentric velocity correction is calculated using the baryvel function within the PYASTRONOMY2 (Czesla et al. 2019) program, considering the location of the Keck telescope and the date of the observations. We calculate a heliocentric velocity correction ΔV_{heliocorr} ∼ 20 km s^{-1}, which we add to the measured radial velocity of each UCD.

Table 2 also lists the UCD IDs with the wavelength range over which we perform the fit and the S/N of the observed object spectrum. For one object (UCD 3) we do not measure a radial velocity due to the low S/N.

We also measure the galaxy (i.e. NGC 3115) radial velocities where the background galaxy gradient is stronger. We recover a heliocentric radial velocity of V_{helio,1} = 520.0(±9.0) km s^{-1} and V_{helio,2} = 458.0(±4.5) km s^{-1} with S/N = 18 at two locations in the FoV of the UCD 1 and UCD 9 data cubes, respectively. We confirm that these radial velocities are consistent with those of NGC 3115 at nearby positions by comparing them with the SLUGGS and MUSE (Guérou et al. 2016) stellar kinematic measurements (see Fig. 3).

Fig. 1 shows the pPXF best fits to each UCD spectrum. The two candidates (UCD 11 and 12) that we identify as background objects from their radial velocity measurements are described in Appendix B. In each plot of Fig. 1, we label the main absorption lines.

In summary, we obtain spectra for 9 objects, out of the initial 14 UCD candidates (see Fig. A1), plus 1 ‘bonus’ object using the KCWI instrument. From the spectral analysis, we conclude that 7 are NGC 3115 members, having a radial velocity consistent with that of the galaxy, 2 are background objects at higher redshifts, and 1 cannot be confirmed spectroscopically. We therefore have radial velocities for a total of 12 UCDs that are consistent with being members of NGC 3115, where 7 come from our KCWI spectral analysis and 5 come from previous work (Pota et al. 2013). In Fig. 7, we show the 2D spatial distribution of the 12 UCDs on the plane of the sky around NGC 3115.

3.2 Stellar populations of UCD 1

Due to the low S/N of the observed UCDs, we perform a stellar population analysis only for UCD 1, which is the brightest of the confirmed UCDs with S/N = 26.

We use a non-regularized parametrization, which provides a result that is more similar to the outcome of using the line-strength method, procuring single stellar population like star formation histories. We obtain mean mass-weighted estimates of age = (12 ± 2) Gyr and

---

1http://www.mpe.mpg.de/~ott/QFitsView/
2https://github.com/sczesla/PyAstronomy
The assembly history of NGC 3115

3.3 UCD sizes

We remeasure the sizes of all the 31 UCD candidates identified in J14 by fitting a Sérsic function (Sersic 1968) to each object within the QFITSVIEW program. The final size of each object is given by calculating the average of the sizes measured separately in the $g$ and $z$ filters on the HST/ACS images. This method of measuring the sizes does not take into account the instrumental point spread function (PSF), meaning that the sizes that we recover will be an overestimate of the true intrinsic size. For the physical sizes of the objects we have assumed that they are located at the NGC 3115 distance (see Table 3).

We generally measure similar sizes to J14, who measured the half-light radius of all their 31 UCD candidates from the full width at half-maximum of a King (King 1962) profile fitted using the ishape tool (Larsen 1999). However, for three objects (namely UCDs 3, 6, and 7), which are all part of our selected sample of UCD candidates shown in Fig. A1 and in two cases are spectroscopically confirmed members of NGC 3115 in Table 2, we measure much smaller sizes than J14. We measure $\sim 5$ pc for UCDs 3 and 6, while J14 found them both to be 70.6 pc. For UCD 7 we measure $\sim 6$ pc, as opposed to the 73.6 pc of J14 (see also Appendix C for a summary of the issues we encountered with the UCD candidates described in J14).

In Fig. 2, we show as examples the model and residuals of the Sérsic fits to the selected UCDs of Fig. A1, i.e. UCD 3 (top), 6 (middle), and 7 (bottom), for which we find the largest discrepancy with the J14 size estimates. From the 2D residuals, we notice that two UCDs are well represented by the fitted function, while the residuals are slightly worse in the case of UCD 6.

3 NGC 3115 KINEMATIC TRACERS

4.1 Stellar kinematics

The stellar kinematics of NGC 3115 used in this work come from Arnold et al. (2011, 2014) and were obtained using the Stellar Kinematics from Multiple Slits (SKiMS) technique (Foster et al. 2009, 2011; Proctor et al. 2009). These data, obtained with the Keck/DEIMOS instrument (Faber et al. 2003), contain 166 measurements of not only the galaxy kinematics with their locations.

Table 3. Summary of NGC 3115 parameters. The values are taken from Brodie et al. (2014), except for the stellar mass (from Forbes et al. 2017b).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Distance ($d$)</td>
<td>9.4 Mpc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effective radius ($R_e$)</td>
<td>35 arcsec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photometric axial ratio ($q_{phot}$)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photometric position angle ($PA_{phot}$)</td>
<td>40°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic velocity ($V_{sys}$)</td>
<td>663 km s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellar mass ($\log (M/\text{M}_\odot)$)</td>
<td>10.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

metallicity $[Z/H] = -1.0 \pm 0.3$, indicating that UCD 1 is old and metal-poor. The errors are computed to account for the different results of using other methods such as the absorption line-strength analysis using the most commonly used pairs of line indices, i.e. H$\beta$ versus [MgFe] (e.g. Thomas & Maraston 2003).

3.3 UCD sizes

We remeasure the sizes of all the 31 UCD candidates identified in J14 by fitting a Sérsic function (Sersic 1968) to each object within the QFITSVIEW program. The final size of each object is given by calculating the average of the sizes measured separately in the $g$ and $z$ filters on the HST/ACS images. This method of measuring the sizes does not take into account the instrumental point spread function (PSF), meaning that the sizes that we recover will be an overestimate of the true intrinsic size. For the physical sizes of the objects we have assumed that they are located at the NGC 3115 distance (see Table 3).
Figure 2. HST/ACS images in the g filter. From left to right we show the 2D image, model, and residuals (i.e. image − model) from fitting a Sersic function to the three UCDs 3, 6, and 7 for which we find the largest difference with respect to J14 size estimates. The residuals in the z filter are very similar and give similar sizes. The number on the top left of the image indicates the UCD ID. The measured size of each UCD is summarized in Table C1.

(8.5) but also a quality flag. We use therefore only the stellar kinematic data deemed as good, i.e. flag = A. This way, we have a final catalogue of 126 stellar kinematic measurements with radial velocities over the range 400 < V (km s$^{-1}$) < 1000. We complement the SLUGGS stellar kinematics data with the MUSE data from Guérout et al. (2016), publicly available in the form of 2D spatially resolved maps.

Fig. 3 shows the SLUGGS and MUSE (Guérout et al. 2016) stellar kinematics data of NGC 3115 as 2D sky-projected maps, colour coded using the same velocity scale. We represent the 1–6 $R_\text{e}$ photometric ellipses, rotated by the $P\text{A}_{\text{phot}}$ and flattened by the photometric axial ratio $q_{\text{phot}}$ of the galaxy, as black dashed lines (see Table 3 for the values of the NGC 3115 photometric parameters). We emphasize the larger extent of the SLUGGS stellar kinematics data (which extend out to $\sim 4R_\text{e}$), as compared with the Guérout et al. (2016) data. The latter, in fact, extend out to only $\sim 2R_\text{e}$ but do probe the central regions of NGC 3115 with a pixel scale of 0.2 arcsec per pixel, unlike SLUGGS that probes only beyond $\sim 1R_\text{e}$. Additionally, we see that the stellar system displays good symmetry with respect to the galaxy major and minor axes and that it is strongly rotating around the minor axis, i.e. $P\text{A}_{\text{kin}} \approx P\text{A}_{\text{phot}}$.

4.2 The globular cluster system

NGC 3115 has a large number of GCs, 150 of which have been confirmed with spectroscopic analyses (Arnold et al. 2011; Pota et al. 2013; Forbes et al. 2017a). This GC catalogue, observed as part of the SLUGGS survey, contains the radial velocities with associated uncertainties and locations for each object, and it has been cleaned to exclude foreground star contaminants (Arnold et al. 2011). Photometry is available for all the GCs with $g$, $r$, and $i$ magnitudes obtained from Subaru/Suprime-Cam images (Arnold et al. 2011; Pota et al. 2013). Additionally, as part of SLUGGS, J14 measured the half-light radii, $g$ and $z$ magnitudes for 360 GC candidates to which they added 421 additional GC candidates from Suprime-Cam (Arnold et al. 2011). This led to a final catalogue of 781 GC candidates, 176 of which were spectroscopically confirmed (Arnold et al. 2011; Pota et al. 2013).

In our analysis, we use a catalogue of 191 unique GCs around NGC 3115 by combining and matching the individual spectroscopic catalogues from J14 and Forbes et al. (2017a). This final catalogue has a radial velocity measurement for each GC in the range $350 < V (\text{km s}^{-1}) < 1200$, where the lower limit excludes the foreground stars. 145 of these velocity measurements have associated uncertainties of the order of 5–10 km s$^{-1}$. The remaining 46 GCs do not have quoted uncertainties. For these objects, we assume an average uncertainty of 20 km s$^{-1}$.

Fig. 4 shows the observed velocities of the final GC catalogue plotted as a function of the galactocentric radius, $R/ R_\text{e}$, where for the galactocentric radius, $R$, of each object we have adopted the equivalent circular radius defined as

$$R_\text{circ} = \sqrt{q_{\text{phot}}(\Delta X)^2 + (\Delta Y)^2/q_{\text{phot}}}.$$  \hfill (1)

In the above equation (1), $\Delta X$ and $\Delta Y$ are the Cartesian coordinates of each object measured from the galaxy centre along its
photometric major and minor axes, respectively. The $q_{\text{box}}$ parameter is defined in Table 3.

We divide the GC sample into two subpopulations by implementing a colour split ($g - i = 0.93$ Brodie et al. 2012) to distinguish between the red, metal-rich (100 objects, red triangles in Fig. 4) and the blue, metal-poor (91 objects, blue dots) GC subpopulations.

4.3 The planetary nebulae system

The PNe catalogue of NGC 3115 used in this work comes from the ePN.S survey of ETGs (Pulsoni et al. 2018). This is currently one of the largest extragalactic PNe surveys, obtaining kinematic measurements of large samples of PNe in 33 ETGs. Because PNe are strong [O III] 5007 Å emitters, they can be observed far out from the galaxy centre in regions of low surface brightness. Additionally, they have been shown to be good kinematic tracers of the stellar component in the host galaxy, and therefore can be used as probes of the properties of the underlying stellar population in the haloes of ETGs (e.g. Romanowsky 2006; Hartke et al. 2017). The PNe catalogue of NGC 3115 contains 189 objects with radial velocities over the range $140 < V < 1100$ km s$^{-1}$ and mean uncertainty of $\delta V = 20$ km s$^{-1}$ (Pulsoni et al. 2018). We do not apply any velocity cuts to the catalogue. The surface density profile of the PNe of NGC 3115 has been shown to agree well with the stellar surface brightness profile (Cortesi et al. 2013b), which means that we can effectively use PNe as proxies of the stars at large radii in NGC 3115. Fig. 4 shows the observed velocities of the PNe system plotted as a function of the galactocentric radius, $R/R_e$, with $R$ defined as in equation (1).
Figure 5. Symmetry tests. Top panels: velocities of our kinematic catalogues plotted as a function of the position angle, $\Phi$, of each tracer for all radii. We compare the velocities of the tracers on one side of the galaxy, $V(0^\circ < \Phi < 180^\circ)$ (yellow squares), with those on the other side of the galaxy, $V(180^\circ < \Phi < 360^\circ)$ (magenta circles), folded with respect to the photometric major axis of NGC 3115. The black curve is the best-fitting rotation model curve to all our kinematic data defined in equation (3). Bottom panels: measured value of the difference, $\Delta V$ (km s$^{-1}$), between the individual velocities of our tracers at their position angle, $\Phi$, from the fitted rotation model curve defined in equation (3). The corresponding histograms are shown in the form of cumulative density functions, normalized to one, with a bin width of 10. On the top left of each panel, we indicate the probability value, $P_0$, for the null hypothesis that the two functions are drawn from the same distribution is true.

For each one of our kinematic catalogues, we measure the difference between the velocity of each tracer at its position angle $\phi$ from the best-fitting model curve of equation (5). In the form of histograms, we plot the measured velocity difference of the tracers with $0^\circ < \phi < 180^\circ$ (yellow line) and that of the tracers with $180^\circ < \phi < 360^\circ$ (magenta line). The histograms are given as cumulative density distributions, normalized to one, and such that each bin has a width of $\Delta V = 10$ km s$^{-1}$.

663 km s$^{-1}$ of NGC 3115 from the observed radial velocity of all our tracers. We highlight that in Section 6.2, we do take the kinematic axial ratio parameter, $q_{\text{kin}}$, into account in the full modelling process.
We indicate the recovered PNe, SLUGGS stars, red, and blue GCs, respectively. In Fig. 5, the results from Pulsoni et al. (2018), who have found that the PNe NGC 3115 are similar. We apply the axisymmetric with respect to its photometric major axis, we can end up with a final catalogue twice as large as the original to be used in the following section.

6 RESULTS

6.1 2D kinematic maps

We produce the kriging maps for all our kinematic data sets, described in Sections 4.1–4.3, after folding each data set with respect to the photometric major axis of the galaxy. By doing this, we aim to improve the spatial resolution of the maps. This folding is important for the discrete tracers, i.e. GCs and PNe, in order to obtain a better velocity dispersion measurement from them. This is less important for the stellar kinematics as their velocity dispersion is an integrated line-of-sight quantity, (unlike GCs and PNe which are discrete tracers).

For the GCs and PNe, we spatially bin our folded catalogues such that each bin contains the 15 nearest objects. From this we calculate the average velocity and the velocity dispersion (from the standard deviation of the tracer velocities from the mean) in each bin. These averaged velocity and velocity dispersion measurements, in each bin, are used to generate the 2D kinematic maps described below.

6.1.1 SLUGGS integrated starlight

The left-hand side of Fig. 6 (first row) shows that the velocity of the stars of NGC 3115 is characterized by a strong rotational signature which is well aligned with the overall photometric major axis of the galaxy out to ~ 4 R_e. Previous works had already highlighted the rotationally supported feature of NGC 3115, characterized by a steep rise of the line-of-sight velocity to V_los ~ 260 km s^{-1} and by a subsequent flattening within the inner ~ 2 R_e from the galaxy centre (Rubin, Peterson & Ford 1980; Capaccioli et al. 1993; Kormendy et al. 1996). In Fig. 6, the rotation velocity rises very steeply to values ~ 200 km s^{-1}, at ~ 1 R_e, and then it remains roughly constant with some weak evidence of decrease beyond ~ 2 R_e. Along the minor axis, the velocity map does not show any signs of significant rotation. The stellar velocity dispersion map on the right-hand side of Fig. 6 shows a higher dispersion, ~ 150 km s^{-1}, within the central regions of the galaxy that extends along the photometric minor axis.

Along the photometric major axis, the velocity dispersion is lower, correspondingly to the regions of high rotation amplitude in the velocity map, with values of ~ 100 km s^{-1} beyond ~ 1 R_e. The velocity dispersion remains then roughly flat along the entire major axis.

6.1.2 PNe

The PNe tracers also show a rise in rotation velocity at ~ 2 R_e along the major axis (second row of Fig. 6, left-hand side). Here, the velocity reaches a maximum of ~ 180 km s^{-1} followed by a decrease beyond 2 R_e and out to ~ 5–6 R_e, where it flattens to lower velocity values down to ~ 60 km s^{-1}. The velocity dispersion map of the PNe (on the right-hand side of Fig. 6) is overall constant at ~ 150 km s^{-1}. There is a region of higher velocity dispersion that is offset from the major axis between ~ 3 and 4 R_e. However, this does not correspond with any peculiarity in the velocity map, hence it could simply be an artificial feature due to the folding technique and not represent any real feature.

6.1.3 GCs

The third and fourth rows of Fig. 6 show the 2D kinematic maps of the red and blue GCs, respectively. From the 2D velocity maps, we notice that both GC subpopulations show a significant amount of rotation along the major axis with a steep rise in velocity to ~ 180–200 km s^{-1}, followed by a decrease to ~ 100 km s^{-1} at larger radii. However, the red and blue GC velocity maps differ from each other as the former peaks between ~ 1 and ~ 2 R_e, while the...
Figure 6. From top to bottom: 2D velocity (left) and velocity dispersion (right) kinematic maps of the SLUGGS stars (integrated starlight), PNe, red and blue GCs of NGC 3115. The kriging maps are produced using the folded catalogues with respect to the photometric major axis of the galaxy. The small open circles indicate the positions of the tracers where their kinematics were extracted and the black solid lines represent the iso-velocity contours. The black dashed ellipses are the 1–6 $R_e$ and 10 $R_e$ photometric ellipses (Table 3). The 2D maps are normalized with respect to the velocity scale bar on the right.
The assembly history of NGC 3115

6.1 Figure 6—continued

Figure 7. 2D map of the UCD velocities relative to the underlying GC velocity field. This 2D map is similar in structure to the 2D maps in Fig. 6. The UCD velocities are normalized by \( V_{UCD} - V_{GC, \text{field}} \), where \( V_{GC, \text{field}} \) is the folded red + blue GC velocity field. We remind the reader that the figure is oriented North towards the positive \( \Delta \text{Dec.} \) direction and East towards the positive \( \Delta \text{RA} \) direction. This means that the underlying GC velocity field has positive velocity, i.e. receding with \( V_{\text{obs}} - V_{\text{sys}} > 0 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), towards North-East, while it has negative velocity, i.e. approaching with \( V_{\text{obs}} - V_{\text{sys}} < 0 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), towards South-West.

latter peaks at larger radii between \( \sim 2 \) and \( \sim 3 R_e \). The velocity dispersion maps show colder ‘spots’ in the corresponding regions of high rotation velocity, but are overall constant in the rest of the maps.

6.1.4 The GCs rotation field versus UCD velocities

We now compare the velocities of the UCDs of NGC 3115 with the velocity field of the full (red + blue) GC catalogue. We include the UCDs from our KCWI spectral analysis (7 objects including UCD 17a) and the 5 from the literature (Pota et al. 2013; Jennings et al. 2014) for a total of 12 objects.

In Fig. 7, we show the 2D map of the UCD velocities relative to the underlying GC velocity field, i.e. the UCD velocities are normalized by \( V_{UCD} - V_{GC, \text{field}} \). Here, the observed velocity of each UCD, \( V_{\text{obs}} \), is already subtracted by the \( V_{\text{sys}} \) of NGC 3115 (see Table 3). A \( V_{UCD} - V_{GC, \text{field}} \sim 0 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) means that the UCD velocity is consistent with the underlying GC velocity field at the UCD location and therefore the UCD is following the rotation of the underlying GCs. The larger the absolute difference \( V_{UCD} - V_{GC, \text{field}} \), the less the UCD velocity is consistent with the underlying GC velocity field, meaning that the UCD is oddly rotating with respect to the underlying GCs. In a similar way, the corresponding 1D plot in Fig. 8 quantifies the difference between the UCD and GC velocities. Fig. 8 shows the red + blue GCs (black points) and the UCDs (red squares) radial velocities as a function of the position angle, \( \Phi \), of the objects. This figure is comparable in structure to Fig. 5. The black solid line represents the rotation model curve, given by the simple cosine rotation law of equation (5), fitted to the combined GC velocities with the corresponding counter-rotating model curve shown as dashed black line.

From Figs 7 and 8, we see that UCD 5, 6, 7, 9, 16, 17, and 27 are consistent with the underlying GC velocity field, as they all lie within the GC scatter from the best-fitting model rotation curve (see Fig. 8), while the other UCDs are not. In Fig. 8, we highlight one object, UCD 23, which is counter-rotating with \( V_{\text{obs}} - V_{\text{sys}} = -84 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) with respect to the underlying GCs. UCD 15, between 8 and 10 \( R_e \), is instead much faster rotating with \( V_{\text{obs}} - V_{\text{sys}} = 215 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) than the underlying GCs in that region, i.e. \( \sim 60 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). Similarly, UCD 17a shows a much higher velocity, \( V_{\text{obs}} - V_{\text{sys}} = 375 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), than that of the GCs (i.e. \( \sim 120 \text{ km s}^{-1} \)). UCD 1, close to \( \sim 1 R_e \), is also faster approaching towards us with \( V_{\text{obs}} - V_{\text{sys}} = -213 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) than the underlying GCs at \( \sim -100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). Finally UCD 8, at \( \sim 3 R_e \) along the minor axis, displays a significant rotation with \( V_{\text{obs}} - V_{\text{sys}} = 181 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) relative to the underlying GCs, which do not show a net rotation along the minor axis.

6.2 Kinemetry

In order to model our kinematic data and to facilitate comparison between the integrated kinematics of the stars with the discrete
kinematics of GCs and PNe, we use the method developed by Krajnović et al. (2006) called kinemetry.3

We have seen in Fig. 5 that all our kinematic catalogues are well described by the simple cosine law given in equation (5) and that they are axisymmetric with respect to the major axis of the galaxy. From Section 6.1, we have seen that the overall PA$\text{kin}$ is also well aligned with the PA$\text{phot}$ of NGC 3115, which is typically expected for fast-rotating ETGs and for axisymmetric systems in dynamical equilibrium (Statler 1994; Cappellari et al. 2011; Krajnović et al. 2011). So, to apply kinemetry to fit our kinematic tracers, which include PNe and GCs, as well as the stellar kinematic data described in Sections 4.1–4.3, we adopt a similar procedure as in Proctor et al. (2009), Foster et al. (2016), and Bellstedt et al. (2017) for fitting sparse and non-homogeneous data sets. We manually bin our data in elliptical annuli of varying sizes such that each bin contains 10 data points. Then we extract the best-fitting kinematic moments at the radius of each bin by fitting equation (3) and equation (4) within kinemetry. In this way, we compromise between having enough data points in each bin and a sufficient number of bins to reliably extract the kinematic moments at the different radii. For our purposes, we focus only on recovering the first- and second-order moments, $V_{\text{rot}}$ and $\sigma$, of the line-of-sight velocity distribution (LOSVD) as, due to the sparse nature of our kinematic catalogues, we do not have enough signal to noise to accurately calculate the higher order moments, $h_n$ ($n > 2$), of the LOSVD as well. The kinemetry chi-squared minimization process to calculate the best-fitting kinematic moments is carried out separately for each radial ellipse, starting from the central annulus and moving outward until the edges of the map are reached, and is explained in detail in Foster et al. (2016).

When running kinemetry with sparse and non-homogeneous data sets, it is common to fix the value of the kinematic axial ratio to the photometric one, i.e. $q_{\text{kin}} = q_{\text{phot}}$ (e.g. Arnold et al. 2011; Foster et al. 2013, 2016; Bellstedt et al. 2017), as this provides better stability in the results. In fact, $q_{\text{kin}}$ is not well constrained in the case of sparse data sets, even when the data are well-sampled and of good quality. In their work, Foster et al. (2016) mentioned that the choice of fixing $q_{\text{kin}}$ to the photometric value can underestimate the recovered $V_{\text{rot}}$ profile by up to 10–20 per cent.

In this work, we first run kinemetry on the 2D MUSE stellar velocity and velocity dispersion maps of NGC 3115 from Guérout et al. (2016). These MUSE data are spatially resolved continuous maps of kinematic measurements around the galaxy out to $\sim 2.5 R_e$. These well-sampled data allow us to calculate also the best-fitting kinematic parameters, PA$\text{kin}$ and $q_{\text{kin}}$, at the different radii together with the rotation velocity and velocity dispersion moments. In doing so, we find that the PA$\text{kin}$ is very well aligned with the PA$\text{phot}$ of NGC 3115 (see Table 3) at all radii probed by Guérout et al. (2016). We also find that $q_{\text{kin}} \sim 0.2$ at $\sim 0.4 R_e$, while $q_{\text{kin}} \sim 0.45$ beyond $\sim 0.5 R_e$ and out to $\sim 2.5 R_e$ where the rotation velocity flattens to constant values. The velocity dispersion has the opposite trend in the sense that it has a maximum in the central regions within $\sim 0.2 R_e$ and then it flattens to lower values out to $\sim 2.5 R_e$.

When running kinemetry on the SLUGGS stars, we find that both the $q_{\text{kin}}$ and PA$\text{kin}$ are still well constrained to $\sim 0.5$ and $\sim 45^\circ$, respectively. The $q_{\text{kin}}$ value also agrees well with the one we recover from the MUSE (Guérout et al. 2016) maps between $\sim 1$ and $2.5 R_e$, i.e. the overlapping radii of these two data sets, even though it has much larger errors indicative of the fact that it is not as well constrained as from the MUSE data. The PA$\text{kin}$ value is, instead, well constrained and it suggests a small misalignment with respect to the Guérout et al. (2016) stellar data and PA$\text{phot}$ of NGC 3115.

On the contrary, $q_{\text{kin}}$ is less well constrained for the GCs and PNe when left free to vary. We perform some tests with kinemetry to check the effect of fixing $q_{\text{kin}}$ on our kinematic profiles. We find that $q_{\text{kin}}$ has no significant impact on the shape of the rotation velocity profile, and only the amplitude varies. However, we find that the amplitude difference of the rotation velocity is not significant, i.e. $\lesssim 5$ km s$^{-1}$, and is contained within the 1σ uncertainties. For this reason, we fix $q_{\text{kin}} = 0.5$ for calculating the rotation velocity and velocity dispersion profiles of both the red and blue GCs and PNe. This is also the value constrained from the stellar kinematics beyond

\[^{3}\text{http://davor.krajnovic.org/idl/}\]
Figure 9. From top to bottom: 1D profiles of the kinematic position angle, PA$_{\text{kin}}$, the rotation velocity, $V_{\text{rot}}$, the velocity dispersion, $\sigma$, the rotation velocity to velocity dispersion ratio, $V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma$, and the kinematic axial ratio, $q_{\text{kin}}$, for the PNe (green line), blue and red GCs (blue and red lines, respectively), SLUGGS (black line) and MUSE (i.e. G16 for Guérou et al. 2016; dashed line) stars (integrated starlight). The $q_{\text{kin}}$ is only shown for the SLUGGS and MUSE data as it is kept fixed to $q_{\text{kin}} = 0.5$ for the red/blue GCs and PNe. The radial kinematics are recovered from fitting along radial ellipses using the KINEMETRY program. The shaded regions represent the 1$\sigma$ uncertainties calculated from running 500 bootstrapped samples obtained by sampling with replacement each one of our kinematic data set (similarly to Foster et al. 2013). Overall, the profiles show three smooth kinematic transitions: from the dispersion-dominated ($V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma<1$) bulge at $\sim0.2R_e$, to a fast-rotating disc and finally to a slowly rotating spheroid ($V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma<1$) seen at $\sim2–2.5R_e$ for the red GCs and PNe, and at $\sim5R_e$ for the blue GCs.

We also test the impact of a fixed PA$_{\text{kin}}$ as opposed to leaving it free to vary. We find that both the shape and amplitude of the recovered rotation velocity and velocity dispersion profiles do not vary significantly. Specifically, we find that the blue GCs have a good kinematic alignment with the overall PA$_{\text{phot}}$ of the galaxy, while the red GCs and PNe display very similar PA$_{\text{kin}}$ profiles with misalignment of up to $\sim(20\pm10)^\circ$ between $\sim2–4R_e$ with respect to the PA$_{\text{phot}}$ of the galaxy and the PA$_{\text{kin}}$ of the MUSE and SLUGGS stellar kinematics.

Fig. 9 shows the results of our KINEMETRY fits performed on each kinematic data set. For the GC and PNe, we use the averaged velocity and velocity dispersion measurements from the nearest-neighbour binning, as described in Section 6.1 for the 2D kinematic maps. In this plot, from top to bottom, we show the kinematic position angle, PA$_{\text{kin}}$, the recovered rotation velocity, $V_{\text{rot}}$, the velocity dispersion, $\sigma$, the rotation parameter, $V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma$, and the kinematic axial ratio, $q_{\text{kin}}$, for the stellar kinematics from SLUGGS and MUSE (Guérou et al. 2016), as it was fixed for the red/blue GCs and PNe, as a function of the ratio $R/R_e$.

We observe good agreement between the kinematic profiles of the MUSE (Guérou et al. 2016) and the SLUGGS stellar data at $\sim1–2R_e$ where they overlap. We find evidence of an offset of $\sim20\,\text{km s}^{-1}$ between the Guérou et al. (2016) and SLUGGS stellar velocity dispersion profiles in the overlapping region, similarly to the offsets found by Foster et al. (2016) between the ATLAS 3D and SLUGGS stellar kinematic data. This offset was discussed by Foster et al. (2016) to be likely due to the more aggressive binning performed in ATLAS 3D in the outskirts of galaxies to reach the desired target S/N. For the MUSE stellar kinematics, Guérou et al. (2016) did perform a similar spatial binning in order to reach a target S/N = 50 per Å in each spatial bin. However, the velocity dispersion offset that we observe in Fig. 9 is included within the 1$\sigma$ uncertainties of the SLUGGS stars. The $V_{\text{rot}}$ profile shows a steep rise to the maximum rotation of $\sim270\,\text{km s}^{-1}$ at $\sim0.4R_e$ and
then it remains constant to \(\sim 220 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) beyond \(\sim 0.6 R_e\). The \(\sigma\) profile has a very high peak at \(\sim 240 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) in the central regions (within \(0.2 R_e\)) after which it decreases and remains constant to \(\sim 140 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) until \(\sim 2 R_e\). There is a hint of decrease in the stellar rotation velocity profile beyond \(\sim 1.8 R_e\), which is slightly visible in Guérou et al. (2016) data and continues in the complementary SLUGGS stellar data out to \(\sim 3.5 R_e\). This feature is, however, not visible in the stellar \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma\) profile which remains roughly flat out to \(\sim 3.5 R_e\) as both the rotation velocity and velocity dispersion decrease together over the range \(2–3 R_e\).

The decrease of the rotation velocity, as well as of \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma\), at larger radii is more evident in the GC and PNe tracers, extending to \(\sim 6.5 R_e\). We observe that the red GCs and PNe have very similar kinematic profiles beyond \(\sim 2.5 R_e\). There is a small amplitude mismatch in the \(V_{\text{rot}}\) and \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma\) profiles of the red GCs and PNe at \(\sim 2–2.5 R_e\), with the PNe displaying higher rotation which very well agrees with that of the stars in that region.

Specifically, the red GCs display a smooth transition from \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma > 1\) to \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma < 1\) at \(\sim 2 R_e\), with \(V_{\text{rot}}\) reaching its maximum (\(\sim 170 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)) between \(\sim 1\) and \(\sim 2 R_e\). The \(\sigma\) has the opposite trend with higher dispersion beyond \(\sim 2 R_e\), where the \(V_{\text{rot}}\) goes down. The PNe also display the same smooth transition of the \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma\), as the red GCs, occurring at \(\sim 2.5 R_e\), beyond which both PNe and red GCs have overall flat \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma \sim 0.5\) profiles out to \(\sim 6 R_e\). The velocity dispersion of the PNe has its peak at \(\sim 3 R_e\), which roughly matches with the transition region from \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma > 1\) to \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma < 1\), and its profile is also overall consistent with that of the red GCs.

On the other hand, the blue GCs show a slightly different rotation velocity profile with higher amplitude at \(\sim 3–5 R_e\). The velocity dispersion remains overall flat at all radii out to \(\sim 6.5 R_e\). As a result, the corresponding \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma\) profile is very similar to the \(V_{\text{rot}}\) profile. Although the uncertainties are greater at large radii, there is an indication that the blue GCs also transition from \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma < 1\) to \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma > 1\) at \(\sim 3 R_e\), which then transitions back to \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma < 1\) beyond \(\sim 5 R_e\) and out to \(\sim 6.5 R_e\), following a similar behaviour as the red GCs and PNe out to \(6 R_e\).

We emphasize that our 1D kinematic results are overall in good agreement with the previous 2D velocity and velocity dispersion maps (see Section 6.1).

7 DISCUSSION

7.1 NGC 3115 kinematics

In Section 6, we studied the kinematic properties of NGC 3115 out to \(\sim 6.5 R_e\) from the 2D velocity and velocity dispersion maps and 1D profiles of our discrete tracers (red, blue GCs and PNe) and stars.

We find that all our discrete tracers, as well as the stars, are consistent with being axisymmetric (see Section 5) and show a strong rotation which is overall aligned with the PA\(_{\text{phot}}\) of NGC 3115 (see Table 3) with small kinematic misalignment of maximum \(\sim 5^\circ\) for the SLUGGS stars and \(\sim 10–20^\circ\) for the red GCs and PNe (see Section 6.2). A similarly small kinematic misalignment was found for the PNe tracers by Pulsoni et al. (2018). These results suggest that NGC 3115 has reached a dynamical equilibrium state with its discrete tracers, i.e. GC subpopulations and PNe, which are corotating with the stars with similar velocity amplitudes as shown in Figs 6 and 9.

We find good overall agreement between the red GC and the PNe kinematic profiles. The stellar (Guérou et al. (2016) + SLUGGS) \(V_{\text{rot}}\) profiles have similar amplitude to the PNe at \(\sim 2 R_e\), beyond which they decline out to \(\sim 3.5 R_e\) following the rotation velocity profile of both the red GCs and PNe, even though the \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma\) remains flat (see Fig. 9). This suggests that both the red GCs and PNe are tracing the kinematics of the underlying stellar population, which is in agreement with previous observational works that found that both tracers follow the stellar surface brightness profile (Coccato et al. 2009; Cortesi et al. 2013b; Zanatta et al. 2018) and therefore, for this reason, they can be effectively used as proxies for the stars in galaxy kinematic studies at large radii (Romanowsky 2006; Hartke et al. 2017; Forbes et al. 2018).

At \(\sim 2–2.5 R_e\) we identify a smooth transition from disc-like \((V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma > 1)\) to spheroid-like \((V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma < 1)\) kinematics for both the red GCs and PNe. The red GC and PNe population located beyond \(\sim 2–2.5 R_e\) are likely dominated by the slower rotating \((V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma < 1)\) spheroidal component of NGC 3115, as found by Cortesi et al. (2013a) for the PNe. At smaller radii, within \(\sim 2–2.5 R_e\) red GCs and PNe are likely dominated by the stellar disc component, given that their kinematics is very similar to that of the stars. The first transition from spheroid-like to disc-like kinematics occurs at \(\sim 0.2 R_e\) and is visible from the Guérou et al. (2016) stellar kinematics.

The blue GCs display different \(V_{\text{rot}}\) and \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma\) profiles (the velocity dispersion remains roughly flat at all radii) compared to the red GCs, having higher amplitudes at larger radii (\(\sim 3–5 R_e\); see Fig. 9). We also see this in the corresponding 2D maps in Fig. 6. Previous work on the GC kinematics of NGC 3115 found this difference between the 1D \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma\) profiles of the red and blue GC subpopulations (e.g. Arnold et al. 2011; Pota et al. 2013; Zanatta et al. 2018). Zanatta et al. (2018) found \(V_{\text{rot}}\) profiles for the red, blue GCs and PNe that differ slightly from our results. They found that the red GCs and PNe have similar profiles out to large radii, but the \(V_{\text{rot}}\) amplitude of the PNe is slightly higher and more similar to that of the blue GCs than the red GCs. The difference between Zanatta et al. (2018) and our results could be due to the use of slightly different GC catalogues and to their bulge–disc likelihood analysis in which they rejected some GC objects. However, the results are consistent when comparing the \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma\) profiles of the red and blue GC subpopulations. For the PNe, Zanatta et al. (2018) did not show the \(V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma\) profile.

The different kinematic behaviour between the blue and the red GC subpopulations could suggest a physical difference. As blue GC systems are typically more extended than the red ones (i.e. the blue GCs are located at larger physical distances from the galaxy), projection effects would shift velocities towards larger radii. Thus projection effects would tend to increase the observed difference between the blue and the red GCs kinematics profiles.

Additionally, while the red GCs are generally expected to have formed \textit{in situ} within the host galaxy and so they should trace the kinematic properties of the underlying stellar population, the blue GCs are generally expected to have formed \textit{ex situ} and accreted on to the host galaxy during mergers with satellite dwarf galaxies and so they should trace the properties of the galaxy halo (e.g. Forbes & Remus 2018; Forbes et al. 2018). However, this does not exclude that a fraction of the blue GCs also formed \textit{in situ} within the galaxy. Indeed Choksi & Gnedin (2019) predicted for similar stellar masses as NGC 3115 (Table 3), that around half of the blue GCs are accreted, while the rest have an \textit{in situ} origin within the galaxy (see their fig. 6). The red GCs are, in contrast, expected to have almost all formed \textit{in situ} with just a small fraction accreted.

The disc-like kinematics \((V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma > 1)\) displayed by the blue GCs between \(\sim 3 \text{ and } 5 R_e\) and the subsequent possible smooth transition
Table 4. Radii at which we identify a change in the $V_{rot}/\sigma$ profiles of our discrete tracers and stars in Fig. 9. Column 1: kinematic data sets. Column 2: positive gradient of $V_{rot}/\sigma$, Column 3: negative gradient of $V_{rot}/\sigma$. A positive gradient means a change from spheroid-like ($V_{rot}/\sigma < 1$) to disc-like ($V_{rot}/\sigma > 1$) kinematics and vice-versa for a negative gradient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data</th>
<th>$\Delta V_{rot}/\sigma &gt; 0$</th>
<th>$\Delta V_{rot}/\sigma &lt; 0$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stars</td>
<td>$\sim 0.2 R_e$</td>
<td>$\sim 2 R_e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red GCs</td>
<td>$\sim 2.5 R_e$</td>
<td>$\sim 5 R_e$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PNe</td>
<td>$\sim 5 R_e$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue GCs</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sim 5 R_e$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2 Comparison to simulations of galaxy formation

From hydrodynamical simulations of ETGs with stellar masses of $2 \times 10^{10} M_\odot < M_* < 6 \times 10^{11} M_\odot$, Naab et al. (2014) identified six different classes of galaxies from their present-day shapes and kinematic properties that formed through different evolutionary paths. According to their results, fast rotator galaxies may be a result of either gas-rich minor or major mergers or late gas-poor major mergers, i.e. class A, B, D in Naab et al. (2014), respectively. However only the remnants of gas-rich mergers show evidence of an embedded cold disc feature along the major axis, as we observe in NGC 3115, and this feature is stronger in gas-rich minor merger (mass ratio $< 1:4$) remnants (class A) than in gas-rich major merger (mass ratio $> 1:4$) ones (class B).

The observed stellar kinematic properties of NGC 3115 from Figs 6 and 9 are consistent with a formation history characterized by gas-rich minor mergers ($< 1:4$), similarly to what was found also in Forbes et al. (2016a). These class A remnants are classified as regular fast rotators with symmetric velocity fields and display a peaked profile in the stellar spin parameter, $\lambda_R$, which is typically used as a proxy for the angular momentum of galaxies and is analogous to the $V_{rot}/\sigma$ parameter in this work (see Fig. 9). The simulated velocity dispersion maps of the class A remnants show a depression along the major axis of the galaxy corresponding to the regions of the fast-rotating cold kinematic disc feature, similar to the observed velocity dispersion map of NGC 3115 (see Fig. 6). Additionally, the class A merger remnants also show a strong $V_{rot}/\sigma$ versus $h_3$ anticorrelation. Forbes et al. (2016a) studied the higher order moments of the LOSVD, i.e. up to $h_4$, for the stellar component of a sample of 24 ETGs and found evidence of an anticorrelation in the $V_{rot}/\sigma$ versus $h_3$ in NGC 3115 (see their fig. A4), in agreement with an assembly history characterized by gas-rich minor mergers.

Similar conclusions were also reached by Schulze et al. (2020) in their hydrodynamic cosmological simulations of the stellar kinematics in galaxies out to $\sim 5 R_e$. Specifically, they find that galaxies with a peak and subsequent decreasing $V_{rot}/\sigma$ profile are typically characterized by the accretion of low-mass dwarf galaxies at later epochs (i.e. $z < 2$) through minor (1:10 < mass ratio < 1:4) or mini (mass ratio < 1:10) mergers that build up the stellar halo and increase the random motion at large radii without altering the already stabilized central rotation of the galaxy, which formed at early epochs (i.e. $z > 2$).

Similarly, Wu et al. (2014) studied the stellar LOSVD of a sample of 42 zoom-in cosmological hydrodynamical simulations of ETGs out to large radii, i.e. $5 R_e$. From their simulated 2D stellar kinematic maps and 1D profiles, they found that gas-rich major and minor mergers can produce the present-day central fast rotator galaxies with rising or flat or slightly decreasing $V_{rot}/\sigma$ profiles. Specifically, gas-rich major mergers are likely to produce fast-rotating systems with pronounced disc component at all radii, while gas-rich minor mergers are more likely to shape the embedded cold disc kinematic feature of galaxies with declining or flat stellar velocity profiles at large radii. On the contrary, a galaxy showing little or no rotation about both its major and minor axes is likely the result of multiple minor mergers. However, Wu et al. (2014) did not mention the mass ratio range of their minor and major mergers.

Bournaud et al. (2005) investigated the morphological and kinematic properties of the galaxy remnants resulting from a wide range of mass ratios, i.e. 1:1 to 1:10, and found that mergers with mass ratios between 1:5 and 1:10 are able to produce discy remnants, similar to S0s, with hotter disc kinematics, i.e. mean $V_{rot}/\sigma < 1$ for 1:4.5 mass ratios, as well as discy remnants more rotationally supported, i.e. mean $1 < V_{rot}/\sigma < 2$ for 1:7 and 1:10 mass ratios, where the 1:10 mass ratios can even produce rotation dominated systems with mean $V_{rot}/\sigma < 2$, referred to as disturbed spirals, defining the transition mass ratio between intermediate and minor mergers. On the contrary, major mergers with mass ratio 1:1 to 1:3 are rarer and likely to produce elliptical remnants. That minor mergers may be a possible mechanism for the formation of S0s was also previously studied by Bekki (1998), even though his simulation was not able to reproduce S0s with the observed thin stellar discs (i.e. embedded discs).

From Fig. 9, we see stellar $V_{rot}/\sigma \sim 1–1.8$ at all radii out to $\sim 3.5 R_e$, except in the central regions within $0.2 R_e$ where the system is dispersion-dominated (i.e. $V_{rot}/\sigma < 1$). The red GCs, PNe and blue GCs have $1 < V_{rot}/\sigma < 1.5$ at $\sim 1–2 R_e$, $2–2.5 R_e$, and $3–5 R_e$, respectively, with a decrease to $V_{rot}/\sigma < 1$ beyond $\sim 2–2.5 R_e$ for the red GCs and PNe and, possibly, beyond $\sim 5 R_e$ for the blue GCs.

Simulations of GC kinematics in galaxy remnants formed through major or minor merger events were carried out by Bekki et al. (2005). According to their results, major mergers (mass ratio $\sim 1:1$ in their simulations) can produce flattened spatial distributions of both the red and blue GC subpopulations, whose major axes are aligned with that of the stars, and show a significant degree of rotation. Specifically, the rotation is greater in the outer regions, while the velocity dispersion profile is roughly flat or declining with radius. Globally $V_{rot}/\sigma < 1$ for both the red and blue GC subpopulations. These latter properties are inconsistent with those that we observe (see Fig. 9), i.e. the declining $V_{rot}/\sigma$ profile at larger radii, and the different $V_{rot}/\sigma$ profiles of the two GC subpopulations.
Figure 10. Schematic diagram summarizing the main stages that contributed to the formation of NGC 3115. From left to right, a gas-rich satellite undergoes a minor merger with a spiral galaxy, resulting in an S0-like fast-rotating disc. This is followed by a phase of mini mergers that grows the outer spheroid stellar mass. UCDs and additional GCs are also accreted in this phase. These mini mergers were not strong enough to destroy the central disc-like kinematics of the other tracers.

This argues against a major merger event for the formation of NGC 3115. A minor merger (mass ratio $\sim 1:10$ in Bekki et al. 2005 simulations) is, however, a more plausible scenario as it is predicted to produce a higher rotation velocity ($V_{\text{rot}}$) in the blue GCs than in the red ones and, at the same time, a small amount of rotation in both GC subpopulations in the outer regions. We do observe a larger $V_{\text{rot}}$ amplitude of the blue GCs between $\sim 3$ and $5 R_e$ as compared to the red ones and the decline of the rotation velocity at larger radii in both GC subpopulations (see Fig. 9).

We note that the simulations of Bekki et al. (2005) are not an exhaustive study, and for this reason a more thorough investigation is needed in order to determine how factors such as mass ratio, orbital eccentricity, relative inclinations, and initial conditions of the GCs affect the final red and blue GC kinematics in the remnant galaxy. With this caveat in mind, the GC kinematics also appear consistent with an early gas-rich minor merger (mass ratio $\sim 1:4$–$1:10$), as suggested from simulations of stellar kinematics (Bournaud et al. 2005; Naab et al. 2014; Schulze et al. 2020).

Schulze et al. (2020) concluded that galaxies with embedded rotating discs (which are characterized by a declining $V_{\text{rot}}/\sigma$ profile at large radius) formed their discs at early times with more recent minor and mini mergers. They do not tend to experience major mergers.

7.3 NGC 3115 formation scenario

Based on our kinematic results from the stars and discrete tracers out to $\sim 6.5 R_e$ (Section 6) and on the evidence of weak spiral-arm structures in NGC 3115 (Norris, Sharples & Kuntschner 2006; Guérou et al. 2016), we propose the following formation path for NGC 3115, which we schematically summarize in Fig. 10.

We propose that NGC 3115 was initially a spiral galaxy, with a star-forming disc, that has undergone an early gas-rich minor merger of mass ratio around $1:4$–$1:10$. This merger event then shapes the current embedded fast-rotating disc that we observe from the kinematic profiles of the stars, red GCs and PNe. A merger with this mass ratio should not be strong enough to destroy the original disc structure but may be sufficient to cause a significant burst of star formation.

We note that it is unlikely that NGC 3115 has undergone multiple minor mergers (more than two/three) of the mass ratio $1:4$–$1:10$ as that would result in an elliptical remnant without a prominent disc feature, i.e. not an S0 remnant (Bournaud et al. 2005).

The stellar populations of the bulge and disc components of NGC 3115 indicate an ancient 12 Gyr old bulge. However, the disc is dominated by stars that formed some 9 Gyr ago, with a small contribution of younger stars (see e.g. Norris et al. 2006; Guérou et al. 2016). Poci et al. (2019) also found evidence of a dominant old, metal-rich and kinematically cold stellar population in the disk component of NGC 3115, through their Schwarzschild orbit-superposition chemo-dynamical modelling technique, with signs of residual star formation that likely continued in the disk until $\sim 4$ Gyr ago when the galaxy exhausted its gas reservoir. Today NGC 3115 is a gas-poor system with very little cold molecular and atomic gas, and very little ongoing star formation (Li et al. 2011; Li & Wang 2013). The presence of this disk component rules out the occurrence of a major merger in recent times, as well as of multiple minor mergers of similar mass ratio to the early one as discussed above, as they would likely alter or destroy the old disk structure of NGC 3115. A passive evolution history of NGC 3115 is, therefore, favoured after the formation of its kinematically cold disk component. From the evidence from the stellar population results (Guérou et al. 2016; Poci et al. 2019), we constrain the epoch of the gas-rich minor merger to be around 9 Gyr ago. We note that Usher et al. (2019) found several blue and red GCs of NGC 3115 to have ages around 9 Gyr, i.e. consistent with the estimated age of the merger. Thus some GCs may have formed during this gas-rich merger event.

At later epochs, NGC 3115 is likely influenced by mini mergers (mass ratio $< 1:10$) of dwarf galaxies which have been accreted on to the outer regions of the galaxy. These mini mergers would
deposit GCs as well as stars in the outer regions giving rise to the spheroid-like kinematics of the red GCs and PNe beyond \( \sim 2.5 R_e \), and perhaps of the blue GCs beyond \( \sim 5 R_e \). According to Choksi & Gnedin (2019) accretion is predicted to account for around half of the blue GC subpopulation for a galaxy of stellar mass similar to NGC 3115. The old and metal-poor stellar population with hotter kinematics that characterizes the stellar halo of NGC 3115 as found by Poci et al. (2019) reinforces the proposed scenario that NGC 3115 late formation history is dominated by the accretion of low-mass satellite galaxies onto the outer regions of the galaxy. Specifically, Poci et al. (2019) suggested dry minor mergers to explain the old ages and metal-poor nature of the halo stars in NGC 3115, which they found to contribute to \( \sim 70\% \) of the stellar mass of NGC 3115. This significant \textit{ex-situ} mass fraction in NGC 3115 is consistent with the proposed scenario that around half of the blue GCs, and some halo stars, may have likely been accreted from mini mergers with dwarf galaxies, which have contributed to the mass growth of the outer galaxy regions without altering the central cold disk kinematics, but causing the outer spheroid-like kinematics in NGC 3115.

We note that the above formation scenario is similar to that proposed by Arnold et al. (2011). Based on GCs and stars from the SLUGGS survey, they described NGC 3115’s inner regions having formed in an early gas-rich dissipative phase. This is then followed by 1:15–1:20 mergers, which they refer to as minor mergers (here we use the term mini merger and reserve minor mergers for 1:4–1:10 mass ratios).

### 7.4 Origin of the UCDs in NGC 3115

In Figs 7 and 8 of Section 6.1.4, we have compared the velocities of the 12 UCDs with the velocity field of the GCs. An inconsistency between the underlying velocity field of the GCs with that of the UCDs would suggest an accreted origin for the UCDs and their probable origin as nuclei of a tidally stripped dwarf. In fact, the blue GCs, that are typically associated with the accreted component, are rotating with NGC 3115, similarly to the stars, red GCs and PNe. Then an odd rotation of the UCDs would mean that they have been accreted at later epochs and the dynamical friction within the galaxy has still not brought them to the overall rotation of NGC 3115.

A consistency with the underlying velocity field of the GCs would, instead, favour an \textit{in situ} and a massive GC origin of the UCDs. However, an accreted origin cannot be completely ruled out in this case as the UCD may have had the time to reach the dynamical equilibrium of the galaxy.

Our results show that five UCDs, namely UCD 1, 8, 15, 17a, and 23, display an odd rotation with respect to the underlying GC velocity field, suggesting that they may have likely been accreted on to the galaxy. Specifically UCD 23 displays a counter-rotation with respect to the underlying GC velocity field. Therefore, the odd rotation of these UCDs would point towards a possibly stripped dwarf origin. For all the remaining UCDs we find, instead, a good consistency with the underlying GC velocity field, suggesting an \textit{in situ} origin.

In Section 7.3, we have discussed that the formation path of NGC 3115 is likely characterized by mini mergers (with mass ratios < 1:10) on to the outer regions of the galaxy at later epochs. Thus, the \textit{ex situ} origin of our five odd rotation UCDs is a plausible scenario. However, we cannot reliably constrain the nature of these five UCDs from only their inconsistent velocity with respect to the underlying GC velocity field. In fact, these UCDs could, instead, be massive GCs that have still been accreted at later epochs on to the outer regions of NGC 3115 (Norris et al. 2014). If we consider the inconsistencies that we have encountered with J14 (see Section C), specifically when remeasuring the sizes of all the UCDs (see Section 3.3), then the smaller sizes that we estimate for some of the UCDs (specifically for the three outliers) would make them more consistent with being massive GCs.

For UCD 1, we have measured an old, \((12 \pm 2)\) Gyr, and metal-poor, \((-1.0 \pm 0.3)\) dex, stellar population (see Section 3.2). Its velocity is higher than that of the underlying GC velocity field but its size, \(\sim 8\) pc, does not allow us to reliably determine whether it is a massive GC or a nucleus of a tidally stripped dwarf galaxy.

The other seven UCDs have velocities that are consistent with the underlying GC velocity field and, for this reason, it seems more likely that these objects are indeed massive GCs (Norris et al. 2014) which may have formed, for instance, during the gas-rich minor merger that characterized the assembly history of NGC 3115.

## 8 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have studied the kinematic properties of the nearest field S0 galaxy, NGC 3115, out to large galactocentric radii, i.e. \(\sim 6.5 R_e\). In order to reach such large distances, we have combined previous stellar kinematic studies (i.e. MUSE out to \(\sim 3 R_e\); Guérou et al. 2016 and SLUGGS out to \(\sim 3.5 R_e\); Arnold et al. 2011, 2014), with the large GC (Pota et al. 2013; Forbes et al. 2017a) and, recently published, PNe (Pulsoni et al. 2018) data sets. We have also performed new observations of a sample of compact objects around NGC 3115, which were initially identified by Jennings et al. (2014) as UCD candidates from HST imaging. We were able to spectroscopically confirm 7 of these objects to be members of the galaxy from their radial velocities. These, combined with 5 UCDs from previous literature work, gives us a total of 12 UCDs around NGC 3115 with radial velocities.

From the analysis of the \textit{folded} 2D velocity and velocity dispersion maps and the corresponding 1D profiles, we find evidence of:

(i) strong rotation, that is well aligned with the global photometric position angle of the galaxy, for each of the discrete tracers and stars (with a maximum misalignment of \(\sim 10^\circ\) for the red GCs and PNe). This suggests an axisymmetric system in dynamical equilibrium;

(ii) the red GCs to have a maximum rotation amplitude between \(\sim 1\) and \(2 R_e\), similar to the PNe, while the blue GCs have their maximum rotation between \(\sim 3 – 5 R_e\). Beyond \(\sim 5 R_e\), the blue GC subpopulation velocity profile possibly declines further, similarly to the red GCs and PNe beyond \(\sim 2 – 2.5 R_e\);

(iii) smooth kinematic transitions at \(\sim 0.2 R_e\) and \(\sim 2–2.5 R_e\) from a dispersion-dominated bulge component \((V_{\text{rad}}/\sigma < 1)\) to a rotationally supported disc component \((V_{\text{rad}}/\sigma > 1)\) and then to spheroid dominated kinematics \((V_{\text{rad}}/\sigma < 1)\) beyond \(\sim 2.5 R_e\).

From the presence of spiral-arm remnant features in NGC 3115 (Norris et al. 2006; Guérou et al. 2016) and from the comparison with simulations of galaxy formation, we suggest that NGC 3115 was originally a spiral galaxy that likely went through an early gas-rich minor merger of mass ratio 1:4–1:10 some 9 Gyr ago. Such a merger modified (without destroying it) the original \textit{in situ} disc structure of the spiral progenitor and shaped the current embedded kinematic disc of NGC 3115, forming perhaps a number of GCs.
The kinematic profiles of the blue and red GC subpopulations also support the case for such a minor merger.

The possible declining $V_{rot}/\sigma$ profile beyond $\sim 5 R_e$ of the blue GCs, as well as the declining profile of the red GCs and PNe beyond $\sim 2-2.5 R_e$, suggests a late accretion phase of mini mergers (with mass ratios $< 1:10$) giving rise to spheroid-like kinematics. These mini mergers did not manage to disrupt the disc of the galaxy but likely contributed additional GCs and stars to the outer regions of the galaxy.

The comparison of the UCD radial velocities with the underlying GC velocity field shows that five UCDs are oddly rotating, where one is even counter-rotating. This seems to point towards an ex situ and, possibly, a tidally stripped dwarf origin of these UCDs. Overall, our study suggests a complex formation history for the nearest field S0 galaxy, NGC 3115. It also shows the importance of kinematic and spatially resolved stellar population studies of galaxies out to large galactocentric radii, as well as the need for more exhaustive simulation studies in order to better understand how mergers with different initial conditions influence the resulting large radii kinematics.
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